

**FLORIDA BUILDING COMMISSION
Plenary Session
Tradewinds
5500 Gulf Boulevard,
St. Petersburg Beach, Florida 33706
February 4-5, 2013
Day One
February 4, 2013**

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

Dick Browdy, Chair	Jeffrey Gross
Hamid Bahadori	Jon Hamrick
Bob Boyer	Rafael Palacios
Oscar L. Calleja	Bradley W. Schiffer
Nanette (Nan) Dean	James Schock
Kevin M. Flanagan	Drew Smith
Charles L. Frank	Jeff Stone
Herminio Gonzalez	Brian Swope
Ken Gregory	John "Tim" Tolbert
Dale Greiner	

COMMISSIONERS NOT PRESENT:

John Scherer

OTHERS PRESENT:

Jim Richmond	Chris Burgwald
Mo Madani	Marlita Peters
Sandy O'Connor	Ann Stanton
Jim Hammers	Joe Bigelow
April Hammonds	

MEETING FACILITATION:

The meeting was facilitated by Jeff Blair from the FCRC Consensus Center at Florida State University. Information at: <http://consensus.fsu.edu/>

WELCOME:

Chairman Browdy welcomed the Commission, staff and the public to St. Pete and the February 2013 plenary session of the Florida Building Commission. He explained the primary focus of the February meeting is, in addition to deciding on regular procedural issues including product and entity approvals, applications for accreditor and course approvals, petitions for declaratory statements, accessibility waivers, and recommendations from our various committees, to consider and decide on TAC recommendations and comments on submitted public comments regarding proposed code modifications for the 2013 Florida Building Code.

Chairman Browdy stated if anyone wished to address the Commission on any issues before the Commission they should sign-in on the appropriate sheet(s). He then stated the Commission would provide an opportunity for public comment on each of the Commission's substantive discussion topics. He further stated if one wanted to comment on a specific substantive Commission agenda item, to please come to the speaker's table at the appropriate time. He concluded by stating public input was welcome and should be offered before there was a formal motion on the floor.

The Chair noted that there was buff colored "Public Comment Forms" on the speakers' table to be used for providing written comments, and all written comments would be included in the Facilitator's Summary Report. Please give your completed forms to Jeff Blair.

Chairman Browdy explained that some of the licensing boards located within the Department of Business and Professional Regulation, have adopted rules regarding continuing education credits for attending Florida Building Commission meetings and/or Technical Advisory Committee meetings. Participants whose board participates may sign-in on the laptop kiosk station located in the meeting room.

Chairman Browdy then conducted a roll call of the Commission members, stating that there are 20 members currently with 5 vacancies stating the areas that are vacant.

Chairman Browdy made a special request of the Commissioners, stating that any Commissioner who wished to pull any item from our consent or public comment agenda that has not already done so, should submit the appropriate TAC or Mod number to Mo Madani prior to noon today. This is to ensure the process is organized and that there are no surprises and everyone is kept informed.

Chairman Browdy advised that Jeff Blair would now introduce the agenda for the February Meeting.

Jeff Blair welcomed everyone to the February Plenary Session and introduced the agenda as follows:

- To Approve Regular Procedural Topics (Agenda and Minutes)
- To Consider/Decide on Chair's Discussion Issues/Recommendations.
- To Consider and Approve the Updated Workplan
- To Consider/Decide on Accessibility Waiver Applications.
- To Consider/Decide on Approvals and Revocations of Products and Product Approval Entities.
- To Consider Applications for Accreditor and Course Approval.
- To Consider Presentation on Commission Processes and Duties and Obligations Attendant to Commission Service.
- To Consider/Decide on Legal Issues: Binding Interpretations, Specifically Binding Interpretation #91, and Petitions for Declaratory Statements.
- To Consider/Decide on Issues Related to Adoption of Rules.
- To Consider a Presentation by the International Code Council and Issues Related to Publication of the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code.
- To Consider/Decide on Technical Advisory Committees Reports/Recommendations.
- To Consider/Decide on POCs Reports/Recommendations: Education and Product Approval Program Oversight Committee (POCs).
- To Consider Proposed Code Modifications for the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code, and Committee Recommendations and Public Comments in Relation Thereto.
- To Hear Public Comment.
- To Identify Needed Next Steps, Assignments, and Agenda Items For Next Meeting to be held in April 8th and 9th in St. Augustine, Fl.

Approval of Procedural Topics, Agenda and Minutes:

Chairman Browdy entertained a motion to approve the February 4th and 5th 2013 agenda as presented. Motion entered and seconded, passed unanimously. Chairman Browdy stated agenda was approved as submitted and posted. Chairman Browdy moved onto the approval of the December 4, 2012 Facilitators Summary Report and the January 7, 2013 Teleconference minutes received electronically prior to today's meeting. Chairman Browdy entertained a motion to approve the Facilitator report and minutes as presented and posted. Motion entered and seconded, passed unanimously.

Consider/Decide on Chair's Discussion Issues/Recommendations:

Chairman Browdy stated under the Chair's discussion, issues and recommendations, for the meeting today the Governor has not appointed any additional appointments, there are currently five vacancies in addition terms that have expired. He stated with respect to POC and TAC appointments, he would like to appoint Linda Parnell to the Accessibility TAC to replace Julia Cates; he welcomed Ms. Parnell and expressed appreciation for Ms. Cates service. Chairman Browdy further stated that the Secretary of DBPR also appointed Ms. Parnell to the Accessibility Advisory Council. He stated on the Electrical TAC, Lou Marks, who is an electrical plans examiner and inspector in Broward County is appointed to the Electrical TAC, he will be succeeding Terry Baker, who is ill and unable to continue his invaluable contribution to the process. He thanked Terry for his service and welcomed Lou.

Chairman Browdy expressed condolences to Friend and fellow Commissioner Hamrick on the loss of his father.

Chairman Browdy stated his last item is the annual exercises. He reminded the Commissioners that Jeff is in the process of conducting annual workplan prioritization and effectiveness assessment exercise, and it is online and members should complete both exercises by Thursday, the 28th.

Chairman Browdy stated that the next item for discussion is the consideration and approval of the updated workplan to be presented by Jim Richmond.

Consideration and Approval of the Updated Workplan:

Jim Richmond addressed the Commissioners stating that there are many pieces and parts and he wanted to reflect them in the workplan that we have. He stated the annual report will be discussed at the April meeting and is reflected in the first change. Jim stated he would go through the changes quickly, if there are any questions to feel free to stop him and ask. He stated that we would talk more on this later in the agenda he advised that legal's rules portion has come into some road blocks with regard to the screen and closure workgroup and that rule is still being processed, but we are anticipating it taking effect by January 2nd if not at least filed with the Department of State, we are now looking at April of this year at the latest. There will be some additional information provided.

