Report of the

Florida Building Commission

State Agency Review

Ad Hoc Committee

October 4, 1999 Gainesville, Florida

Meeting Design & Facilitation By:



A. September 8 – 9, 1999 Commission Meeting.

The September Commission meeting marked the completion of several months of State Agency presentations on their existing authority and their recommendations on standards development and enforcement functions. At the conclusion of the agency presentations, staff presented a matrix that depicted the various agencies and their current roles and responsibilities. After reviewing the matrix, the Chair appointed an ad hoc committee to mee and provide preliminary recommendations at October's Commission meeting. The committe consists of Vice Chair Doug Murdock and Commission members Dick Browdy, Suzanne Marshall, Karl Thorne, George Wiggins, Craig Parrino, Dan Shaw, and John Calpini.

B. Preparing for the October 4th Ad Hoc Committee Meeting.

Prior to the Ad Hoc committee meeting, staff reviewed and updated the matrix chart which depicts each of the state agencies and the programs that they administer. The matrix was designed to provide a visual perspective on each of the state agency's current functions for standards development and enforcement. In addition, the matrix highlights the specific exemptions that HB-4181 requires to the Law's general requirement that building code relate programs should go to the Florida Building Commission for standards development and maintenance and, that enforcement functions should be transferred to local building departments.

An analysis packet was prepared to assist Committee members in reviewing agency program laws and regulations, the construction code that they use, the intent of HB 4181, and a summary of each agency's presentation to the Commission as well as their responses to a written questionnaire solicited by staff. In addition, the packet contained a flowchart of the Commission's decision making timelines and process for competing their final recommendations on state agency functions relative to standards development and enforcement functions, the special Occupancy TAC's proposed criteria for making recommendation contrary to HB 4181, and several legal opinions and interpretations issued t legal staff regarding the intent and mandates of HB 4181.

The matrix and background information contained in the analysis packet were designed to serve as the template for reviewing current agency authority, the intent if HB 4181, and a method for reviewing which programs to recommend modifications to the requirements of tl Law.

C. October 4, 1999 Committee Meeting, Gainesville.

The committee met in Gainesville to review current state agency functions and authority relative to standards writing and enforcement functions, to review the intent of HB 4181 relative to each agency and the various programs they administer, and to propose any modifications to the Law that will go into effect on January 1, 2001.

The Committee reviewed each of the programs incorporating building code elements that sta agencies administers and decided whether or not to propose any modifications to the intent of the Law established in HB 4181.

C. Process for Review and Proposing Recommendations

The committee agreed to use the following process for reviewing state agency functions and proposing any modifications for changes to law:

- Only Committee members would participate in the review and decision making process.
- Observers were encouraged to provide written comments and concerns on post-it notes and place them on the appropriate agency flipchart sheet mounted on a wall. They would be included in the Committee's report to the Commission.
- The matrix would be used to identify current agency function, Legislative intent, and to indicate any recommended modifications.
- Proposed modifications would be indicated with a "o" symbol on the matrix.
- The committee's recommendations would be preliminary.
- Recommendations for modifications would be accompanied with a rationale to explain th reason for the recommendation.
- A straw polls vote would be taken and recorded to indicate the level of support for proposed modifications.
- If a committee member did not support a modification they would explain their reasons and any alternative recommendations.
- If there were multiple recommendations on an agency program, a ranking exercise would be conducted to indicate the level of support that each proposal received from the Committee.
- At the conclusion of the review process public comment would be taken.
- At the conclusion of public comment the committee would review and adopt their preliminary recommendations to be included in a report to the Commission at October's meeting.

D. Results of the Committee's Recommendations.

The committee reviewed the Special Occupancy TAC's proposed criteria for recommending modifications to HB 4181 requirements relative to state agency standard writing and enforcement functions.

At the request of Committee members a straw poll was taken on whether or not the Committee wanted to adopt the criteria for their own considerations.

Straw poll results of proposal to adopt the criteria for the Committee's use:

5 Support, 1 wants time to consider before adoption. (5 – 1)

Committee agreed to use the criteria as guidelines and consider their adoption at their next meeting.

