FLORIDA ACCESSIBILITY CODE CERTIFICATION HEARING

January 31, 2012 9:00 a.m.

TradeWinds Sandpiper Hotel 6000 Gulf Boulevard St. Petersburg, Florida 33706

> Reported By: Penny M. Appleton Court Reporter

Berryhill & Associates, Inc.

ORIGINAL

501 E. Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 775

Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 229-8225

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	COMMISSION MEMBERS:
4	Scott Mollan
	Christopher P. Schulte
5	Drew M. Smith
	Hamid R. Bahadori
6	Robert G. Boyer
	Herminio Gonzalez
7	James R. Schock
	Jeff Stone
8	Kiko Franco
	Jeffrey Gross
9	Richard "Dick" Browdy, Chairman
	Mo Madani
10	John Scherer
	Dale Greiner
11	Nicholas Nicholson
	Rafael R. Palacios, PE
12	Joseph "Ed" Carson
	Kenneth L. Gregory
1.3	Jon Hamrick
14	Jeff Blair, Staff
	Rick Dixon, Executive Director
15	Leslie Anderson-Adam, Board Counsel
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
I	

Thereupon, the following proceedings commenced:

CHAIRMAN BROWDY: At the December 6, 2011, meeting of the Commission, the Commission voted to initiate the process of seeking certification of the 2010 Florida Accessibility Code For Building Construction by the Department of Justice. The Commission has filed a request for certification with DOJ, and today's hearing is the next step in the certification process and will provide an opportunity for the public to provide feedback regarding the certification of the Florida Accessibility Code.

The purpose for seeking certification of the Florida Accessibility Code is to provide verification that Florida's code complies with Federal requirements. The adoption of the 2010 Florida Accessibility Code For Building Construction the Commission -- with the adoption of the code, the Commission integrated Florida's statutory accessibility requirements with the new Department of Justice standards for accessible design based on the final DOJ Rules 28 CFR 35 and 28 CFR 36 as published in the September 15, 2010, Federal Register.

The Commission will now conduct the certification hearing. It is important for you to understand that the hearing is not limited -- is limited, rather, to

Yes, Commissioner Carson?

25

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER CARSON: What is the schedule or is that something that's pretty much out of our hands?

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BROWDY: Jeff, do you have the schedule? Yes, sir. The schedule is Work Plan MR. BLAIR: The schedule really is to -- the Task 11, Priority 1. discussions with DOJ will occur. The package will be You know, this is part of the package submitted. submittal which will happen over the next couple of So probably, about March the package will be submitted to the Department of Justice, March of 2012, which is what, in two months, if you will.

There will be discussions probably throughout the year back and forth regarding that, and then any revisions that are necessary to resolve any possible issues that the DOJ feels is not -- that the code is not equivalent to their requirements would be looked at and discussed, and rule changes or whatever will be made, if necessary, throughout 2013, and sometime probably toward the end of 2013, or hopefully sooner. I think they've agreed to prioritize those codes that have already been certified, which we have, this Commission code has, so hopefully, it won't take two years, but it might. So

Rick, would you want to elaborate any further?

2013. Any way, go ahead.

1.8

MR. DIXON: There's a package that has to be put together to send to DOJ. The first step is the hearing today. We have a court reporter to provide a transcript, which also has to be submitted to DOJ. The accessibility code will not be printed and available until March, so the goal is to have all of the package prepared. When the code is printed, we have a copy that we can send the whole package to DOJ.

After that it's in their hands, and how long it takes to review and determine possible non-equivalencies is in their court. As Larry pointed out, that took a couple years the first time around, but they have reviewed Florida's law.

Remember that the Florida Accessibility Code only deviates from the federal ADA standards for accessible design in those requirements that are actually written in the Florida law. There are no modifications by administrative rule. So they reviewed those before.