Consideration and Approval of the Updated Workplan (cont):

Jim stated for the current meeting, the task the Commissioners will undertake later in the day, initially on the workplan, this meeting was for a Rule Development Workshop, however due to some other rules and issues that workshop is going to be held instead concurrently with the termination and review of the Commission Glitch Mod in June. That is reflected there, and should not result in any delay to the Code, it is just a matter of pushing the initiation of the Chapter 120 Rule Adoption process back and then further meshing it with our code development processes as defined in Chapter 553. The final Rule Hearing on the Code Modifications remains in August if everything moves as anticipated.

Jim stated reflected change is noticed of the adoption dates of the Glitch Code Amendments that were considered beginning back in August 2012, that as well reflects a new Administration if you will Joint Administrative Procedures Committee, and we have a new Attorney that is reviewing our rules there and have a lot of questions trying to get up to speed on our very technical and complex issues. There have been a lot of comments and questions and we have been keeping Kathleen Brown-Blake busier than she would like to be on our issues as she handles all of the rules for the Department of Business and Professional Regulation, so that one as well is anticipated final adoption in April.

Jim advised that for the threshold building inspections issues, we are still working with Commissioner Schock to determine the best and most legal means to address the concerns that have been raised. Essentially, this issue is still in a to be determined status at this point.

Mr. Richmond advised there is one area of concern from the Administrative Procedures Committee regarding fee rules and no rules being adopted. He stated as you may recall in 2009 or 2010 the Legislature amended the law and authorized us to consider fees for Declaratory Statements and Binding Opinions. It was written into the statute that we were to charge fees for Declaratory Statements and Accessibility Waivers. There was discussion in an informal workshop not a rules workshop, Governor Scott was then elected and there was a suspension of rule making back in January 2011. He stated that he believed the recommendation was given that we not charge the fees for waivers at this point basically because we have cut the costs of consideration of those waivers to an extent that we did not need a fee. However, the law remains that we shall charge a fee for those at the very least. Jim stated he has basically added a rules development workshop for April to at least open rule making on this issue and consider where to go from there. That will remove a little black mark if you will from our book at the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee.

Consideration and Approval of the Updated Workplan (cont):

Mr. Richmond stated next item relates to recommendations that increase recycling and composting, and the use of recycled construction materials, there will be recommendations considered in relation to the 2013 Annual Report at the April meeting.

Jim stated that this is the conclusion of some technical and some substantive changes to the workplan.

Jim advised that he also wanted to note at this point after our discussion in December, he reviewed dates that have at least been proposed for the move to Thursday/Friday meetings. He stated the best way to miss our other Boards our Sister Board Meetings with the Department of Business and Professional Regulations, is to move our meetings to later in the months. He further advised that he had made those adjustments, and stated we currently have the schedule out to Hotels and hopefully by April, he should be able to report on the next six meeting locations covering the 2013-2014 fiscal years.

Chairman Browdy thanked Jim for his report and requested a motion to approve the updated workplan. A motion was entered and seconded, motion was approved unanimously.

Consideration/Decision on Accessibility Waiver Applications:

Chairman Browdy announced that the Commission would now consider this month's requests for Accessibility Waivers. He advised April Hammonds will serve as legal counsel and present the Accessibility Advisory Council's recommendations.

April Hammonds, Esq. addressed the Commission advising there would be seven applications this month, advised we did not have a quorum at the Council, so these recommendations are coming to the Commission for individual recommendations of the Accessibility Council.

Ms. Hammonds started with a).

a). 1676 James Avenue Hostel, Miami Beach. She stated this was previously deferred from the December meeting. April advised they are requesting an application for a waiver from providing vertical accessibility to the second floor of an apartment building that was built in 1941 that is being changed to a high end hostel with 14,908 square feet. The project consists of renovating the building façade, reconfiguration of interior partitions and converting apartment rooms into hostel rooms with an estimated cost of \$777,261. Ms. Hammonds stated following the discussion regarding whether or not the elevator addition would constitute disproportionate costs, it came to the attention of the Council by the applicants representatives Brand and Spurt, that the building is considered a contributing historical structure in the Miami Beach Historical Preservation area. She advised that they provided some documentation during that meeting and it was the individual recommendation of each of the Council Members present that the waiver be granted due to the fact that it would create a detrimental impact to the historic significance of the structure.

Consideration/Decision on Accessibility Waiver Applications (cont):

Chairman Browdy thanked Council for the overview. He advised the Commission they have heard the synopsis of the waiver request and according to the record even though there was not a quorum present at the Council meeting, however 3 of 3 recommended approval. Chairman Browdy requested a motion to approve the waiver. A motion was made and seconded, the motion passed unanimously.

b). Harbor Walk Zipline Attraction Destin, 10 Harbor Blvd., Destin, Florida. Ms. Hammonds advised this request was also deferred from December to allow for more information to be provided specifically whether or not there was a seat that was part of this zipline ride or whether or not the rider had to be in complete control. She stated they are requesting a waiver from providing vertical accessibility to one 65 foot tower and one 93 foot tower, that both have take off and landing platforms. Ms. Hammond advised the project costs will be \$1,172,000 and estimates of \$240,000 to \$600,000 to construct waterproof shafts and elevator equipment were provided. The primary hardship to the applicant is technical difficulty and concern that safety for individuals with mobility impairments may not be possible, that is because documentation has been provided by the applicant as representative Einar Cristiani, that the operation of the amusement device confirmed that the zipline rider strap is universal and there is no way to design one that would be specific to each individuals needs and rather than a seat, the rider must be in full control of the ride during the ride as they have to put their feet down and it is controlled by gravity and their own physical capabilities. It was the recommendation of the Council Members to approve as it would be unreasonable to require the elevator and the waiver be granted.

Chairman Browdy thanked Council for the overview. He advised the Commission they have heard the synopsis of the waiver request. Chairman Browdy requested a motion to approve the waiver. A motion was made and seconded, the motion passed unanimously.

c). Christian Liagre, 137 Northeast 40th Street, Miami, Fl. Ms. Hammond advised that applicant has requested a waiver from providing vertical accessibility to a 2479 square foot second floor of an interior design service center. The first floor will be housing the show room, consultation areas of the facility used for displays and inventory for interior designers. The first floor is fully accessible and customers will be served on that level. The second floor will accommodate inventory and storage and will be used only for employees. An estimate of \$131,046 was submitted as the overall cost of the project and a quote of \$28,217 was provided for the installation of the lift. The building would actually be eligible for the Federal Elevator Exemption under 553.509, FS requires vertical accessibility be provided even with the Federal Exemption, however, the Commission is allowed to waive the State requirement to the less restricted standards of ADAG. The Council has represented that each of their individual votes would be to grant the overall waiver due to unreasonable disproportional costs.