Review of the Legislative Assumptions of HB 4181.

In general, building code programs shall be folded into the Florida Building Code and authority for the programs' standards writing and development shall be transferred from sta agencies to the Florida Building Commission unless preempted by Federal Law or by a speciexemption in HB 4181.

In general, enforcement of plans review, permitting, inspection, and issuance of final approvals shall be transferred to local building departments unless a specific exemption is provided for in HB 4181.

1. True Building Code Programs

DOE

Committee Recommendation:

Committee agreed to propose no recommendations to the intent of HB 4181 which provides for an exemption from local enforcement of DOE constructed buildings. As required by law standards development would transfer to the Florida Building Commission.

Comments:

Plan review – coordinate with local government – make sure current language is adequate.

DCA

For the Energy Code, Accessibility Code, Radon Code, and NFIP program:

Committee Recommendation: The committee agreed with the requirements and intent of HB 4181 and proposed no modifications to the standards development authority transferring to the Commission and

enforcement remaining with local building departments.

Manufactured Buildings

Proposed Modification:

Standards writing will transfer to the FBC except that the administrative building code criteri will remain with DCA.

All enforcement activities will remain with the agency and not transfer to local building departments for enforcement.

Rationale:

Special program that would impose a burden on locals if they were required to take over enforcement functions.

Straw Poll Results:

6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments:

Could these be approved under product approval How is plumbing pressure testing for these and mobile homes. Water tested in plant and on site. Waste testing may be needed Considering a 3 year re-certification process

Manufactured Buildings Foundation & Siting

Committee Recommendation: Committee recommends following requirements of the Law.

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments: Foundation and siting is a local zoning issue

<u>DMS</u>

Committee Recommendation:

Committee unanimously agreed to propose no modifications to the intent of HB 4181 which will transfer standards writing to the FBC and the enforcement functions to local building departments.

Comments:

Review prototype building later.

DMS has 12 inspectors state-wide who may not know local conditions.

Maybe a workload concern for local government.

Creates a checks and balances.

There is not a lot of buildings. 450/year state-wide so it won't be a big load on any local government.

Buildings are generally like private buildings.

Note: Consider prototype buildings for private use.

DOC

Note: Recommendations apply only to Correctional facilities and include DJJ facilities.

Proposed Modification:

Transfer standards writing to the FBC, however, enforcement functions should remain with the agency.

Rationale: Unique structure; security concerns

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments: Amend to assure coordination with local government Fire protection may be a concern What is different for county and city jails? What about DJJ? Statute says " Correctional Facilities" **DOT**

Note: Recommendations do not apply to bridges and streets.

Committee Recommendation:

Committee unanimously agreed to propose no modifications to the intent of HB 4181 which will transfer standards writing to the FBC and the enforcement functions to local building departments.

BOR

Committee Recommendation:

Committee agreed that standards writing would transfer to the FBC and proposed no modifications to the HB 4181 exemption that enforcement functions shall remain with the agency.

Comments: Structures are not unique Political concerns Some universities have local government do inspections Universities have architects and engineers do inspections not a building official Fox-hen house concern May need an appeal process for local government regarding university buildings relative to building code compliance

DMV

Mobile Homes

Proposed Recommendation: Standards writing to remain with the agency. FBC adopts standards into the code by reference.

Rationale: Foundations and sitings standards should be the same as for manufactured homes and shifte to FBC

Straw Poll Results: 5 in support and 1 opposed.

Comments: Current standards are adequate Utility hookups, should be inspected Utility hookups are inspected Clarify that Don't want one official enforcing another's code I am OK with the FBC blessing DMS standards, but we don't have the expertise to do by FBC Some local governments are allowing mobile home tie downs for manufactured homes if approved by an engineer Mobile and manufactured homes have different standards and tie down needs

Foundation and Siting

Proposed Modification: Updating and maintaining of mobile home siting standards remain with DMV but it will be adopted by reference into the FBC.

Straw Poll Results: 5 in support and 1 opposed. Would support if utilities are not included: 1

Comments:

There are legal questions about where DMV authority stops – are plumbing and electric included?

