

Accessibility Proposed Code Modifications

Glitch Modifications This document created by the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation -850-487-1824

TAC: Accessibility

Total Mods for Accessibility in Approved as Submitted: 14

Total Mods for report: 14

Sub Code: Building

A6191 **Date Submitted** 4/27/2013 Section 202 Proponent T Stafford 2 Affects HVHZ Chapter No Attachments No Approved as Submitted **TAC Recommendation** Pending Review **Commission Action Related Modifications** Summary of Modification Corrects a conflict within the updated code. Type A and Type B Dwelling units are not used by the Florida Accessibility Code. Rationale Corrects a conflict within the updated code. The terms Type A and Type B Dwelling Units are not used by the Florida Accessibility Code. **Fiscal Impact Statement** Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code No impact to local entities. Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code No impact to building and property owners. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code No impact to industry. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Corrects a conflict within the updated code. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Corrects a conflict within the updated code. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Corrects a conflict within the updated code. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Corrects a conflict within the updated code. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state? YES The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO Х (a.) Conflicts within the updated code; (b.) Conflicts between the updated code and the Florida Fire Prevention Code adopted pursuant to chapter 633; (c.) Unintended results from the integration of previously adopted Florida-specific amendments with the model code: (d.) Equivalency of standards; (e.) Changes to or inconsistencies with federal or state law; (f.) Adoption of an updated edition of the National Electrical Code if the commission finds that delay of implementing the updated edition causes undue hardship to stakeholders or otherwise threatens the public health, safety, and welfare.

TYPE A UNIT.<u>Reserved.</u>A dwelling unit or sleeping unit designed and constructed for accessibility in accordance with this code and the provisions for *Type A units* in ICC A117.1.

TYPE B UNIT.<u>Reserved.</u>A dwelling unit or sleeping unit designed and constructed for accessibility in accordance with this code and the provisions for *Type B units* in ICC A117.1, consistent with the design and construction requirements of the federal Fair Housing Act.

Dete Out with 1	4/07/0040		Durant	TOLOF	Page 5 of 32
Date Submitted Chapter	4/27/2013 4	Section 403.4.8 Affects HVHZ No	Proponent Attachments	T Stafford No	
TAC Recommen Commission Ac					
Related Modifi					
Summary of M	odification				
-		ated code. Corrects section references.			
Rationale	a conflict within the unde	ated code. Corrects section references. Se	ction 1007 has been dole	tod and references	the Elorida
	ility Code.	area code. Confects section references. Sec	clion 1007 has been dele	ted and references	the Fiolida
Fiscal Impact S					
	b local entity relative to impact to local entities.	enforcement of code			
	b building and property impact to building and p	owners relative to cost of compliance with	code		
Impact to		cost of compliance with code			
Requirements					
Has a rea	asonable and substantia rrects a conflict within the	al connection with the health, safety, and w	velfare of the general put	olic	
Strength	ens or improves the coo	de, and provides equivalent or better produ	ucts, methods, or system	ns of construction	
	rrects a conflict within the	e updated code. aterials, products, methods, or systems of	f construction of demons	strated canabilities	
	rrects a conflict within the				
	t degrade the effectiveners prrects a conflict within th				
	code modification part of a	•			
YES					
The provisions c	ontained in the proposed a	mendment are addressed in the applicable inte	rnational code?		
	ode beyond the needs or re	or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Flo egional variation addressed by the foundation c		engthen	
Explanation of	of Choice				
Corrects an	nd incorrect section refere	ence.			
	nendment was submitted o Code amendment process	r attempted to be included in the foundation co ?	des to avoid resubmission	to the	
X (a.	.) Conflicts within the u	ipdated code;			
(b. 63		e updated code and the Florida Fire Pre	evention Code adopted	pursuant to chap	oter
	.) Unintended results fi odel code;	rom the integration of previously adopte	d Florida-specific amer	ndments with the	
(d.	.) Equivalency of stand	lards;			
(e.	.) Changes to or incon	sistencies with federal or state law;			
		ed edition of the National Electrical Cod		-	

403.4.8 Standby power. A standby power system complying with Chapter 27 and Section 3003 shall be provided for standby power loads specified in 403.4.8.2. Where elevators are provided in a *high-rise building* for *accessible means of egress*, fire service access or occupant self-evacuation, the standby power system shall also comply with Sections<u>1007</u>1007.4, 3007 or 3008, as applicable.