Chairman Browdy thanked Council for the overview. He advised the Commission they have heard the synopsis of the waiver request. Chairman Browdy requested a motion to approve the waiver. A motion was made and seconded, the motion passed unanimously.

Consideration/Decision on Accessibility Waiver Applications (cont):

d). NADERPOUR & Associates, 2743 Hollywood Blvd., Hollywood, Fl. Ms. Hammond stated the applicant requested a waiver from vertical accessibility to the second floor of an existing office building undergoing an alteration. All services provided on the second floor are duplicated on the first floor. The building would be eligible for the Federal Elevator exemption again, however, of course the Commission is allowed under the section 206.34 of the Florida Accessibility Code to waive the State requirement to a less restricted standard. The overall project costs \$138,815 was provided and estimates of \$54,927.45 on documentation to install the lift. She stated each of the members of the Council recommended that a waiver be granted as costs are unreasonable.

Chairman Browdy thanked Council for the overview. He advised the Commission they have heard the synopsis of the waiver request. Chairman Browdy requested a motion to approve the waiver. A motion was made and seconded, the motion passed unanimously.

e). Haute Headz Salon, 1930 Thomasville Road, Tallahassee, Florida. Ms. Hammond stated the applicant requested a waiver from vertical accessibility to a platform that is reached by five risers that will have six hair washing stations located on it, this is currently an existing building and an additional accessible station is located on the accessible entry level. She stated this is a \$50,000 dollar alteration and the applicant submitted estimates of \$10,994 to \$12,269 to install a lift to the platform. The overall project also included upgrades to accessibility features including a lift from the sidewalk to the entry level and accessible ramp to the front door and hi-lo drinking fountain, door thresholds and lever hardware. According to the application inclusion of the features constitutes \$20,000 of the overall \$50,000 budget and the additional costs would be disproportionate, however, since it is an existing building it would not qualify for the disproportionate costs. Ms. Hammond stated each of the Council Members recommended that the waiver should be granted due to the fact that it would be unreasonable.

Chairman Browdy thanked Council for the overview. He advised the Commission they have heard the synopsis of the waiver request. Chairman Browdy requested a motion to approve the waiver. A motion was made by Commissioner Schock and Commissioner Boyer seconded, the motion passed unanimously.

Consideration/Decision on Accessibility Waiver Applications (cont):

f). Palm Bay High School Press Box, 101 Pirate Lane, Melbourne, Fl. Ms. Hammond stated the applicant was represented and they are requesting a waiver to vertical accessibility to a new 250 square foot press box estimated to cost approximately \$102,000. The press box is located at the top of and supported by existing concrete bleachers located in the football stadium. The Press Box would be eligible for an exemption to vertical accessibility under 206.2.7, of ADAG, however the accessibility code preamps that and the Commission can waive the Florida requirements for the less restrictive Federal Code. The Council Members each recommended that the waiver be granted due to the fact that it is not even necessary, so they would grant it to the extent that it is necessary.

Chairman Browdy thanked Council for the overview. He advised the Commission they have heard the synopsis of the waiver request and the recommendation by the Council Members was that they would grant the request to the extent that it was necessary and in fact required. Chairman Browdy requested a motion to accept the recommendation of the Council. A motion was entered and seconded, prior to vote there was a question from Commissioner Hamrick.

Commissioner Hamrick advised that this is actually a complete reverse about what has been happening with press boxes in schools. He stated every since he has been on the Commission, schools have been continually denied. Commissioner Hamrick further stated there are ways to allow students with vertical accessibility needs to participate in scoring boxes, this is a way for students on the newspaper to get to the press box that are vertically challenged to be able to report what is happening in sports. Commissioner Hamrick stated he is not in support of this recommendation at all.

Chairman Browdy thanked Commissioner Hamrick for his comments and asked if there were any other comments prior to taking the vote. Commissioner Schock requested to speak.

Commissioner Schock stated as he recalled this issue when it was being discussed in the Accessibility Work Group when we re-did the Standards for Accessible Design in accordance with the Florida Accessible Design, there was a proposal to follow the accessibility exemptions that were in the Federal requirement and what happened is through a quirk or unintended consequence the score box exemption went through in the Florida Accessibility Code but the press box exemption did not. So that was an oversight at that time. He further stated he believed Larry Snyder was planning on bringing in some changes somewhere down the road on the Accessibility Code. Commissioner Schock advised hopefully this would give the Commissioners a little background.

Chairman Browdy thanked Commissioner Schock for his comments and asked if there were any other comments prior to taking the vote. Commissioner Schock stated Commissioner Jeff Gross may want to comment. Commissioner Gross stated he agreed with Commissioner Schock that this was an oversight that they did want to go with the Federal Exemption that is where the committee is going; they are following the Federal Exemption.

Consideration/Decision on Accessibility Waiver Applications (cont):

f). Palm Bay High School Press Box, 101 Pirate Lane, Melbourne, FL (cont.) Chairman Browdy asked if there were any further comments, hearing none, stated there was a motion on the floor and a second for approval as recommended by the Accessibility Waiver Council. The motion passed with 18 members in favor and one opposed.

g). Gibson Park New Construction, 350 NW 13th Street, Miami, Florida. Ms. Hammond stated the applicant is requesting a waiver from providing vertical accessibility to all rows of the new bleachers system, containing 993 seats. Accessible wheel chair and companion seating are provided on the top and bottom rows. The overall project will cost \$9,645,278 and consist of baseball, football fields, a play ground, pavilion, top pool, competition pool, vita course walkways, existing bleachers and a new bleacher system with a press box which will be accessible via LULA. She further stated the project was permitted in March 2012 using the 2007 Edition of the Code, the owner could have used the 2010 Version which would not have even required a waiver from the Commission, but the design had been developed using the previous standards, so a waiver is needed. Ms. Hammond stated all three members of the Council recommended granting the waiver as due to unreasonableness.

Chairman Browdy thanked Council for the overview. He advised the Commission they have heard the synopsis of the waiver request. Chairman Browdy requested a motion to approve the waiver. A motion was made by Commissioner Schock and seconded, the motion passed unanimously.

Chairman Browdy, acknowledge Jim Richmond to speak.

Consideration and Approval of the Updated Workplan (revisited)

Mr. Richmond advised that he glossed over one item on the work plan and was requesting to speak to the Commission on that item at this time. He advised he would like to speak on this for this Commission as well as the public.

Chairman Browdy stated without objection, he could go forward and speak to the Commission.