What we did in the last legislative session, 2011, was to eliminate some of the requirements and then to recognize some of the exemptions for vertical accessibility, accessible routes and their terminology throughout -- that are already in the ADA standards, so from our perspective, there should be no

		i de la companya de
· 1		non-equivalencies. They should have already reviewed
2		most of the Florida Accessibility requirements, and
· 3		that, according to what we have been told alongside
4		conversations, certainly not the main channels, that
5		they would expedite the review of our code.
6		Now the problem is that it gets to be timing. The
7		changes to the accessibility code to address any
8		possible non-equivalencies require changes to Florida
9		law to correct those items or to address those items.
10		So the timing with respect to when we would have to
11		submit or you would have to submit proposals to the
12		legislature to make changes may involve an additional
13		year or so, but certainly, the target's to try to get
14		the response and the dialogue discussions completed by
15		2012 so that they could be addressed in the 2013 code.
16		That's the optimistic view.
17		CHAIRMAN BROWDY: Any other questions with regard
18		to this hearing? Any more comments?
19		If there are no more comments from either the
20		Commission or members of the public, this hearing is
21		closed. Thank you very much.
22		(The hearing concluded at 9:52 a.m.)
23	<u> </u>	
24	•	
25		

	·
1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF FLORIDA
3	COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH
4	
5	I, Penny M. Appleton, Court Reporter for the
6	Circuit Court of the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit of the
7	State of Florida, in and for Hillsborough County,
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY, that I was authorized to and
9	did, report in shorthand the proceedings and evidence in the
10	above-styled cause, as stated in the caption hereto, and
11	that the foregoing pages constitute a true and correct
12	transcription of my shorthand report of said proceedings and
13	evidence.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in
15	the City of Tampa, County of Hillsborough, State of Florida
16	this 6th day of February, 2012.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	•
22	
23	Ω Ω Λ
24	tonny ypolelon
i	Penny M. Appleton
25	