Date Submitted	4/27/2013	Section 406.4.1	Proponent	T Stafford	Page 7 of 32
Chapter	4	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommene Commission Act					
Related Modific	cations				
Summary of Mo	odification				
-		ted code. Corrects section references.			
Rationale					
		ted code. Corrects section references. Ch	apter 11 has been deleted	d and references the	e Florida
Accessibi Fiscal Impact S					
•	local entity relative to	enforcement of code			
-	impact to local entities.				
•	building and property impact to building and p	owners relative to cost of compliance with roperty owners.	code		
•	industry relative to the impact to industry.	cost of compliance with code			
Requirements					
-	sonable and substantia	I connection with the health, safety, and w	velfare of the general pub	lic	
	rects a conflict within the	•			
-	•	le, and provides equivalent or better produ	ucts, methods, or system	s of construction	
	rects a conflict within the	aterials, products, methods, or systems o	f construction of demons	trated canabilities	
	rects a conflict within the				
	degrade the effectivene				
	rrects a conflict within the	•			
Is the proposed c	ode modification part of a	prior code version?			
The provisions co	ontained in the proposed a	mendment are addressed in the applicable inte	rnational code?		
NO The survey during the		en dete thet the mean hired inside the of Fl			
	de beyond the needs or re	or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Floe gional variation addressed by the foundation c		ngtnen	
OTHER					
Explanation of					
Corrects and	d incorrect section refere	ence.			
	endment was submitted o Code amendment process	r attempted to be included in the foundation co ?	des to avoid resubmission t	o the	
X (a.)) Conflicts within the u	pdated code;			
(b.) 633		e updated code and the Florida Fire Pre	evention Code adopted	pursuant to chapt	er
) Unintended results fi odel code;	om the integration of previously adopte	d Florida-specific amen	dments with the	
(d.)) Equivalency of stanc	lards;			
(e.)) Changes to or incon	sistencies with federal or state law;			
		ed edition of the National Electrical Cod d edition causes undue hardship to sta		•	lic

406.4.1 Clear height.The clear height of each floor level in vehicle and pedestrian traffic areas shall be not less than 7 feet (2134 mm). Vehicle and pedestrian areas accommodating van-accessible parking shall comply with <u>the Florida Building Code</u>, <u>Accessibility Section 1106.5</u>.