Mr. Richmond stated on page 2 of 7 the work plan. He stated one of the items that they will see is the draft 2013 resulting from the Commission today and the TAC's work before us will be posted and available on April 1 as opposed to March 1 as previously anticipated. He further stated in order to stay on target with the dates, it is reasonable given the level and nature of the changes we are undertaking. He advised we are hoping that the Glitch changes for this cycle would be proposed between April 1st and May 1st of 2013. Jim stated he felt it was important to make sure that the Commission viewed and understood the dates and changes.

Chairman Browdy thanked Jim and advised there was no change to the work plan so there would not be a need to enter a new motion or cast new vote. He stated he would move back to the agenda, item # 7, Consideration for product and entity approvals. Chairman Browdy advised that Commissioner Jeff Stone will report on the POC's recommendations for entity approvals and Jeff

Consideration and Approval of the Updated Workplan (revisited cont.)

Blair will present the POC's recommendation for product approvals. He advised that they would start with the entity approval applications with Commissioner Stone introducing those applications.

Consideration/Decision on Approvals and Revocations of Products and Product Approval Entities:

Commissioner Jeff Stone stated that the product Approval Oversight Committee met via teleconference on Thursday afternoon, January 24, 2013. The Committee reviewed and approved six product approval entities that were recommended for approval on the consent calendar as posted. Commissioner Stone introduced a motion to approve product approval entities recommended for approval in the POC consent calendar. Chairman Browdy advised there was a motion to approve the entities as recommended by the POC and requested a second. There was a second from the Commission, the motion carried unanimously.

Chairman Browdy advised that Jeff Blair would present the POC's recommendation for product approval. Commissioner Jeff Stone would present the consent product recommendation from POC consent calendar.

Commissioner Stone introduced the consent product approvals first stating there were 116 products by consent, so he moved for approval of the products that were recommended for approval in the POC consent calendar. Chairman Browdy advised there was a motion to approve the 116 products from the POC consent agenda and called for a second. Commissioner Swope entered the second. The motion carried unanimously.

Commissioner Stone advised there were 10 Products for approval and that Jeff Blair would be introducing them.

Jeff Blair advised for the record that there were five withdrawals as posted, 15041, 16119, 16120, 16125, and 16126.

Mr. Blair advised the 10 products to be reviewed:

12828 R-1 – Public comment was requested, Mr. Blair stated the recommendation was for conditional approval with positive design pressures to 60 PSF. There being no public comment, a motion of conditional approval was entered by Commissioner Stone and a second given, vote passed unanimously.

Consideration/Decision on Approvals and Revocations of Products and Product Approval Entities: (cont)

15054 R-1 - Recommend Conditional Approval with condition, for products .8 and .9 indicate that product is not for use above 30 feet in the HVHZ areas. Public comment was requested, there being none. Commissioner Stone entered a motion for conditional approval and a second given vote passed unanimously.

15099 R-1 15368 R-2 and 15376 R-2 as combined Per Jeff Blair due to the fact that they all have the same condition, public comment was requested none given. Recommend Conditional Approval with condition, for products .8 and .9 indicate that product is not for use above 30 feet in the HVHZ areas. Commissioner Stone stated that .8 and .9 were on the first and .7 and .8 on the last two. Mr. Blair acknowledged and stated they would be introduced individually.

15099 R-1 Condition for products .8 and .9 indicate that product is not for use above 30 feet in the HVHZ areas, public comment was requested none given. Commissioner Stone entered a motion for conditional approval and a second given, vote passed unanimously.

15368 R-2 and 15376 R-2, Recommend Conditional Approval with condition of for products .7 and .8 indicate that product is not for use above 30 feet in the HVHZ areas public comment was requested none given. Commissioner Stone entered a motion for conditional approval and a second given, vote passed unanimously.

16057 Recommend Conditional Approval with a revised evaluation report and installation instruction to indicate new adhesive name and explain the name change. Provide current TAS 112 report, public comment was requested none given. Commissioner Stone entered a motion for conditional approval and a second given, vote passed unanimously.

16068 Recommend Conditional Approval with condition of for products .5 and .6 indicate that product is not for use above 30 feet in the HVHZ areas, , public comment was requested none given. Commissioner Stone entered a motion for conditional approval and a second given, vote passed unanimously.

16070 Recommend Conditional Approval with condition of for products .5 and .6 indicate that product is not for use above 30 feet in the HVHZ areas, public comment was requested none given. Commissioner Stone entered a motion for conditional approval and a second given, vote passed unanimously.

Consideration/Decision on Approvals and Revocations of Products and Product Approval Entities: (cont)

16091 Recommended for approval, public comment was requested none given. Commissioner Stone entered a motion for conditional approval and a second given, vote passed unanimously.

16100 Recommend Conditional Approval with condition of removal of all details where fixed glass windows are indicated, public comment was requested none given. Commissioner Stone entered a motion for conditional approval and a second given, vote passed unanimously.

Chairman Browdy thanked Commissioner Stone and Mr. Blair.

Applications for Accreditor and Course Approval:

Chairman Browdy advised that the next item on the Agenda is consideration of applications for Accreditor and Course Approval. He stated that Commissioner Jon Hamrick would introduce the Education POC recommendations.

Commissioner Stone advised that he was acting chairman as Commissioner Hamrick was absence. Chairman Browdy then addressed that Commissioner Stone would be introducing the Education POC recommendations.

Commissioner Stone advised that the Education Program Oversight Committee met via teleconference, January 28, 2013 and there were no new Accreditor applications submitted for approval. However, the Educational POC did consider five new course applications for approval.

BCIS Course # 541.0, 2010 Florida Building Code Accessibility by FRSA. Commissioner Stone moved to approve course #541.0 based on the Florida Building Commission's accreditation process that only verifies the accuracy of the Florida Building Code related content. The Chairman asked for any discussion, Commissioner Jon Hamrick stated he believed the rules state that the word advanced must be in the title. Recommendation for conditional approval adding "Advanced" in title. Chairman Browdy stated he did not recall the specifics on this rule asked for information from Legal, April Hammonds, she stated she was looking this information up at this time. Commissioner Hamrick further stated that this had been policy of all of the advanced courses that have been approved for the last several years. Chairman Browdy asked if there was specific language in the Rule that requires the word "Advanced" in the title of the course. Ms. Hammonds agreed that the word "Advanced" should be included, she did not have the specific language, however, stated it would not hurt

Applications for Accreditor and Course Approval:

BCIS Course # 541.0, 2010 Florida Building Code Accessibility by FRSA (cont.) to have it included. Commissioner Stone stated he would accept the modification to his motion to include the word “Advanced” in the title. Chairman Browdy stated any courses coming in for approval will need to have this included and if not will have to be approval on a conditional basis to have title updated. Commissioner Stone modified his motion to conditional approval of Course #541.0, there was a second, motion passed unanimously.