DBF

Committee deferred review until the sub committee on mausoleums has completed their committee recommendations and the rule is filed and adopted.

2. Facility/Business Licensing Programs

DBPR

Elevators

Proposed Modification: Standards development transfers to the FBC. Enforcement functions should remain with agency.

Rationale: Commission made the decision Highly technical Only a few local governments have currently opted to do so Lower costs to consumer Keeping standards in FBC ensures continuity between codes

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments: Need interface and coordination to let local government know an inspection has been done

Restaurants

Proposed Modification:

Standards development transfers to the FBC for building code and to the agency for the food code. (Split)

Enforcement functions should remain with agency for food code issues and building code installation functions would transfer to local authority. (Split)

Ongoing maintenance and licensing functions remain with the agency.

Rationale: Food code functions are not building code issues but installation functions are.

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments: DACS & DOH may refer to food code DBPR does license to operate; it is not a building permit There may be a concern about the timing of approvals

Hotels & Motels

Committee Recommendation:

Committee unanimously agreed to propose no modifications to the intent of HB 4181 which will transfer standards writing to the FBC and the enforcement functions to local building departments.

Ongoing maintenance and licensing functions remain with the agency.

<u>AHCA</u>

Hospitals

Proposed Modification:

Standards writing for building design and construction transfers to FBC—except where preempted by Federal authority. Standards writing for specialty standards would remain with the agency. (Split)

The base Building Code functions would be enforced by local building departments and specialty standards and building code standards for state licensure (after CO issued) would t enforced by the agency. (Split)

Straw Poll Results:

6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments: Need agency – local government coordination of CO's Need to clarify the statute with the agency Can regulations be split?

Nursing Homes & Ambulatory Care

Proposed Modification:

Standards writing for building design and construction transfers to FBC—except where preempted by Federal authority. Standards writing for special occupancy standards would remain with the agency. (Split)

The base Building Code functions would be enforced by local building departments and specialty standards and building code standards for state licensure (after CO issued) would t enforced by the agency. (Split)

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Assisted Living Facilities, Adult Family Homes, Adult Day Care

The committee requested that legal review and clarify the intent of the Statute and provide definitions of these facilities before committee make any recommendations.

<u>DOH</u>

Institutional Food Service

Proposed Modification:

Standards development transfers to the FBC for building code and to the agency for the food code. (Split)

Enforcement functions should remain with agency for food code issues and building code installation functions would transfer to local authority. (Split) Ongoing maintenance and licensing functions remain with the agency.

Rationale: Food code functions are not building code issues but installation functions are.

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

DACS

Food Service Establishments

Proposed Modification:

Standards development transfers to the FBC for building code and to the agency for the food code. (Split)

Enforcement functions should remain with agency for food code issues and building code installation functions would transfer to local authority. (Split)

Ongoing maintenance and licensing functions remain with the agency.

Rationale:

Food code functions are not building code issues but installation functions are.

Straw Poll Results:

6 - 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

3. Environmental/Health Programs

<u>DEP</u>

Water Wells

Proposed Modification:

Standards writing authority to remain with agency for the environmental and health components, and building code components should transfer to the FBC. (Split) Enforcement of health and environmental functions to remain with the agency and enforcement of building code functions should be transferred to local building departments. (Split)

Rationale:

Health and environmental issues should stay with agencies that have the expertise in those areas.

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments:

Water wells – DEP inspects the pipe. Water well association has proposed language for portion not inspected to be included in the FBC DOH may want to retain control of the equipment Determine the breakout of responsibilities Jointly draft new rule not addressed before

AC Return Wells

Proposed Modification:

Standards writing authority to remain with agency for the environmental and health components and building code components should transfer to the FBC. (Split) Enforcement of health and environmental functions to remain with the agency and enforcement of building code functions should be transferred to local building departments. (Split)

Rationale:

Health and environmental issues should stay with agencies that have the expertise in those areas.

Straw Poll Results:

6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments:

DEP has to deal with Federal delegation. DEP does not deal with equipment above the ground.