Chapter	I 4/27/2013 4	Section 419.9 Affects HVHZ No	Proponent Attachments	T Stafford
TAC Recomme	ndation Approved as	Submitted		
ommission A Related Modi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	view		
	ications			
Summary of I				
Corrects Rationale	s a conflict within the upda	ated code. Corrects section references.		
	a conflict within the upda	ated code. Corrects section references. Ch	apter 11 has been deleted	and references the Florida
Accessi Fiscal Impact	bility Code.			
-	to local entity relative to	enforcement of code		
N	o impact to local entities.			
-	to building and property o impact to building and p	owners relative to cost of compliance with	code	
		e cost of compliance with code		
-	o impact to industry.			
Requirements				
	easonable and substantia prrects a conflict within the	al connection with the health, safety, and v e updated code	velfare of the general pub	lic
		de, and provides equivalent or better prod	ucts, methods, or system	s of construction
	orrects a conflict within the	•		
	or discriminate against m	naterials, products, methods, or systems o e updated code.	f construction of demons	trated capabilities
Does no	ot degrade the effectiven	ess of the code		
	orrects a conflict within th code modification part of a	•		
YES	code modification part of a			
The provisions	contained in the proposed a	amendment are addressed in the applicable inte	ernational code?	
NO				
The amendmen	•	e or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Fle		ngthen
The amendmen the foundation amendment app	•	e or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Flo egional variation addressed by the foundation o		ngthen
The amendmen the foundation amendment app OTHER	code beyond the needs or re lies to the state?			ngthen
The amendmen the foundation amendment app OTHER Explanation	code beyond the needs or re lies to the state?	egional variation addressed by the foundation o		ngthen
The amendmen the foundation amendment app OTHER Explanation Correct ar	code beyond the needs or re plies to the state? of Choice ad incorrect section refere	egional variation addressed by the foundation o	ode and why the proposed	
The amendmen the foundation amendment app OTHER Explanation Correct ar The proposed a Florida Building	code beyond the needs or re plies to the state? of Choice ad incorrect section refere	egional variation addressed by the foundation of nce.	ode and why the proposed	
The amendmen the foundation amendment app OTHER Explanation Correct ar The proposed a	code beyond the needs or re plies to the state? of Choice Id incorrect section refere mendment was submitted o	egional variation addressed by the foundation of nce.	ode and why the proposed	
The amendment the foundation of amendment app OTHER Explanation Correct ar The proposed a Florida Building NO	code beyond the needs or re plies to the state? of Choice Id incorrect section refere mendment was submitted o	egional variation addressed by the foundation on non- nce. For attempted to be included in the foundation co ?	ode and why the proposed	
The amendment the foundation of amendment app OTHER Explanation Correct ar The proposed a Florida Building NO	code beyond the needs or re plies to the state? of Choice Ind incorrect section refere mendment was submitted o Code amendment process a.) Conflicts within the u	egional variation addressed by the foundation of nce. or attempted to be included in the foundation co ? updated code;	ode and why the proposed	o the
The amendment amendment app OTHER Explanation Correct ar The proposed a Florida Building NO X (a	code beyond the needs or re plies to the state? of Choice Ind incorrect section refere mendment was submitted of Code amendment process a.) Conflicts within the u	egional variation addressed by the foundation on non- nce. For attempted to be included in the foundation co ?	ode and why the proposed	o the
The amendment amendment app OTHER Explanation Correct ar The proposed a Florida Building NO X (a (b) (b) (c) (c)	code beyond the needs or re plies to the state? of Choice Ind incorrect section refere mendment was submitted of Code amendment process a.) Conflicts within the u b.) Conflicts between th 33;	egional variation addressed by the foundation of nce. or attempted to be included in the foundation co ? updated code; ne updated code and the Florida Fire Pro	ode and why the proposed des to avoid resubmission to evention Code adopted	o the pursuant to chapter
The amendment the foundation of amendment app OTHER Explanation Correct ar The proposed a Florida Building NO X (a (b) (b) (c) (c)	code beyond the needs or re olies to the state? of Choice and incorrect section refere mendment was submitted of Code amendment process a.) Conflicts within the u b.) Conflicts between th 33; c.) Unintended results fr	egional variation addressed by the foundation of nce. or attempted to be included in the foundation co ? updated code;	ode and why the proposed des to avoid resubmission to evention Code adopted	o the pursuant to chapter
The amendment amendment app OTHER Explanation Correct ar The proposed a Florida Building NO (((((((((((code beyond the needs or re plies to the state? of Choice ad incorrect section refere mendment was submitted of Code amendment process a.) Conflicts within the u b.) Conflicts between th 33; c.) Unintended results fin odel code;	egional variation addressed by the foundation of nce. or attempted to be included in the foundation co ? updated code; ne updated code and the Florida Fire Pro rom the integration of previously adopte	ode and why the proposed des to avoid resubmission to evention Code adopted	o the pursuant to chapter
The amendment amendment app OTHER Explanation Correct ar The proposed a Florida Building NO (((((((((((code beyond the needs or re olies to the state? of Choice and incorrect section refere mendment was submitted of Code amendment process a.) Conflicts within the u b.) Conflicts between th 33; c.) Unintended results fr	egional variation addressed by the foundation of nce. or attempted to be included in the foundation co ? updated code; ne updated code and the Florida Fire Pro rom the integration of previously adopte	ode and why the proposed des to avoid resubmission to evention Code adopted	o the pursuant to chapter
The amendment the foundation of amendment app OTHER Explanation Correct ar The proposed a Florida Building NO X (a (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c)	code beyond the needs or re plies to the state? of Choice and incorrect section refere mendment was submitted of code amendment process a.) Conflicts within the u b.) Conflicts between th 33; c.) Unintended results fr hodel code; d.) Equivalency of stand	egional variation addressed by the foundation of nce. or attempted to be included in the foundation co ? updated code; ne updated code and the Florida Fire Pro rom the integration of previously adopte	ode and why the proposed des to avoid resubmission to evention Code adopted	o the pursuant to chapter
The amendment the foundation of amendment app OTHER Explanation Correct ar The proposed a Florida Building NO X (a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	code beyond the needs or re plies to the state? of Choice ind incorrect section refere mendment was submitted of Code amendment process a.) Conflicts within the u b.) Conflicts between th 33; c.) Unintended results fr todel code; d.) Equivalency of stance e.) Changes to or incon	egional variation addressed by the foundation of nce. or attempted to be included in the foundation co ? updated code; ne updated code and the Florida Fire Pre rom the integration of previously adopte dards; sistencies with federal or state law;	evention Code adopted	o the pursuant to chapter dments with the
The amendment the foundation of amendment app OTHER Explanation Correct ar The proposed a Florida Building NO X (a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	code beyond the needs or replies to the state? of Choice ad incorrect section refere mendment was submitted of Code amendment process a.) Conflicts within the u b.) Conflicts between th 33; c.) Unintended results fr hodel code; d.) Equivalency of stanc e.) Changes to or incon	egional variation addressed by the foundation of nce. or attempted to be included in the foundation co ? updated code; ne updated code and the Florida Fire Pro rom the integration of previously adopte dards;	evention Code adopted d Florida-specific amen	o the pursuant to chapter dments with the