BCIS Course # 548.0, 2010 Florida Building Code Energy Conservation Committee by Florida Air Conditioning Apprenticeship Association. Commissioner Stone advised for this course per Commissioner Hamrick’s direction, there is a motion for conditional approval to include “Advanced” in the title of the course. Commissioner Stone corrected motion and advised course should be deleted from the agenda because it was previously denied at the November 2012 ED POC Meeting. Commissioner Stone advised that no action was needed on 548.0.

BCIS Course # 270.0, Advanced Building Code (Online) by Adcox Group. Commissioner Stone moved for conditional approval based on the FBC accreditation process that only verifies the accuracy of the Florida Building Code related content and after three typographical errors are corrected on slide one, second was entered and passed unanimously.

April Hammonds requested to speak, Chairman Browdy acknowledged. Ms. Hammonds stated she did have the Rule for the record since it was granted as a conditional approval; it is Rule 61G 26.002(4) requires that the word “Advanced” be in the title. She stated this should clarified for the record.

BCIS Course # 549.0, Fire Protection Systems-Advanced Course by Alarm Association of Florida, Inc. Commissioner Stone stated that since a correct timeline has been submitted to the Education Administrator as directed by the POC, he was going to move to approve the course based on the Florida Building Commission accreditation process that only verifies the accuracy of the Florida Building Code related content; there was a second, passed unanimously.

BCIS Course #544.0, Wind Mitigation Gable End Procedures and Forms, by FRSA. Commissioner Stone advised that this course is not identified as “Advanced”, recommendation for conditional approval based on the Florida Building Commission accreditation process that only verifies the accuracy of the Florida Building Code related content; and adding “Advanced “in title, there was a second to the motion and the course passed unanimously.

Applications for Accreditor and Course Approval:

BCIS Course #558.0, Advanced Florida Building Code: Understanding the Florida Building Code-Energy Internet, by BCIC, LLC. Commissioner Stone entered motion for approval of course 558.0 based on the Florida Building Commission accreditation process that only verifies the accuracy of the Florida Building Code related content; there was a second, passed unanimously.

Commissioner Stone advised the Chairman that this would concludes the list of new course applications, but stated there was one which is administratively approved updated course that the POC is recommending it for approval. He stated that course 443.1, 2010 Advanced Florida Building Code Understanding the Florida Energy Code, by BCIC, LLC, Commissioner Stone entered motion to approval of course 443.1 based on the Florida Building Commission accreditation process that only verifies the accuracy of the Florida Building Code related content; there was a second, passed unanimously.

Commissioner Stone advised the Chairman that concludes the Education POC action items.

Chairman Browdy thanked Commissioner Stone and Commissioner Hamrick for their help in moving those issues forward.

Chairman Browdy stated that the Commission will now move on to Legal issues and Legislative issues which deals with updates on appeals, binding interpretations, product approval revocations and other legal issues. Chairman Browdy asked that potential speakers sign the declaratory statement sign up sheet. He stated April Hammonds will serve as the Commissions Legal Council after legal council has reviewed the petition he will ask for public comment prior to the Commissions consideration of each individual declaratory statement.

Legal Issues: Binding Interpretations, Specifically Binding Interpretation #91, and Petitions for Declaratory Statements:

Ms. Hammonds started with [Declaratory Statement 2012-081](#) submitted by Joseph Scofield of Advanced Manufacturing and Power Systems, Inc. She stated this declaratory statement had been previously deferred with permission of the petitioner to allow him to clarify his questions; he submitted five situations that he is facing as a manufacturer that he needed clarification on from different provisions of the codes. Ms. Hammonds went through all five questions and answers that were submitted by both the Structural the Special Occupancy TAC as the questions went before both TACs. The five situations/questions and responses are available in the Legal Report [LEGAL REPORT](#) Chairman Browdy advised the Commission that they have heard the Statement and requested any public comments with regard to this statement or response. There being none, he requested a motion to approve the response to the statement. Commissioner Schock entered a motion to approve, a second was given and the motion passed unanimously.

Legal Issues: Binding Interpretations, Specifically Binding Interpretation #91, and Petitions for Declaratory Statements: (cont)

Declaratory Statement 2012-094 submitted by Joseph Hetzel of DASMA. There were four questions. Ms. Hammonds read through all four questions and answers that were submitted. The questions and responses are available in the Legal Report which can be accessed from [LEGAL REPORT](#) Chairman Browdy advised the Commission that they have heard the Statement and asked if there was any Commission response regarding the questions and responses. Commissioner Greiner asked if the response to question three really answered the question. Chairman Browdy stated that they would take a look at the question again; he then read the question and response. Chairman Browdy then asked Ms. Hammonds to respond, she again read the response from the TAC. Commissioner Greiner stated does that really answer the question, would the door also comply with the code as “opening protection”? Chairman Browdy asked Mo Madani, if he would like to address Commissioner Greiner’s question and address the concern that it is non responsive Mr. Madani stated actually the response was phrased in a way that is in accordance with what is written in the code. The code says the impact resistance is specific to the glaze or the glazing within that door, so the answer is that if it has glazing, then glazing will be required to be impact resistant. Mr. Madani further stated so the response is written to what the code allows and that an answer could not be given where it says yes, the door as it is will provide impact because of the fact that the code is specific only to the glaze. So the answer is in accordance with what the code provisions allow. Chairman Browdy asked if Mr. Hetzel was present at the meeting. Mr. Hetzel was not present, Mr. Madani stated that he accepted the response given; he was present on the Teleconference call. Chairman Browdy asked if there were any other questions on DS 2012.094, there being none, and the chair entertained a motion to the statement. Commissioner Schock entered a motion to approve, a second by Commissioner Greiner and the motion passed unanimously.

Declaratory Statement 2012-096 submitted by Ronald L. Rowe, Chief Building Official, City of Ocala. Ms. Hammonds stated this Declaratory Statement also ties in with the next Declaratory Statement; it involves the use of polyurethane resins under existing dwellings for soil stabilization. The first statement comes from the building official in Ocala there were two projects listed. Ms. Hammonds read the projects, questions and responses that were submitted. The questions and responses are available in the Legal Report which can be accessed from [LEGAL REPORT](#) Chairman Browdy asked if there were any questions from the Commission there being none, and the chair entertained a motion to the statement. Commissioner Schock entered a motion to approve, a second was entered and the motion passed unanimously.

Chairman Browdy advised that they need to be specific to this particular Statement, they have the same DS 2012-096 deals specifically with polyurethane resins under an existing dwelling, Ms. Hammonds advised that was correct. Chairman Browdy stated the next part speaks to foam plastic protection.