_					
				Homeialz 2:12	law 6:14,18 7:9
	A	BUSINESS 1:2	did 6:20 8:9	Hamrick 2:13	legislative 6:20
	a 2:1,1,1 3:6 6:2,4,4,8,13	but 5:24 6:14 7:13	Director 2:14	hand 8:14	legislature 7:12
	8:1,11	by 1:18 3:5 6:18 7:14	discussed 5:18	hands 5:3 6:10	Leslie 2:15
ı.	out 5:10		discussions 5:7,13 7:14	happen 5:9	let 4:19
	Love-styled 8:10	C	Dixon 2:14 6:2	has 3:6 5:23 6:2,5	limited 3:25,25
	accessibility 1:3 3:5,11	C 2:1 8:1,1	do 4:23 5:4 8:8	have 4:24 5:4,22,22 6:4,7	
	3:13,15,18 4:9,18 6:6	can 4:16,19 6:9	DOJ 3:7,20 5:7,16 6:3,5	6:8,14 7:1,3,10,11 8:14	long 6:10 looked 5:17
	6:15,23 7:2,7	caption 8:10	6:9	Having 4:16	100Keu 3:17
	accessible 3:19 6:16,23	Carson 2:12 4:25 5:1	Drew 2:5	hearing 1:3 3:7,24,25 4:6	<u> </u>
	according 7:3	cause 8:10		4:8,23 6:3 7:18,20,22	M 1:19 2:5 8:5,24
	actually 6:17	certainly 7:4,13	E	HEREBY 8:8	Madani 2:9
	ADA 6:16,24	certification 1:3 3:4,7,8	E 1:24 2:1,1 8:1,1	hereto 8:10	1
	additional 7:12	3:10,12,23 4:1,3,4,17	Ed 2:12	hereunto 8:14	made 5:19 main 7:4
	address 7:7,9	certified 5:22	either 7:19	Herminio 2:6	main 7.4 make 7:12
ŀ	addressed 7:15	certify 4:9 8:8	elaborate 5:25	Hillsborough 8:3,7,15	
Ì	administrative 6:19	CFR 3:20,21	eliminate 6:21	hope 4:15,19	March 5:10,11 6:7
}	adoption 3:15,17	Chairman 2:9 3:2 4:21	end 5:20	hopefully 5:20,23	may 7:12
	After 6:10	5:1,4 7:17	equivalent 5:17	Hotel 1:13	meeting 1:2 3:2
	agreed 5:21	changes 5:18 7:7,8,12	evidence 8:9,13	how 6:10	members 2:3 4:7,23 7:20
	ahead 6:1	channels 7:4	Executive 2:14		might 5:24
	AI 4:14	Christopher 2:4	exemptions 6:22	7.7.21.0.1.7.7.0.1.1	Mo 2:9
	all 6:7	Circuit 8:6,6	expedite 4:16 7:5	I 5:21 8:1,1,5,8,14	modifications 6:18
	all 0:7 alongside 7:3	City 8:15		if 5:12,19 7:19	Mollan 2:4
	already 5:22 6:24 7:1	closed 7:21	F	important 3:24	months 5:10,12
	also 6:5	code 1:3 3:5,11,13,14,15	F 8:1	in 3:8,21 4:14,17,19 5:12	more 7:18,19
	an 3:9 7:12	3:17 4:2,5,9,18 5:16,23	February 8:16	6:10,12,17,18,20,24	morning 4:13
		6:6,8,15 7:5,7,15	federal 3:14,21 6:16	7:15 8:7,9,9,10,14,14	most 7:2
	and 3:7,8,20 4:3,15 5:14 5:14,18,18,19 6:6,10	codes 5:21	feedback 3:10	Inc 1:23	Mr 4:12,13 5:1,5 6:2
	6:11,21,23 7:2,14 8:7,8	commenced 3:1	feels 5:16	initiate 3:3	much 5:3 7:21
		comments 4:3,22,22,24	filed 3:6	integrated 3:17	my 4:13 8:12,14
ļ	8:9,10,11,12 \derson-Adam 2:15	7:18,19	final 3:20	involve 7:12	N N