419.9 Plumbing facilities. The nonresidential area of the *live/work unit* shall be provided with minimum plumbing facilities as specified by Chapter 29, based on the function of the nonresidential area. Where the nonresidential area of the *live/work unit* is required to be *accessible* by the Florida Building Code, <u>Accessibility Section 1103.2.13</u>, the plumbing fixtures specified by Chapter 29 shall be *accessible*.

Related Modifications

Summary of Modification

Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Rationale

Conflict with updated code. The provisions of Section 1007 have been deleted and references the Florida Accessibility Code.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

No impact to local entities.

Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code No impact to building and property owners.

Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

No impact to industry.

Requirements

Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code
- Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

corrects incorrect section reference.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

Х

(a.) Conflicts within the updated code;

(b.) Conflicts between the updated code and the Florida Fire Prevention Code adopted pursuant to chapter 633;

(c.) Unintended results from the integration of previously adopted Florida-specific amendments with the model code;

(d.) Equivalency of standards;



Page 12 of 32

709.4 Continuity. (no change)

Exceptions: 1-2 (no change)

3. *Smoke barriers* used for areas of refuge in accordance with Section<u>1007</u>1007.6.2 are not required to extend from outside wall to outside wall.

Related Modifications

Summary of Modification

Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Rationale

Conflict with updated code. The provisions of Chapter 11 have been deleted and references the Florida Accessibility Code.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

No impact to local entities.

Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code No impact to building and property owners.

Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

No impact to industry.

Requirements

Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code
- Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

```
YES
```

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

Corrects and incorrect section reference.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

(a.) Conflicts within the updated code;

(b.) Conflicts between	the updated code and	the Florida Fire Pre	evention Code adopt	ted pursuant to chapter
633;				

(c.) Unintended results from the integration of previously adopted Florida-specific amendments with the model code;

(d.) Equivalency of standards;



907.5.2.2.4 Emergency voice/alarm communication captions. Where stadiums, arenas and

grandstands are required to caption audible public announcements in accordance with<u>the Florida Building</u> <u>Code</u>, <u>Accessibility Section 1108.2.7.2</u>, the emergency/voice alarm communication system shall also be captioned. Prerecorded or live emergency captions shall be from an *approved* location constantly attended by personnel trained to respond to an emergency.

Date Submitted	4/27/2013	Section 1003.7		Proponent	T Stafford
Chapter	10	Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No
TAC Recommenda	ation Approved as Subm	itted			
Commission Actio	n Pending Review				

Related Modifications

Summary of Modification

Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Rationale

Conflict with updated code. the provisions of section 1007 have been deleted and reference the Florida Accessibility Code.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

No impact to local entities.

Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code No impact to building and property owners.

Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

No impact to industry.

Requirements

Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code
- Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

```
YES
```

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

Corrects an incorrect section reference.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

Х

(a.) Conflicts within the updated code;

(b.) Conflicts between the updated code and the Florida Fire Prevention Code adopted pursuant to chapter 633;

(c.) Unintended results from the integration of previously adopted Florida-specific amendments with the model code;

(d.) Equivalency of standards;



Page 16 of 32

Page: 1

1003.7 Elevators, escalators and moving walks. Elevators, escalators and moving walks shall not be used as a component of a required *means of egress* from any other part of the building.

Exception: Elevators used as an *accessible means of egress* in accordance with Section<u>1007</u>4007.4.