Declaratory Statement 2012-097 submitted by Robert W. Moody, Jr. of Uretex Holdings, Inc. Ms. Hammonds asked if Mr. Moody was present. Mr. Moody was present. She stated Mr. Moody is the Chief Operating Officer of Uretex Holdings, Inc. and he has submitted a declaratory statement that deals with similar issues. There were two questions and responses. Ms. Hammonds stated these questions were heard by the Structural TAC and Special Occupancy TAC. The questions and responses are available in the Legal Report which can be accessed from [LEGAL REPORT](#) Ms. Hammonds recognized Mr. Moody. Chairman Browdy asked that Mr. Moody state his name for the record, in which he did. Mr. Moody stated he was present representing the petitioner Uretex Holdings, Inc. which is a company specializing in soil stabilization and densification under existing earth built structures. Mr. Moody also stated he is a General Contractor and an Underground Utility Contractor and he is usually on the other side of Declaratory Statements. He went on to state he is currently serving as the Chairman, to Florida's Construction Industry Licensing Board, although he would like for it to be clear he is not here in that capacity. Mr. Moody stated he did attend the meetings of the Structural and Special Occupancy TACs and the outcome of those was acceptable to his cause and he is present in support of Declaratory Statement and appreciated the Commission's time, he stated he would be glad to answer any questions. Chairman Browdy thanked Mr. Moody and asked if there were any questions from the public or Commission there being none, and the chair entertained a motion to the statement. Commissioner Schock entered a motion to approve, a second was entered and the motion passed unanimously.

Declaratory Statement 2012-093 submitted by Lucy Yoon Park O LRK, Inc. Ms. Hammonds stated she is recommending that this statement be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction pursuant to Section 553.775(5), the petitioner requested clarification of scoping requirements from multi family facilities these pursuant to the 2012 Accessibility Code and specifically the advisory 233.1 and 233.3 which is strictly under the jurisdiction of the Department of Justice. Chairman Browdy advised the Commissioners have heard the recommendation of Council to dismiss this request DS 2012-093 by Lucy Yoon Park O LRK, Inc. for lack of jurisdiction. He asked if there was a motion to accept the recommendation of Council for dismissal, motion entered and seconded, passed unanimously.

Chairman Browdy then moved to **Binding Interpretations** which is item # 10 on the agenda.

Ms. Hammonds requested that the Commission bear with her while she gives some explanation on this as it is her understanding that this is not yet come before the Commission in its historical perspective as far as the specific appeal of a binding interpretation. Ms. Hammonds advised that Binding Interpretation #91 was issued and filed on November 26, 2012 and was duly noticed in the Administrative Register. She stated the question that was asked in the Building Code Binding Interpretation read as follows: In accordance with the 2007 Edition Florida Building Code, Building Code Section 3109 as it applies to a building that is being constructed forward of the Coastal Construction Control Line, there were three questions posed. For details of the questions and answers as well as a copy of petition you may select [Binding Interpretation # 91](#) [[Petition challenging Interpretation](#)]

Legal Issues: Binding Interpretations, Specifically Binding Interpretation #91, and Petitions for Declaratory Statements: (cont)

Ms. Hammonds explained the reason this is before the Commission today, actually at this time is not for you to take any action. She stated an appeal has been filed by the original petitioners on behalf of Beachlen Development Company, LLC pursuant to 553.775(3),(c)7. Ms. Hammonds stated that provision of our statute provides this commission with the final order of authority as to an appeal on a binding interpretation; however, the petition that was filed by Beachlen requested a formal administrative hearing at the Division of Administrative Hearings which means they are disputing their facts. She stated it was her recommendation that this does not dispute facts actually it disputes the interpretation that was ultimately rendered, so the standing at this time is that she is referring to Division of Administrative Hearings along with a simultaneous motion to relinquish their jurisdiction, stating that this does not involve disputed facts only the interpretation that came out of the original petition. Since the petitioners ultimately put the facts in, they are presumed to be correct. Ms. Hammonds advised once a ruling has been received from the Division of Administrative Hearings, Administrative Law Judge, either relinquishing jurisdiction or not, we will proceed from there. She stated if DOA does relinquish their jurisdiction and bring it back to the Commission it will be to this Commissions authority to interpret or to hear the appeal on the side of the interpretation is correct or needs to be changed in some way be issues of law.

Chairman Browdy thanked Ms. Hammonds for the detailed summary and the information was provided as informational only to the Commission. He stated this would be somewhat unprecedented for the Commission to hear a disputed binding opinion. He stated he would assume that this would occur during a Plenary Session or would there need to be a special meeting to determine that, he said this is unchartered territory for the Commission, so they will look for direction from the Legal Staff.

Rules Report: Consideration/Decisions on Issue Related to Adoption of Rules

Chairman Browdy moved to agenda item number eleven, the rules report. He stated Ms. Hammonds will provide the Commission with an updated status of the Commissions current rule initiatives and ask for the appropriate action. Chairman Browdy stated there are five rules that are out at the present time and this will give the Commission an updated status.

**Rules Report: Consideration/Decisions on Issue Related to Adoption of Rules
(cont.)**

Ms. Hammonds stated she would be providing Rules report on behalf of Kathleen Brown-Blake who is unable to make it to this meeting due to medical causes; her doctor has ordered her not to travel. Ms. Hammonds advised that she would go through each of the rules, where they are at and the actions needed to be taken as provided by Ms. Brown-Blakes information, the information has been linked up for the Commissioners.

Rule 61G20-1.002, Alternative Design Method for Screen Enclosures. [[JAPC Letter](#)]
[[proposed response](#)] [[NOPC](#)]

Ms. Hammonds stated the first rule is Rule 612G20-1.002 Alternative Design Method for Screen Enclosures. The status on this Rule is that we received a letter from the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee (JAPC) with a response stating we need the Commission to vote and also notice of change that will need to be filed according to JAPC's comments. Letter that was drafted by JAPC's Attorney was displayed on-screen; Ms. Hammonds advised the Commission will need to vote on as approving JAPC response, so that it can be sent out since the Commission has the authority to do this. Also the notice of change that is required to go with it will need to be approved. Ms. Hammonds advised that there will need to be two motions, one for the letter and one for the change.

Chairman Browdy requested a motion to approve the response letter. A motion was made and seconded, the motion passed unanimously. Chairman Browdy then requested a motion to approve the notice of change. A motion was made and seconded, the motion passed unanimously.

Rule 61G20-2.002, Statewide Amendments to the Florida Building Code.

Ms. Hammonds stated the second rule is Rule 61G20-2.002 Statewide Amendments to the Florida Building Code was published on January 30th and we are now currently waiting JAPC's review before the Commission can move forward.

Rule 61G20-3.015, Equivalence of Standards. [[JAPC letter](#)] [[proposed response](#)]

Ms Hammonds introduced the third rule, Rule 61G20-3.015, Equivalence of Standards. She stated again we have JAPC response letter that she is recommending that the Commission approve. Ms. Hammonds stated the Commission did not need an approval on any changes and once the approval on the JAPC letter has been provided by the Commission it will be sent for filing.

