From: GaryK@RidgewayPlumbing.com <GaryK@RidgewayPlumbing.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 28, 2024 8:34 AM

To: Madani, Mo <Mo.Madani@myfloridalicense.com>

Cc: mike@pipelt.com

Subject: IMPORTANT - Last minute Correction to Plumbing Glitch

[NOTICE] This message comes from a system outside of DBPR. Please exercise caution when clicking on links and/or providing sensitive information. If you have concerns, please contact your Knowledge Champion or the DBPR Helpdesk.

Mo-

At the February 21, 2024 Plumbing TAC meeting, the following Glitch Amendment was approved for Section 718:

## SECTION P718 REHABILITATION OF BUILDING SEWERS AND BUILDING DRAINS

Revise as follows: (add the following sentence)

**718.1 Cured-in-place**. Cured-in-place rehabilitation of building sewers and building drainage piping shall be in accordance with ASTM F1216 or ASTM F1743. (remainder of Section deleted)

I wholeheartedly agree with the TAC's action, which has been placed on the Consent Agenda for the April 16 Commission meeting.

However, it appears that the TAC overlooked making the corresponding change to the Residential Code. Although Section 717 appears as Section P3011 in the Residential Code, Section 718 does not appear at all in the Residential Code.

This confusion is already starting to impact the field. I've recently learned that an inspector is denying the use of Cured-in-place Pipe for residential applications because the code contains no mention of CIPP in P3011.

We still have time to fix this by simply <u>adding a new Section P3012 to the</u> Residential Code. It should read as follows:

SECTION P3012
REHABILITATION OF BUILDING SEWERS AND BUILDING DRAINS

**P3012.1 Cured-in-place.** Cured-in-place rehabilitation of building sewers and building drainage piping shall be in accordance with ASTM F1216 or ASTM F1743.

This could be considered editorial in nature, as it only duplicates the language found in the Plumbing Code.

Let me know what you think.

Gary Kozan