Date Submitted	4/27/2013	Section 1004.4		Proponent	T Stafford	
Chapter	10	Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommend	ation Approved as Subm	itted				
Commission Acti	on Pending Review					

Related Modifications

Summary of Modification

Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Rationale

Conflict with updated code. The provisions of Chapter 11 have been deleted and reference the Florida Accessibility Code.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

No impact to local entities.

Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code No impact to building and property owners.

Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

No impact to industry.

Requirements

Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code
- Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

```
YES
```

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

Corrects and incorrect section reference.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

Х

(a.) Conflicts within the updated code;

(b.) Conflicts between the updated code and the Florida Fire Prevention Code adopted pursuant to chapter 633;

(c.) Unintended results from the integration of previously adopted Florida-specific amendments with the model code;

(d.) Equivalency of standards;



1004.4 Fixed seating.

For areas having fixed seats and *aisles*, the *occupant load* shall be determined by the number of *fixed seats* installed therein. The *occupant load* for areas in which *fixed seating* is not installed, such as waiting spaces, shall be determined in accordance with Section 1004.1.2 and added to the number of *fixed seats*.

The occupant load of wheelchair spaces and the associated companion seat shall be based on one occupant for each wheelchair space and one occupant for the associated companion seat provided in accordance with the Florida Building Code, AccessibilitySection 1108.2.3.

For areas having *fixed seating* without dividing arms, the occupant load shall not be less than the number of seats based on one person for each 18 inches (457 mm) of seating length.

The occupant load of seating booths shall be based on one person for each 24 inches (610 mm) of booth seat length measured at the backrest of the seating both.

Date Submitted	4/27/2013	Section 1008.1	.5	Proponent	T Stafford		
Chapter	10	Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No		
TAC Recommend	TAC Recommendation Approved as Submitted						
Commission Actio	on Pending Review						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							

Related Modifications

Summary of Modification

Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Rationale

Conflict with updated code. The terms Type A and Type B Dwelling Units are not used by the Florida Accessibility Code.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

No impact to local entities.

Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code No impact to building and property owners.

Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

No impact to industry.

Requirements

Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code
- Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

```
YES
```

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

Corrects and incorrect section reference.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

(a.) Conflicts within the updated code;

(b.) Conflicts between	the updated code an	nd the Florida Fire	e Prevention C	ode adopted purs	uant to chapter
633;					

(c.) Unintended results from the integration of previously adopted Florida-specific amendments with the model code;

(d.) Equivalency of standards;



1008.1.5 Floor elevation. There shall be a floor or landing on each side of a door. Such floor or landing shall be at the same elevation on each side of the door. Landings shall be level except for exterior landings, which are permitted to have a slope not to exceed 0.25 unit vertical in 12 units horizontal (2-percent slope).

Exceptions:

1. -2. (no change)

3.In Group R-3 occupancies not required to be *Accessible units*, *Type A units* or *Type B units*, the landing at an exterior doorway shall not be more than 73/4 inches (197 mm) below the top of the threshold, provided the door, other than an exterior storm or screen door, does not swing over the landing.

4. – 5. (no change)

Page 20 of 32

Date Submitted	4/27/2013	Section 1009.4		Proponent	T Stafford	
Chapter	10	Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommenda	ation Approved as Subm	nitted				
Commission Actio	n Pending Review					

Related Modifications

Summary of Modification

Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Rationale

Conflict with updated code. The provisions of Section 1007 have been deleted and reference the Florida Accessibility Code.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

No impact to local entities.

Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code No impact to building and property owners.

Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

No impact to industry.

Requirements

Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code
- Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

```
YES
```

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

Corrects and incorrect section reference.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

(a.) Conflicts within the updated code;

(b.) Conflicts between	the updated code an	nd the Florida Fire	e Prevention C	ode adopted purs	uant to chapter
633;					

(c.) Unintended results from the integration of previously adopted Florida-specific amendments with the model code;

(d.) Equivalency of standards;



1009.4 Width.The width of *stairways* shall be determined as specified in Section 1005.1, but such width shall not be less than 44 inches (1118 mm). See Section<u>1007</u>1007.3 for *accessiblemeans* of *egressstairways*.

Exceptions: (no change)

Chapter

TAC Recommendation	Approved as Submitted
Commission Action	Pending Review

Commission Action

Related Modifications

Summary of Modification

Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Rationale

Conflict with updated code. The provisions of Section 1007 have been deleted and reference the Florida Accessibility Code.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

No impact to local entities.

Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code No impact to building and property owners.

Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

No impact to industry.

Requirements

Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code
- Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

Corrects an incorrect section reference.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

(a.) Conflicts within the updated code;

(b.) Conflicts between the updated	code and the Florida F	Fire Prevention Co	ode adopted pu	rsuant to chapter
633;				

(c.) Unintended results from the integration of previously adopted Florida-specific amendments with the model code;

(d.) Equivalency of standards;



1009.7.5.3 Solid risers.Risers shall be solid.

Exceptions:

1.Solid risers are not required for *stairways* that are not required to comply with Section<u>1007</u>4007.3, provided that the opening between treads does not permit the passage of a sphere with a diameter of 4 inches (102 mm).

2-4. (no change)

Related Modifications

Summary of Modification

Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Rationale

Conflict with updated code. The provisions of Chapter 11 have been deleted and reference the Florida Accessibility Code.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

No impact to local entities.

Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code No impact to building and property owners.

Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

No impact to industry.

Requirements

Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Corrects a conflict within the updated code.
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code
- Corrects a conflict within the updated code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

Corrects and incorrect section reference.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

(a.) Conflicts within the updated code;

(b.) Conflicts	between the update	d code and the	Florida Fire Preve	ention Code ado	pted pursuant to	chapter
633;						

(c.) Unintended results from the integration of previously adopted Florida-specific amendments with the model code;

(d.) Equivalency of standards;



1010.7.4 Change in direction.Where changes in direction of travel occur at landings provided between *ramp* runs, the landing shall be 60 inches by 60 inches (1524 mm by 1524 mm) minimum.

Exception: In Group R-2 and R-3 individual dwelling or sleeping units that are not required to be *Accessible units, Type A units* or *Type B units* in accordance with the Florida Building Code, Accessibility Section 1107, landings are permitted to be 36 inches by 36 inches (914 mm by 914 mm) minimum.

46083				Page 27 of 32	
Date Submitted Chapter	4/19/2013 11	Section 106 Affects HVHZ No	Proponent Attachments	Ken Cureton Yes	
FAC Recommen Commission Ac Related Modifi	ndation Approved as tion Pending Re	Submitted			
None Summary of M Revise th		"Commercial Facilities" for consistency wi	ith 28 CFR Part 36 (2010).		
Rationale Revise de	efinition for "Commercial	Facilities" for consistency with 28 CFR P	art 36 (2010). See attached		
Fiscal Impact S Impact to No	o local entity relative to	enforcement of code			
Impact to No	• • • •	owners relative to cost of compliance w	ith code		
Impact to No	•	cost of compliance with code			
Requirements					
Ye	s	Il connection with the health, safety, and			
Ye	•				
Do Does not					
	code modification part of a				
	ontained in the proposed a	mendment are addressed in the applicable in	nternational code?		
NO					
	ode beyond the needs or r	or data that the geographical jurisdiction of egional variation addressed by the foundatio		ngthen	
Explanation of	of Choice				
For consiste	ency with Federal Law				
	nendment was submitted c Code amendment process	r attempted to be included in the foundation ?	codes to avoid resubmission t	to the	
(a.	.) Conflicts within the ι	ipdated code;			
(b. 63		e updated code and the Florida Fire F	Prevention Code adopted	pursuant to chapter	
	(c.) Unintended results from the integration of previously adopted Florida-specific amendments with the model code;				
(d.	.) Equivalency of stand	lards;			
		sistencies with federal or state law;			
im		ed edition of the National Electrical C ed edition causes undue hardship to s re			

Section 106 DEFINITIONS

Revise the definition for the term "Commercial Facilities" as follows:

Commercial Facilities. Facilities:

(1) Whose operations will affect commerce;

(2) That are intended for nonresidential use by a private entity; and

(3) That are not:

(a) Facilities that are not covered or expressly exempted from coverage under the Fair Housing Act of 1968, as amended (42 U.S.C. 3601-3631);

(b) Aircraft; or

(c) Railroad locomotives, railroad freight cars, railroad cabooses, commuter or intercity passenger rail cars (including coaches, dining cars, sleeping cars, lounge cars, and food service cars), and any other railroad cars described in Section 242 of the ADA or covered under Title II of the ADA, or railroad rights-of-way. For purposes of this definition, "rail" and "railroad" have the meaning given the term "railroad" in Section 202(e) of the Federal Railroad Safety Act of 1970 [45 U.S.C.431(e)].