Well Heads

Proposed Modification: Committee recommends that well heads be removed from the Florida Building Code.

Rationale: Well heads are not construction or code related.

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Coastal Construction

Proposed Modification:

Standards writing authority to remain with agency for the environmental components and building code components should transfer to the FBC. (Split) Enforcement of environmental functions to remain with the agency and enforcement of building code functions should be transferred to local building departments. (Split)

Rationale: Locals don't have expertise to deal with the environmental and Federal requirements.

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments: Keep wind load in FBC DEP will develop environmental standards to be put in the FBC, e.g., setting standard elevations.

Storage Tanks

Note: Applies to petroleum and hazardous waste storage tanks – above and below the groun

Proposed Modification: Standards writing is mostly Federal and preempts state authority. Enforcement should be split. Agency enforces environmental and public safety issues and local building departments enforce for any building code components such as plumbing and wiring. (Split) Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments: Federally delegated program. Tied in to clean ups contracted out to all 67 counties There is a concurrent DEP-local government inspection process Reference ground water protection provisions in the FBC Piping is covered in the Federal requirements

Turtle Protection

Committee Recommendations: Include in environmental component coastal construction.

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments: Optional – voluntary for local government Could be in the appendix or to apply on the coast Is this more of a zoning issue? Could zoning address outdoor light levels Lighting reviewed by DEP for coastal construction permits

DOH

Septic Tanks

Modification: Standards development and current enforcement functions to remain with the agency. The Ad Hoc Committee needs to define coordination responsibilities between FBC and DOH for building elevation in relation to septic system location and clarify coordination issues.

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments: Leave it with the DOH Need to have a way for local government to have authority to intervene DOH can do a citation or DOAH action Local government can request enforcement Need coordination between DOH and local building office at time of CO Contractors need answers up front Need DOH permit before local government permit and DOH CO Combine statutory procedures in Chapter 1 administrative chapter of FBC

Public Swimming Pools

Committee Modification:

Leave as HB 4181 dictates. Standards writing to FBC and enforcement to local building departments for code inspection, with the exception of health and safety related inspections remaining with the agency. (Split)

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments: Structural under FBC Concern about local before DOH approval Now DOH does first

Migrant Camps

Committee Recommendations: Leave as is except should be reviewed for any construction code issues and these should be incorporated into the Florida Building Code.

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Mobile Home Parks

Committee Recommendations: Leave as is except should be reviewed for any construction code issues and these should be incorporated into the Florida Building Code.

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments:

Look for conformity in DOH regulation incorporated into the FBC with plumbing code

Community-Based Residential Facilities

Committee Recommendations: Leave as is except should be reviewed for any construction code issues and these should be incorporated into the Florida Building Code.

Straw Poll Results:

6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Sanitation Facilities/Public Employment

Committee Recommendations: Have legal staff review and delete reference from code.

Rationale: Obsolete and no longer used.

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Drinking Water Systems

Committee Recommendations: Refer to Plumbing and Special Occupancy TACs for recommendations and report back to Ad Hoc Committee.

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments: Move to FBC Conflicts with plumbing Public and private wells different Put private systems under FBC 1-2 units/dwelling Put limited public with DOH Florida Ground Water Association is drafting language for equipment for inclusion in the plumbing code for residential

Control Radiation Hazards

Committee Modifications: Put any construction related issues in the FBC for standards development and enforcement b local building departments. Environmental, health, and safety functions should remain with the agency. (split)

Straw Poll Results: 6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Water Management Districts

Water Well Construction

Proposed Modification:

Standards writing authority to remain with agency for the environmental and health components and building code components should transfer to the FBC. (Split)

Enforcement of health and environmental functions to remain with the agency and enforcement of building code functions should be transferred to local building departments. (Split)

Rationale:

Health and environmental issues should stay with agencies that have the expertise in those areas.

Straw Poll Results:

6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

4. Other State Programs

DOS

Historic Buildings

Committee Recommendations:

Florida Building Commission would develop and maintain standards with DOS technical support.

Enforcement remains with local building departments.