Chairman Browdy requested a motion to approve the response letter. A motion was made and seconded, the motion passed unanimously. Chairman Browdy asked if further motions were needed, Ms. Hammonds advised that now that the letter was approved, it will go for filing.

Rules Report: Consideration/Decisions on Issue Related to Adoption of Rules (cont.)

Chairman Browdy recognized Commissioner Gonzalez. Commissioner Gonzalez stated on the first letter states should read January 3, 2013 not January 2, 2012. “The committee did not receive this rule packet until December 13, 2012, making the earliest possible date the rule could be filed for adoption January 3, 2012. See § 120.54(3)(a)4., Fla. Stat.”

Rule 61G-20-4.001, Accessibility Standard—Procedures; Rule 61G-20-4.002, 2012 Florida Accessibility Code for Building Construction. [[Link JAPC Letter](#)] [[proposed Response](#)] [[NOPC](#)]

Ms Hammonds introduced the fourth rule, Rule 61G-20-4.001 Accessibility Standard-Procedures; Rule 61G-20-4.002, 2012 Florida Accessibility Code for Building Construction. Ms. Hammonds advised that there will need to be two motions, one for the letter and one for the change to allow this rule to go through.

Commissioner Gross requested Chairman Browdy to allow him to comment on this Rule. He stated the Accessibility TAC met last month by telephone conference and did approve the change. Commissioner Gross advised it is just changing so we align with the State requirements to change from a square firming to a declaration.

Chairman Browdy requested a motion to approve the response letter to support the TAC recommendation. A motion was made by Commissioner Gross and seconded by Commissioner Greiner, the motion passed unanimously. Chairman Browdy then requested a motion to approve the notice of change. A motion was made by Commissioner Gross and seconded by Commissioner Greiner, the motion passed unanimously.

Rule 61G20-6.002, Commission Approval of Advanced Building Code Training

Ms. Hammonds introduced rule five Rule 61G20-6.002, Commission Approval of Advanced Building Code Training. She advised the Rule will be effective February 15, 2013, advising one rule has been completed.

Contracting and Publication Issues Related to the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code; Presentation by the International Code Council

Chairman Browdy moved to agenda item 12, Contracting and Publication Issues Related to the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code. Chairman Browdy stated Mark Johnson from The International Code Council will brief the Commission on issues related to publishing the next edition of the Florida Building Code and the Commission will begin to consider this afternoon. He stated Ila Jones wanted the Commission to begin the processes of contracting with ICC for the next edition to ensure that these administrative issues do not cause any delay to the Code implementation schedule. He further stated there have been some developments that Mr. Johnson will identify. Chairman Browdy then relinquished to floor to Mr. Johnson.

Contracting and Publication Issues Related to the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code; Presentation by the International Code Council (cont.)

Mr. Johnson introduced himself as the Executive Vice President of ICC and he stated he is in charge of business development. He began by thanking the Commission for giving him the opportunity to discuss some of the publishing issues with ICC. Mr. Johnson started with a brief update on the publishing partnership that traces its roots about 15 to 16 years ago, going back to the former founding legacy organizations of ICC. He said looking back at some general trends, ICC was looking over the data going back to 2004 edition, 2007 edition, and 2010 edition and he said really 2004 that edition of Florida Code was the watermark. Mr. Johnson said it was before the recession and was an all time high, but when you take that as the basis line looking forward, they looked at 2007 edition and saw basically a drop off in Code purchases and usage of about 50%. He stated as they looked at 2010 edition which has still not come to completion, ICC is projecting about a 55% to 60% drop off from 2004. Mr. Johnson said much of the decline is driven by the economy which we have no control over, but at the same time another factor has come into play as ICC has made the public access site to the Florida Codes more flexible and continue to improve, but there is crowding out which is natural as they move forward and that has been a service provided with the State of Florida and they are seeing that it is obviously being used to a large degree because there have been periods of time there was disruption which is rare, they hear about it instantly. He stated the good news is that a lot of people are using the public access site. Mr. Johnson further stated for the public access site, ICC is looking for a new vendor to host it, as the current soft ware vendor was purchased by another party, so currently they are in the process of identifying new vendors and hoping to make sure there is no discontinuity in service. He advised looking ahead at the new editions of the Florida Codes, continuing the public site making sure to maintain the basic search features, easy navigational tools, but at the same time having an enhanced proprietary site that would also provide the citizens of Florida and contractors, engineers, and code users a more robust subscription page site that would have other features, enhanced search features easier to navigate through, but also too it became very important for request to have access to more and more of the standards that are referenced in the Florida Building Code. He advised more and more Code Officials, contractors and engineers want a portal that has everything integrated at one location that simplifies time and money. He said they are looking into those requests and hopefully will have a proprietary site as well with the next edition of the Florida Codes. Mr. Johnson said there is a big push for digitization, they are responding to that; they are getting menu request for Florida for digital format, so they have put the Florida Codes or made it available on the iPad and are looking at Android options and other options as well to address the mobile needs of the constituents of Florida. ICC is looking ahead as they move forward in publishing. Mr. Johnson said it is too early to say what the pricing will be at this juncture, but will be working with the State to ensure that we are synchronizing the schedule so that the time estimate needed can be met. Also on the package deals in Florida there are a lot of various requests for packages of the Florida Codes, they are going to continue packaging as

Contracting and Publication Issues Related to the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code; Presentation by the International Code Council (cont.)

they move forward and look for other ways to streamline requests. Focus is on enhancing what is available on the digital arena for the code users due to demand.

Mr. Johnson stated he would be glad to answer any questions.

Chairman Browdy requested the Commission to ask questions of Mr. Johnson at this time.

Commissioner Schock stated he spoke with his inspectors and he said they definitely would like to trend toward the digital code, in fact the purchases made will probably be the CD's for them to carry with their laptops. He said out in the field they do not have the cognitively, that normally would be needed, so the digital code would be needed.

Commissioner Gonzalez stated he has received a lot of comments about the building code that has a lot of blank pages towards the end of the code book, because they are intentionally left blank, like appendix or whatever. Multiplying the blank pages cost money in printing. He asked if it was possible to summarize all of those pages that are left intentionally blank to a single page and if needed you can always go back and add it.

Mr. Johnson responded to Commissioner Gonzalez advising that is an excellent suggestion, stating any way they can improve things, make it simpler and not waste paper. He stated looking at the size of the binders of the Florida Codes, anything they can do to reduce the size is well appreciated.

Commissioner Schiffer asked if there was a way to look at the business model they have, due to the statement of sales dropping off as Mr. Johnson described. He asked if there was anyway the state through the building fees could pay for access for everyone in the state that have access equal to the digital form?