Page: 1

Page 28 of 32

GLITCH MOD NO. 6083 ATTACHMENT A1

Sec.36.104 Definitions.(28 CFR Part 36 (2010)

Commercial facilities means facilities ---

- (1) Whose operations will affect commerce;
- (2) That are intended for nonresidential use by a private entity; and

(3) That are not -

(i) Facilities that are covered or expressly exempted from coverage under the Fair Housing Act of 1968, as amended (42 U.S.C. 3601 - 3631);

(ii) Aircraft; or

(iii) Railroad locomotives, railroad freight cars, railroad cabooses, commuter or intercity passenger rail cars (including coaches, dining cars, sleeping cars, lounge cars, and food service cars), any other railroad cars described in section 242 of the Act or covered under title II of the Act, or railroad rights-of-way. For purposes of this definition, "rail" and "railroad" have the meaning given the term "railroad" in section 202(e) of the Federal Railroad Safety Act of 1970 (45 U.S.C. 431(e)).

ate Submitted hapter	4/19/2013 11	Section 224 Affects HVHZ No	Proponent Attachments	Ken Cureton
AC Recommen	dation Approved as	is Submitted	,	110
Related Modifi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
None				
Summary of M				
	able 224.2 to correct tab	bular reference to indicate Section 224.6	.3, which includes language re	egarding values in Table 224.2.
Rationale	tabular reference to indi	licate Section 224.6.3, which includes lar	auaae regarding values in Tal	hle 221 2
				010 224.2.
Fiscal Impact S	o local entity relative to	enforcement of code		
No	•			
Impact to No	• • • •	owners relative to cost of compliance	with code	
Impact to	o industry relative to the	e cost of compliance with code		
No	ne			
Requirements				
		ial connection with the health, safety, a	nd welfare of the general pub	lic
Ye				
Strength Ye:	•	ode, and provides equivalent or better p	roducts, methods, or system	s of construction
		naterials, products, methods, or systen	ns of construction of demons	trated capabilities
	-	inst materials, products, methods, or sys		•
	degrade the effectiven			
	es not degrade the effect			
Is the proposed of YES	code modification part of a	a prior code version?		
	enteined in the averaged		internetional code?	
The provisions c	ontaineu în the proposeu a	amendment are addressed in the applicable	international code?	
NO				
NO The amendment	demonstrates by evidence	e or data that the geographical jurisdiction o	of Florida exibibits a need to stre	nathen
The amendment	-	e or data that the geographical jurisdiction or regional variation addressed by the foundat		ngthen
The amendment the foundation co amendment appl	ode beyond the needs or r			ngthen
The amendment the foundation co amendment appl OTHER	ode beyond the needs or r ies to the state?			ngthen
The amendment the foundation co amendment appl OTHER Explanation c	ode beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice	regional variation addressed by the foundat		ngthen
The amendment the foundation or amendment appl OTHER Explanation or Editorial / c	ode beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section.	regional variation addressed by the foundat	ion code and why the proposed	-
The amendment the foundation or amendment appl OTHER Explanation o Editorial / c The proposed an	ode beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section.	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundation	ion code and why the proposed	-
The amendment the foundation or amendment appl OTHER Explanation o Editorial / c The proposed an	ode beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section nendment was submitted o	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundation	ion code and why the proposed	-
The amendment the foundation or amendment appl OTHER Explanation o Editorial / o The proposed an Florida Building	ode beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section nendment was submitted o	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundation	ion code and why the proposed	-
The amendment the foundation or amendment appl OTHER Explanation or Editorial / c The proposed an Florida Building	ode beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section nendment was submitted o	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundations?	ion code and why the proposed	-
The amendment the foundation or a mendment appl OTHER Explanation or Editorial / c Editorial / c The proposed an Florida Building NO (a.	ode beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section nendment was submitted of Code amendment process	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundation s? updated code;	ion code and why the proposed	o the
The amendment the foundation or a mendment appl OTHER Explanation or Editorial / c The proposed an Florida Building NO X (a.	ode beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section nendment was submitted of Code amendment process) Conflicts within the u	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundations?	ion code and why the proposed	o the
The amendment the foundation or a mendment appl OTHER Explanation or Editorial / c Editorial / c The proposed an Florida Building NO (a.	ode beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section nendment was submitted of Code amendment process) Conflicts within the u	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundation s? updated code;	ion code and why the proposed	o the
