

**COMMISSION REVIEW & APPROVAL PROCESS REGARDING ERRATA AND GLITCH
AMENDMENTS FOR THE 2026 CODE UPDATE PROCESS
9TH EDITION (2026), FLORIDA BUILDING CODE
(FOR COMMISSION ADOPTION OCTOBER 14, 2025)**

SECTION 1. ORGANIZATION OF TAC RECOMMENDATIONS ON ERRATA AND GLITCH AMENDMENTS

For each technical code area (Accessibility, Code Administration, Electrical, Energy, Fire, Mechanical, Plumbing, Roofing, Special Occupancy, Structural, and Swimming Pool), TAC recommendations on proposed Glitch amendments will be organized on three consent agendas per TAC, as follows:

Consent Agenda 1: TAC recommends errata meet errata definition, and TAC recommends **Approval** of Errata As Submitted (AS); or TAC recommends amendments meet Errata definition, and TAC recommends **Approval** of Errata As Modified (AM) by the TAC.

Consent Agenda 2: TAC recommends errata do not meet errata definition, and TAC recommends **Denial**; or TAC recommends errata meet errata definition, and TAC recommends **Denial** on the proposed Code text fix.

Consent Agenda 3: TAC recommends amendments meet Glitch criteria, and TAC recommends **Approval** of Glitch amendments As Submitted (AS); or TAC recommends amendments meet Glitch criteria, and TAC recommends **Approval** of Glitch amendments As Modified (AM) by the TAC.

Consent Agenda 4: TAC recommends amendments do not meet Glitch criteria, and TAC recommends **Denial**; or TAC recommends amendments meet Glitch criteria, and TAC recommends **Denial** on the proposed Code text fix.

Any Commissioner may pull any proposed Glitch Amendment off of a “Consent Agenda Recommended for Approval” or a “Consent Agenda Recommended for Denial” for individual consideration based on public comment (submitted in writing or verbally).

SECTION 2. GLITCH AMENDMENT CRITERIA AND ERRATA DEFINITION

Glitch Amendment Criteria. Pursuant to Section 553.73, F.S., in order for a proposed Code change to be accepted as a glitch amendment, it must fall within one of the following criteria:

Section 553.73 (8)(a), F.S. – The Commission may approve amendments that are needed to address:

1. Conflicts within the updated code;
2. Conflicts between the updated code and the Florida Fire Prevention Code adopted under chapter 633;
3. Unintended results from the integration of previously adopted amendments with the model code;
4. Equivalency of standards;
5. Changes to or inconsistencies with federal or state law; or
6. Adoption of an updated edition of the National Electrical Code if the commission finds that delay of implementing the updated edition causes undue hardship to stakeholders or otherwise threatens the public health, safety, and welfare.

Errata Definition. Section 553.73 (8)(b), F.S. – The Commission may issue errata to the Code pursuant to the rule adoption procedures in Chapter 120 to list demonstrated errors in provisions contained within the Florida Building Code. The determination of such errors and the issuance of errata to

the Code must be approved by a 75-percent supermajority vote of the Commission. For purposes of this paragraph, “errata to the Code” means a list of errors on current and previous editions of the Florida Building Code.

SECTION 3. COMMISSION CONSIDERATION OF TAC’S RECOMMENDATIONS ON ERRATA AND GLITCH AMENDMENTS

CONSENT AGENDA 1 – QUALIFIES AS ERRATA AND APPROVE

Commission will vote that the errata on the consent agendas **are** errata, and to approve the errata **As Submitted** or **As Modified by the TAC**.

- Public Comment on the TAC recommendations by technical code area.
- Commission will decide whether to pull any specific proposed errata for individual consideration by technical code area.
- Commission will vote to approve Consent Agenda of TAC recommendations as presented or as amended if any additional errata are pulled for individual consideration by technical code area.
- The vote is in favor of TAC recommendations regarding the proposed errata (the package of proposed errata meets the errata criteria and should be approved).
- Commission will individually discuss and vote on all errata pulled for individual consideration (meets errata criteria and code text to correct the error).

CONSENT AGENDA 2 – DOES NOT QUALIFY AS ERRATA AND DENY, OR QUALIFIES AS ERRATA AND DENY

Commission will vote that the errata on the consent agendas **are not** errata, and to deny the errata, or vote that the errata on the consent agendas **are** errata, and to deny the errata.

- Public Comment on the TAC recommendations by technical code area.
- Commission will decide whether to pull any specific proposed errata for individual consideration by technical code area.
- Commission will vote to approve Consent Agenda of TAC recommendations as presented or as amended if any additional errata are pulled for individual consideration by technical code area.
- The vote is in favor of TAC recommendations regarding the proposed errata (the package of proposed errata should be denied).
- Commission will individually discuss and vote on all errata pulled for individual consideration (meets errata criteria and code text to correct the error).

CONSENT AGENDA 3 – QUALIFIES AS A GLITCH AMENDMENT AND APPROVE

Commission will vote that the amendments on the consent agendas **are** Glitch Amendments, and to approve the amendments **As Submitted** or **As Modified** by the TACs.