Straw Poll Results:

6 – 0 in support of the proposed recommendation.

Comments:

They have no authority now – only guidelines. Must follow if you have federal money May reduce standards. There is an incentive to find alternative ways to achieve performance

Public Comments

Turtles are not construction issues - it is environmental

Food agencies care about health and sanitation - not construction I am concerned about expertise of building inspectors to deal with food facilities

Post-It Notes

<u>WMD</u>

- Under regulation Chair for WMD inspection water well construction an X needs to be placed in inspection ongoing column – as district maintains responsibility for wells after construction – Lee
- Under regulation Chair for WMD inspection water well construction an X needs to be included under permit – who issues local – do wells less than 6" in 8 counties within WM – St. Johns – Lee
- P44-45 26 (?) districts have delegated wells less than 6" O.D. to local authorities within county who have demonstrated ability, and staff expertise to permit and enforce 373 well construction criteria – Lee
- P44 Well head protection currently WMD are developing well head protection within WMD boundaries; WMD enforces well setbacks from possible sources of contamination during initial permitting and follow up in field inspections Larry Lee

DOH

- Septic Tanks FBC should coordinate regulation of septic tanks on connection to the structure Chuck Vaugh, FL Assoc Plumbing
- Swimming Pools DOH makes no requirements on rebar or concrete strength our requirements are for health and safety, such as # of skimmers to remove soil and debris; c the site of a chlorinator to provide sufficient cl2 to sanitize the water – Juarez
- DOH has statutory authority over limited public and private water systems. This include pumps, any treatment components and storage tanks Briggs/DOH
- Public swimming pool plan review with DOH first Paul Sutherland, United Pool/Spa Assoc

DEP

- The regulation characteristics matrix also states in the "code regs" column that only the state agency has rule adoption responsibilities. This is not entirely correct, because the U. EPA has nation-wide rules for underground storage tanks. Some of these are more stringent than state rules and laws and federal tank rules are in the process of being revise – MT Mott-Smith, FDEP
- The regulation characteristics matrix specifies that there is a permit required for storage tanks. This is incorrect. It is not a pre-approval government permit, but a post-submittal registration process. No state permit is required for underground or above ground storage tanks

- Underground storage tanks are regulated and pre-empted by the federal government and per the specific exemption contained in 98-287 L.O.F., should be removed from the draft (informational only reference would be acceptable)
- Well regulation plumbing code should regulate wells above the well head Chuck Vaugh, FAPHCC

DACS

- DACS needs to retain enforcement authority to force compliance with sanitation requirements that have a building code element example sinks
- In the agency/program matrix under DACS, please be aware that there is a statutory authority for voluntary plan review done by the state agency

<u>General</u>

• In response to an earlier statement, some state agencies do talk to each other. The Department of Health DBPR and DOACS meet quarterly to discuss issues common to the agencies

DBPR

- A certificate of operation is given to the permit holder upon passing an inspection of a newly installed elevator. This certificate is required to be posted in the elevator by most local agencies prior to issuing a CO. DBPR will initiate more effective communication with building officials
- Municipalities do not have the expertise or resources to complete the almost 220,000
 inspections DBPR/H&R does each year. No ongoing inspections have been contemplated
 by the Building Code
- BPR/hotels and restaurants fully support the concept of the united codes, but must retain enforcement authority regarding safety and sanitation regulations. This is an operational issue rather than a construction issue

DCA

• Manufactured buildings – mobile homes should be treated consistently under the FBC an should be the manufactured building model – Chuck Vaugh

DMV

- Mobile Homes placement and set up and tie down should be part of FBC Chuck Vaug
- Regulation and treatment of FBC should be like manufactured buildings as to siting and s up Chuck Vaugh

Summary of Meeting Evaluation

Florida Building Commission State Agency Review Ad Hoc Committee

October 4, 1999 Gainesville, Florida

How well did the Committee achieve the meeting objectives?