ĺ	y 4:2,7,22,22,23,24	Commission 1:1 2:3 3:3	first 4:18 6:3,13	involved 4:17	
1	5:14,15,25 6:1 7:7,17	3:3,6,16,17,23 4:4,23	Florida 1:1,3,15,25 3:4	is 3:8,13,24,25,25 4:1,13	N 2:1
-	7:18	5:23 7:20	3:10,13,15 4:9,14,15	5:2,2,5,6,8,12,16,16	name 4:11,13
	Appleton 1:19 8:5,24	Commissioner 4:25 5:1	4:18 6:15,18 7:2,8 8:2	6:3,7,8,12 7:6,20	necessary 5:15,19
	appreciative 4:15	Commission's 4:1,8	8:7,15	issues 4:2 5:16	new 3:19
	Architects 4:14	completed 7:14	Florida's 3:14,18 6:14	it 3:24 4:1 5:23,24 6:10	next 3:8 5:9
	are 4:6,15 5:15 6:17,18	complies 3:14	following 3:1	7:6	Nicholas 2:11
	6:24 7:19	concluded 7:22	for 3:5,7,9,12,15,19,24	items 7:9,9	Nicholson 2:11
-		conduct 3:23	4:9,11,24 6:16,22 8:5,7	its 4:5	no 6:18,25 7:19
	around 6:13 as 3:21 4:16,16 6:12 8:10	constitute 8:11	foregoing 8:11	it's 6:10	none 4:23
		Construction 3:5,16 4:10	forth 5:14		non-equivalencies 6:12
	Associates 1:23	conversations 7:4	Franco 2:8	J	7:1,8
	at 3:2 4:7 5:17 7:22 authorized 8:8	copy 6:8	from 4:19 6:16,25 7:19	James 2:7	not 3:25 4:1,4 5:16,17
	autnorized 8:8 available 6:6	correct 7:9 8:11	further 5:25	January 1:9	6:6 7:4
		could 7:15		Jeff 2:7,14 5:4	now 3:23 4:6 7:6
	a.m 1:10 7:22	Counsel 2:15	<u>G</u>	Jeffrey 2:8	
	В	County 8:3,7,15	G 2:6	John 2:10	0
		couple 5:9 6:13	GENERAL 1:2	Jon 2:13	occur 5:7
	back 5:14	court 1:20 6:4,12 8:5,6	get 7:13	Joseph 2:12	of 3:3,4,4,6,10,12,15,17
	Bahadori 2:5	cut 4:19	gets 7:6	Judicial 8:6	3:19 4:5,7,15,17,18,23
	based 3:20		go 6:1	Justice 3:6,19 4:19 5:11	5:3,8,9,11,11,20 6:7,21
	be 5:7,10,13,17,18 6:2,5	D	goal 6:7		6:22 7:2,5,20 8:2,3,6,6
	6:6,25 7:6,15	Dale 2:10	Gonzalez 2:6	K	8:7,12,12,15,15,15,16
	been 4:17 5:22 7:3	day 8:16	Good 4:13	Kennedy 1:24	on 3:20
	before 6:19	December 3:2	Gregory 2:12	Kenneth 2:12	only 4:2 6:15
	Berryhill 1:23	Department 3:6,19 4:18	Greiner 2:10	Kiko 2:8	open 4:6
	Blair 2:14 5:5	5:11	Gross 2:8	know 5:8	opportunity 3:9
1	ard 2:15	design 3:20 6:17	Gulf 1:14		optimistic 7:16
	ulevard 1:14,24	determine 6:11		L	or 4:4 5:2,18,20 7:9,11
. !	Boyer 2:6	deviates 6:16	H	L2:12	7:13,20
	Browdy 2:9 3:2 4:21 5:4	dialogue 7:14	half 4:19	Larry 4:13,21 6:12	original 4:17,20
	7:17	Dick 2:9	Hamid 2:5	last 6:20	other 4:22,22 7:17
	Building 1:1 3:5,16 4:9	===::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::			
	i .	1		1	the state of the s