The amendment the foundation of amendment appl OTHER Explanation of Editorial / c The proposed an Florida Building NO X (a. 63	ode beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section nendment was submitted of Code amendment process) Conflicts within the u) Conflicts between th 3;	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundation s? updated code;	ion code and why the proposed on codes to avoid resubmission to Prevention Code adopted	o the pursuant to chapter
The amendment in the foundation of amendment applotter Explanation of Editorial / c Editorial / c The proposed an Florida Building NO X (a. 63 (b. 63 (c. 63)	ode beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section nendment was submitted of Code amendment process) Conflicts within the u) Conflicts between th 3;	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundation s? updated code; he updated code and the Florida Fire	ion code and why the proposed on codes to avoid resubmission to Prevention Code adopted	o the pursuant to chapter
The amendment in the foundation of amendment applotter Explanation of Editorial / c Editorial / c Editorial Building NO X (a. 63 (b. 63 (c. motor)	bde beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section nendment was submitted of Code amendment process) Conflicts within the r) Conflicts between th 3;) Unintended results f odel code;	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundation s? updated code; he updated code and the Florida Fire from the integration of previously add	ion code and why the proposed on codes to avoid resubmission to Prevention Code adopted	o the pursuant to chapter
The amendment in the foundation of amendment applotter Explanation of Editorial / c Editorial / c Editorial Building NO X (a. 63 (b. 63 (c. motor)	bede beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section nendment was submitted of Code amendment process .) Conflicts within the r .) Conflicts between th 3; .) Unintended results f	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundation s? updated code; he updated code and the Florida Fire from the integration of previously add	ion code and why the proposed on codes to avoid resubmission to Prevention Code adopted	o the pursuant to chapter
The amendment is foundation or a mendment applotter Explanation or Editorial / c Editorial / c Editorial Building NO X (a. 63) X (b. 63) (c. model) (c. model) (c. model) (c. model)	bede beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section nendment was submitted of Code amendment process .) Conflicts within the r .) Conflicts between th 3; .) Unintended results f odel code; .) Equivalency of stand	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundation s? updated code; he updated code and the Florida Fire from the integration of previously add	ion code and why the proposed on codes to avoid resubmission to Prevention Code adopted	o the pursuant to chapter
The amendment is the foundation of amendment applotter of the control of the cont	bede beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section nendment was submitted of Code amendment process .) Conflicts within the u .) Conflicts between th 3; .) Unintended results f odel code; .) Equivalency of stand .) Changes to or incom	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundation s? updated code; he updated code and the Florida Fire from the integration of previously add indards; nsistencies with federal or state law;	ion code and why the proposed on codes to avoid resubmission to e Prevention Code adopted opted Florida-specific amen	o the pursuant to chapter dments with the
The amendment is the foundation of amendment applotter of the control of the cont	bede beyond the needs or r ies to the state? of Choice orrect reference section nendment was submitted of Code amendment process .) Conflicts within the u .) Conflicts between th 3; .) Unintended results f odel code; .) Equivalency of stand .) Changes to or incom .) Adoption of an updat	regional variation addressed by the foundat n. or attempted to be included in the foundation s? updated code; he updated code and the Florida Fire from the integration of previously add idards;	ion code and why the proposed on codes to avoid resubmission to e Prevention Code adopted opted Florida-specific amen Code if the commission find	o the pursuant to chapter dments with the Is that delay of

Florida Accessibility Code

Revise Table 224.2 to read as follows:

TABLE 224.2

GUEST ROOMS WITH MOBILITY FEATURES

Minimum Number of Required Rooms Without Roll- in Showers	Minimum Number of Required Rooms With Roll-in Showers	Total Number of Required Rooms	Florida 5%	
1 to 25	1	0	1	
26 to 50	2	0	2	
51 to 75	3	1	4	
76 to 100	4	1	5	
101 to 150	5	2	7	
151 to 200	6	2	8	See Section
201 to 300	7	3	10	224.2
301 to 400	8	4	12	
401 to 500	9	4	13	<u>224.6.3</u>
501 to 1000	2 percent of total	1 percent of total	3 percent of total	
	20, plus 1 for each 100,	10, plus 1 for each		
1001 and over	or	100, or	30, plus 2 for each 100, or	
	fraction thereof, over	fraction thereof,	fraction thereof, over 1000	
	1000	over 1000		