		Circle One Good			Poor	Avg
To Review State Agency Functions Relative to Standard Setting and Degradation	5	4	3	2	1	5.00
 Setting and Regulation To Review Legislative Intent and Law Requirements Relative to Agency Regulation 	5	4	3	2	1	5.00
 To Review Special Occupancy/Specialty Codes TAC Recommendations on Criteria for Modifications 				2	1	5.00
 To Review and Seek Agreement or Options for Possible Modifications to True Building Code Programs, Facility/Business Licensing Programs, Environmental Health 	5	4	3	2	1	5.00
 Programs and Other State Programs; To Provide an Opportunity for Public Comments on the Proposed Modifications or Options; 	5	4	3	2	1	4.75
 To Agree and Adopt an Initial Report to the Commission on State Agency Standard Setting and Enforcement Functions. 	5 4	4	3	2	1	5.00
Rate the following aspects of the meeting?						
Clarity of the meeting purpose and plan		4	3	2	1	4.75
Balance of structure and flexibility		4	3	2	1	5.00
Group involvement and productivity		4	3	2	1	5.00
Facilitation		4	3	2	1	4.75
Facility		4	3	2	1	4.28

Comments: What did you like best about the meeting? Guidance by matrix.

Agenda Packet

State Agency Review Ad Hoc Committee

October 4, 1999 Gainesville, Florida

Meeting Objectives

- To Review State Agency Functions Relative to Standard Setting and Regulation
- To Review Legislative Intent and Law Requirements Relative to Agency Regulation
- To Review Special Occupancy/Specialty Codes TAC Recommendations on Criteria for Proposed Modification
- To Review and Seek Agreement or Options for Possible Modifications to True Building Code Programs, Facility/Business Licensing Programs, Environmental Health Programs and Other State Programs
- To Provide an Opportunity for Public Comments on the Proposed Modifications or Options
- To Agree and Adopt an Initial Report to the Commission on State Agency Standard Setting and Enforcement Functions.

Agenda

- 9:00 Welcome and Introductions
- 9:05 Review and Adopt Agenda, Meeting Process, Groundrules, and Roles
- 9:15 Review Commission Decision Making Process Timeline Flowchart
- 9:20 Overview of Matrix of Current Agencies' Regulation Functions/Authority Relative to Standards Setting and Enforcement
- 9:30 Overview and Orientation of State Agency Analysis Packet
- 9:40 Overview of Legislative Intent and Law Requirements
- **10:00** Review Special Occupancy/Specialty Codes TAC Recommendations
- 10:15 Break

10:30 True Building Code Programs

Review and Propose Modifications to Current Agency Authority for Maintenance of Standards and Enforcement Using Matrix Identify Rationale and Agreement on Modifications Seek Options to Present to Commission Where Committee cannot Agree Rank Options to Reflect Level of Committee Support Identify any Additional Information Needed from any Agency for Further Consideration

12:45 Working Lunch

1:00 Facility/Business Licensing Programs

Review and Propose Modifications to Current Agency Authority for Maintenance of Standards and Enforcement Using Matrix Identify Rationale and Agreement on Modifications Seek Options to Present to Commission Where Committee cannot Agree Rank Options to Reflect Level of Committee Support Identify any Additional Information Needed from any Agency for Further Consideration

2:15 Environmental/Health Programs

Review and Propose Modifications to Current Agency Authority for Maintenance of Standards and Enforcement Using Matrix Identify Rationale and Agreement on Modifications Seek Options to Present to Commission Where Committee cannot Agree Rank Options to Reflect Level of Committee Support Identify any Additional Information Needed from any Agency for Further Consideration

3:00 Other State Programs

Review and Propose Modifications to Current Agency Authority for Maintenance of Standards and Enforcement Using Matrix Identify Rationale and Agreement on Modifications Seek Options to Present to Commission Where Committee cannot Agree Rank Options to Reflect Level of Committee Support Identify any Additional Information Needed from any Agency for Further Consideration

3:30 Break

- 3:45 Public Comment on Proposed Modifications/Variations
- 4:15 Review and Refine Recommendations and Options to Include in a Report to the Commission
- 4:50 Summary and Drafting Assignments
- 5:00 Adjourn

PROPOSED GROUNDRULES State Agency Review Ad Hoc Committee

October 4, 1999

1. Decision Rule. Committee members will use the Commission consensus decision rule requiring 75% support for recommendations to be presented back to the Commission in October. (requiring 4 of 5 or 5 of 6 members to agree on an option to make a committee decision).