,		a a 50516	was 6:21 8:8	8
our 5:3 6:25 7:5	reviewed 6:14,19 7:1	that's 5:2 7:16		
out 5:3 6:13	revisions 5:15	the 3:1,2,3,3,3,4,5,6,8,8,9	way 6:1	813 1:25
over 5:9	Richard 2:9	3:10,10,12,12,15,15,16	we 4:15,15,16,19 5:22	
	Rick 2:14 5:25	3:16,17,17,18,20,21,23	6:4,8,9,20 7:3,10	9
P	Robert 2:6	3:23,25 4:1,1,2,3,4,7,8	we'll 4:6	9:00 1:10
P 2:1,1,4	routes 6:23	4:9,11,14,17,17,18,19	what 4:20 5:2,12 6:20 7:3	9:52 7:22
package 5:7,8,10 6:2,7,9	rule 5:18 6:19	4:20,23,24 5:2,4,5,6,6	whatever 5:18	
	Rules 3:20	5:7,8,9,10,11,13,16,16	when 6:8 7:10	
pages 8:11	Rules 5.20	5:20 6:3,3,5,7,7,8,9,13	WHEREOF 8:14	
Palacios 2:11	S	6:15,16,18,20,21,22,24	whether 4:4	
part 5:8	S 2:1	7:2,4,5,6,6,7,10,11,13	which 5:9,12,22 6:5	
PE 2:11	,	7:14,14,15,16,19,20,22	who 4:7	
Penny 1:19 8:5,24	said 8:12	8:5,6,6,9,9,10,11,15	whole 6:9	
perspective 6:25	Sandpiper 1:13		will 3:8,23 5:7,7,9,10,12	Į.
Petersburg 1:15	schedule 5:2,4,5,6	their 5:17 6:10,12,23	5:13,18 6:6	
Plan 5:5	Scherer 2:10	then 5:14 6:21		
Please 4:11	Schneider 4:12,13,14	there 4:6 5:13 6:18,25	wish 4:7	
pointed 6:12	Schock 2:7	7:19	with 3:7,14,16,18 4:18	
possible 4:16 5:15 6:11	Schulte 2:4	Thereupon 3:1	5:7 7:10,17	
7:8	Scott 2:4	There's 6:2	within 4:2	
prepared 6:8	seek 4:4	they 6:14,19 7:1,5,15	WITNESS 8:14	
pretty 5:2	seeking 3:4,12 4:1	they've 5:21	won't 5:23	1
printed 6:6,8	send 6:3,9	think 5:21	Work 5:5	
prioritize 5:21	September 3:21	Thirteenth 8:6	would 5:17,25 7:5,10,11	
Priority 5:6	session 6:20	this 4:7,15,16,24 5:8,22	written 6:17	1
probably 5:10,13,20	set 8:14	7:18,20 8:16		·
problem 7:6	shorthand 8:9,12	those 5:21 6:17,19 7:9,9	Y	
	should 4:4 6:25 7:1	throughout 5:13,19 6:24	year 5:14 7:13	
proceedings 3:1 8:9,12	sir 5:5	time 4:19 6:13	years 5:24 6:13	1
process 3:4,8 4:3	Smith 2:5	time 4:19 0:13	Yes 4:25 5:5	
proposals 7:11	so 4:6 5:10,23,25 6:7,19	timing 7:6,10	you 3:24 4:20,21 5:1,4,8	
provide 3:9,9,13 6:4		to 3:3,9,13,24,25 4:2,2,6	5:12,25 7:11,21	
ublic 3:9 4:6,7,8 7:20	6:24 7:10,13,15		your 4:11	
blished 3:21	some 6:21,22	4:7,8 5:6,11,15,17,21	your 4.11	
purpose 3:12	something 5:2	5:25 6:2,3,3,4,5,5,7,9	1	
put 6:2	sometime 5:19	6:11,21,21 7:3,6,7,7,8	1 5:6	
	sooner 5:20	7:9,9,10,10,11,11,12,13	I .	
Q	speak 4:7	7:13,18 8:8	11 5:6	
questions 7:17	specific 4:2	today 6:4	153:21	
quickly 4:16	St 1:15	today's 3:7	2	·
	Staff 2:14	together 6:3		
R	standards 3:19 6:16,24	told 7:3	2010 3:4,15,21	
R 2:1,5,7,11 8:1	state 4:11 8:2,7,15	took 4:20 6:13	2011 3:2 6:20	
Rafael 2:11	stated 8:10	toward 5:20	2012 1:9 5:11 7:15 8:16	
rather 3:25	statutory 3:18	TradeWinds 1:13	2013 5:19,20 6:1 7:15	•
really 5:6	step 3:8 6:3	transcript 4:24 6:5	229-8225 1:25	
recognize 6:22	Stone 2:7	transcription 8:12	283:20,20	
record 4:11	submit 7:11,11	true 8:11		-
regard 7:17	submittal 5:9	try 7:13	3	-
	submitted 5:8,11 6:5	two 5:12,23	31 1:9	
regarding 3:10 4:3,8,24	· ·	1	33602 1:25	
5:14	Suite 1:24	U	33706 1:15	
Register 3:22	T	understand 3:24	353:20	
Remember 6:15		until 6:7	363:21	
report 8:9,12	T 8:1,1	1		
Reported 1:18	take 5:23	us 4:19	5	_
reporter 1:20 6:4 8:5	takes 6:11	V	501 1:24	-
representing 4:14	Tampa 1:25 8:15		_ JUL 1,2 T	
request 3:7 4:8	target's 7:13	verification 3:13	6	-
require 7:8	Task 5:6	vertical 6:22	63:2	-
requirements 3:14,18	terminology 6:23	very 4:15 7:21		·
3:17 6:17,21 7:2	Thank 4:20,21 5:1 7:21	view 7:16	6th 8:16	1
Lesolve 5:15	that 3:14,24 5:2,14,15,16	voted 3:3	6000 1:14	
respect 7:10	5:16,21 6:2,9,10,13,15		7	-
response 7:14	6:17,24 7:3,4,6,15 8:8	W	_	-
review 6:11 7:5	8:11	want 5:25	775 1:24	1
1	i	1	1	