- Public Comment on the TAC recommendations by technical code area.
- Commission will decide whether to pull any specific proposed glitch amendments for individual consideration by technical code area.
- Commission will vote to approve Consent Agenda of TAC recommendations as presented or as amended if any additional amendments are pulled for individual consideration by technical code area.
- The vote is in favor of TAC recommendations regarding the proposed glitch amendments (the package of proposed amendments meets the Glitch criteria and should be approved by the TAC).

- Commission will individually discuss and vote on all amendments pulled for individual consideration (meets glitch criteria and code text to correct the glitch).

CONSENT AGENDA 4 – DOES NOT QUALIFY AS A GLITCH AMENDMENT AND DENY, OR QUALIFIES AS A GLITCH AMENDMENT AND DENY

Commission will vote that the amendments on the consent agendas **are not** Glitch Amendments, and to deny the amendment, or vote that the amendments on the consent agendas **are** Glitch Amendments, and to deny the amendment.

- Public Comment on the TAC recommendations by technical code area.
- Commission will decide whether to pull any specific proposed Glitch Amendments for individual consideration by technical code area.
- Commission will vote to approve Consent Agenda of TAC recommendations as presented or as amended if any additional amendments are pulled for individual consideration by technical code area.
- The vote is in favor of TAC recommendations regarding the proposed glitch amendments (the package of proposed amendments should be denied).
- Commission will individually discuss and vote on all amendments pulled for individual consideration (meets glitch criteria and code text to correct the glitch).

SECTION 4. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- Facilitator will serve as moderator, introduce each item in turn, ask for and call the motions, and assist with Commission's adopted process and participation procedures.
- One person speaks at a time.
- Limit your comment and be concise.
- Do not read lengthy prepared statements; Summarize and submit complete comment text for the record.
- Offer new points or state agreement with previous speakers; Please do not repeat what has been stated.
- The Commission wants to hear all view points, but not repeats of the same views.
- Comments will be limited to a maximum of **Three Minutes (3) Per Person**. Use **Raise Hand** icon 🙋.
- Proponents and Opponents not wishing to provide comments are encouraged to raise their hands in support or opposition to proposed Glitch Amendment to provide the Commission with a sense of stakeholder preferences [For virtual meetings use the **Thumb Up** icon 👍].
- Facilitator in consultation with the Commission chair, may require stakeholder groups to select a representative(s) to provide the Commission with their comment(s) rather than allowing each individual to comment, pursuant to the authority provided in Section 286.0114 (4)(b), F.S.
- Facilitator will introduce **Consent Agenda 1** for those errata recommended by TACs as: **"Qualifies as Errata and Approve As Submitted or As Modified,"** by technical code area.
- Public will be provided an opportunity for comment (3 Minutes/Person).
- Commissioners will decide which, if any, amendments to pull for individual consideration.
- Commission will vote to approve Consent Agenda of TAC recommendations as presented or as amended if any additional errata are pulled for individual consideration by technical code area.
- Facilitator will introduce **Consent Agenda 2** for those errata recommended by TACs as: **"Does Not Qualify as Errata and Deny, or "Does Qualify as Errata and Deny"** by technical code area.
- Public will be provided an opportunity for comment (3 Minutes/Person).
- Commissioners will decide which, if any, errata to pull for individual consideration.

- Commission will vote to approve Consent Agenda of TAC recommendations as presented or as amended if any additional errata are pulled for individual consideration by technical code area.
- Facilitator will introduce **Consent Agenda 3** for those amendments recommended by TACs as: **“Meets the Glitch Criteria and Approve as Submitted,”** or **“Meets the Glitch Criteria and Approve as Modified”** by technical code area.
- Public will be provided an opportunity for comment (3 Minutes/Person).
- Commissioners will decide which, if any, amendments to pull for individual consideration.
- Commission will vote to approve Consent Agenda of TAC recommendations as presented or as amended if any additional amendments are pulled for individual consideration by technical code area.
- Facilitator will introduce **Consent Agenda 4** for those amendments recommended by TACs as: **“Does Not Meet the Glitch Criteria and Deny,”** or **“Meets the Glitch Criteria and Deny”** by technical code area.
- Public will be provided an opportunity for comment (3 Minutes/Person).
- Commissioners will decide which, if any, amendments to pull for individual consideration.
- Commission will vote to approve Consent Agenda of TAC recommendations as presented or as amended if any additional amendments are pulled for individual consideration by technical code area.

SECTION 5. ERRATA AND AMENDMENTS PULLED FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION

- Commission will consider individually any errata and amendments pulled from the Consent Agendas.
- Facilitator will introduce each errata and amendment.
- Proponents of proposed errata or amendment will speak first (*3 Minutes Per Person*). Please use the **Raise Hand** icon 🙋.
- Opponents of proposed errata or amendment will follow proponents (*3 Minutes Per Person*). Please use the **Raise Hand** icon 🙋.
- No point counter points.
- Groundrules for commenting apply (See Section 4).
- Clarifying questions by Commission members only.
- Once a motion is on the floor, discussion is limited to Commission members except as allowed by the Chair.
- Motions require a $\geq 75\%$ favorable vote for approval; those with less than a 75% favorable vote, are deemed denied.