2. Committee Charge. Committee members are charged by the Chair to develop consensus on recommendations for presentation to the Commission for consideration in October.

3. Committee Members and Advisors. Committee members, including the Commission Vice Chair, will be the only participants at the table. The Chair, at his discretion, may permit an observer to provide information to the Committee and any member may request a caucus to consult with them prior to a Committee decision.

4. Problem Solving. Members should acknowledge different views and perspectives and avoid stereotyping and personal attacks.

5. Observers. Observers are welcome to speak during the public comment session and provide input through written comments on post-its during the course of the meeting.

6. Facilitation. Facilitators will assist the Chair and members by facilitating an efficient consensus process to adopt recommendations for presentation to the full Commission in October. Facilitators may suggest use of consensus techniques such as ranking to achieve consensus on the option.

Florida Building Commission's Milestones (October, 1999)

July, 1996	Governor Chiles establishes the Florida Building Codes Study Commission.
May, 1998	The Florida Legislature passes and Governor signs HB 4181.
July, 1998	The Florida Building Commission is formed.
September, 1998	The Commission reaches consensus on criteria for potential modifications to base codes.
October, 1998	The Commission selects the 1997 Standard Building Code as the base code (including the Standard Plumbing Code, Standard Mechanical Code, Standard Gas Code, the 1996 National Electrical Code (NFPA 70), and the 1996 National Fire Alarm Code (NFPA 72). The Commission agrees on guidelines for representation on the TAC/TGs.
November, 1998	The Commission appoints nine Technical Advisory Committees (TACs) and two Task Groups (TG) to refine the Base Code into an approved draft for public dissemination.
January, 1999	Commission selects the 1999 National Electrical Code as the base Electrical Code and the National Alarm Code as the base Alarm Code.
	Commission selects 1998 Florida Energy Efficiency Code for Building Construction.
	The first Public Input Forum held in Orlando.
February, 1999	Commission replaces the 1997 Standard Mechanical Code with the 1998 International Mechanical Code as the base Mechanical Code.
	Commission replaces the 1997 Standard Gas Code with the International Fuel Gas Code as the base Gas Code.
April, 1999	In April of 1999 the Commission authorizes the printing and dissemination of the TAC/TG recommendations on a working draft of the Florida Building Code and approves eight Public Input Forums to be held around the state in order to solicit public comment on the committee's recommendations.

May, 1999	Commission sponsors 8 public input forums in Ft. Lauderdale, Melbourne, Jacksonville, Tallahassee, Orlando, Naples, Tampa and Pensacola and schedules an additional public hearing in Miami/Kendall on June 9. Commission agrees on workplan and decision making process for TACs/TG and Commission.
June, 1999	Commission begins review of South Florida code integration issues beginning with wind load design options and holds additional Draft I public hearings in Miami and Pensacola. New Chair appointed by Governor.
July, 1999	Commission approves five facilitated public hearings on draft II of the FBC. Commission ranks windload design options and reviews code enforcement issues. Chair assigns a drafting group to address the South Florida code integration issues of wind load design, roofing, and code enforcement. Commission reviews and adopts workplan from July through February of 2000 and approves a 51% quorum rule for the TAC/TGs. Drafting Committee on South Florida code integration issues meets and agrees on a consensus option to present to the Commission in August.
August, 1999	Commission unanimously adopts draft II of the Florida Building Code including windload design, roofing, and code enforcement provisions for addressing the unique concerns of South Florida. Commission unanimously adopts additional criteria and process for considering proposed modifications to Draft II. Commission moves to file rule adopting the 1999 Edition of the National Electric Code.
September, 1999	Commission approves consent responses to written comment on Draft I of the FBC. Commission unanimously adopts Education TG proposal for conceptual design for Building Code Training Program. State Agency Review Ad Hoc Committee appointed to present recommendations to Commission on State agency standards development and enforcement authority.