Mr. Johnson stated ICC would be willing to explore various business options and now is the time to begin looking at a lot of different business options. He further advised with the public access site there is some public crowding out that takes place in terms of people going to that site instead of purchasing the code, but the more value we could add to the Florida Codes via a subscription service or through some type of packaging and sending electronically to all jurisdictions, those are models they could look at. There are some models from various states that have elected that option where the state through an agency has funded either access digitally or print to various jurisdictions. So, yes they would be willing to look at this request and explore various options.

Commissioner Schiffer further stated it would be fair; we do not have the library system that we were supposed to have in the legacy code where we would have everything at the local library that does not exist anymore. So anyone wanting a code has to buy it, other than use what is available for free, it would be good if we had some sort of business model for everyone in the State to have equal access to the same code, obviously wireless, digital or something like that would be best.

Contracting and Publication Issues Related to the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code; Presentation by the International Code Council (cont.)

Commissioner Gross stated that he understood that our internet access would be competing against ourselves giving it away for free, with free searches and looking at the code, but he feels it is very important that we continue our internet access for the public access to have ability to see the code. He stated he had both the CD and printed copy but it is a real blessing to be able to go on the internet and search. Looking at the comparison to our Florida Fire Prevention Code, it is extremely hard to navigate, search and find things. He wanted to compliment the ICC and he would like to see the Commission find some kind of model where we can still provide the online version for the public and practitioners.

Chairman Browdy thanked Mr. Johnson for attending and for the partnership we have with the ICC. He stated it was not long ago that the Florida Building Code started out on the foundation of the 1997 edition of the standard building code, that was our baseline and we started our partnership with ICC's predecessor and then spent a worthwhile successful collaboration getting the message in making the codes more accessible to the citizens of Florida and the practitioners.

Orientation; Commission and State Processes and Procedures:

Chairman Browdy advised that there was one brief item to be discussed and then the Commission will move on to the Committee reports. He said it was his intent to move forward with the procedural matters including the Committee reports, then recess for lunch hour before starting the code modifications process.

Chairman Browdy moved the meeting to the next item on the agenda #13, Orientation; Commission and State Processes and Procedures. Jim Richmond will brief the new Commissioners and refresh us all on the procedures prior to moving to the Modification process.

Mr. Richmond stated he was going to cut this presentation a bit short both for time and because some of the documents are not linked and providing those in format together with some of the link information is important as well we may have a larger audience that would be affected by this in the next meeting if we do receive additional Commissioners. Jim stressed for purposes of travel, it is easiest for the Department to process quickest to get reimbursed quicker, if you basically return your travel re-imbusement request form to Pat Harvey in our office essentially blank with supporting documentation. Critical information needed is the time you leave for the trip and the time you returned from the trip as well as receipts must be individually signed and dated, the form itself and all signatures in blue ink, it is preferred to be received in blue ink to ensure we have that information. We can ensure that we have the correct information. If something is sent that is different and something the auditors are not used to seeing, it can delay. If you need to claim additional miles that are not included on the map miles, be sure Pat knows, she can claim as vicinity miles for you. Jim stated he was going to limit the information to the above at this time unless there are specific questions.

Orientation; Commission and State Processes and Procedures (cont):

Chairman Browdy announced that on February 14th the offices will be moving from our Shumard Oak facility to the DBPR Grand on Monroe Street and as a result of that all of our staff will have new contact numbers and they will be available to you on the website, plus there are copies available. If you are traveling to Tallahassee after February 14th our staff should be in the DBPR facility on North Monroe.

Chairman Browdy then stated Jeff Blair would give a brief synopsis about the Commission's processes as they are and as they will be.

Mr. Blair wanted to highlight that the Commission's decision-making process is a unique process in the State in that the Commission does not strictly follow just strictly the Roberts Rules of Order for procedural matters and does not use process and procedures as a way of foreclosing discussion. He stated the Commission is really a participatory process on matters of substantive issues. The Commission works and strives together to try to achieve 100% consensus to the extent possible. He added in the final analysis after exploring a full range of options, if there are issues that the Commission cannot achieve 100% agreement the rules require that the Commission have a 75% or greater threshold for approval. This applies to the Code and all of the Commissions major decisions; the only exceptions to this are basic procedural issues like a motion to reconsider or a motion to table which is just a procedural motion to bring something back. Jeff stated the Declaratory Statements, Accessibility Waivers and Product Approval rely on 51% because they are quasi judicial or if not quasi judicial, they are different than the Commission's normal procedures for substantive issues. The Commission requires 75% in our processes to achieve consensus, and is committed to exploring the issue before taking a vote, making sure everyone at the table gets their say and everyone hears each other and works to try to achieve consensus. He further stated one final word on consensus is that when you think of consensus it does not mean that you have to completely agree, it means that you can support it, you can live with it, or you can agree not to oppose it, so there are different levels of consensus based on the groups decision-making and based on the discussion. It may that the decision is not what I really wanted but I will support it to support the consensus decision of the group.

Committee Reports:

Chairman Browdy advised that the meeting is moving to item #14 on the agenda Committee Reports and Recommendations. He asked that the Committee Chairs please confine their TAC and POC reports to a brief summary. The key issues and recommendations emphasizing any issues requiring action from the Commission, please frame any Commission action in a form of a motion if you will. Chairman Browdy stated there is no need to read the TAC or POC minutes since the complete minutes will be linked to the Committee's subsequent meeting agendas for approval by the respective committees. The Committee Reports will be given in Alpha order.

Committee Reports (cont):

Accessibility Technical Advisory Committee:

Commissioner Gross advised that the TAC met two times last month, once for modifications which they took straw votes on and the second time to discuss the modification of the signature page of the waiver application.

Accessibility Technical Advisory Committee ([01-14-2013](#)) ([01-23-2013](#)) ([Oct 2012](#))

Chairman Browdy requested a motion. Commissioner Gross enter motion to approve report, seconded, and passed unanimously.

Building Code System Uniform Implementation Evaluation Workgroup:

Jeff Blair reported that the workgroup met for the second time December 4th 2012 for the purpose of identifying based on the various recommendations that were forwarded to the workgroup sort of a set of priority issues. A lot if issues were discussed but on balance there were several sort of key substantive issues which is consistency in submittal requirements, fees and timeframes, consistency in code interpretations on local technical amendments, essential depository code requirements interpretations and education and training . The Committee will meet again for the third time in April and will take the priority issues and try to flush those out.

[Building Code System Uniform Implementation Evaluation Workgroup](#)

Chairman Browdy requested motion to accept report, motion entered, seconded and passed unanimously.

Building Fire Technical Advisory Committee:

Commissioner Bahadori stated the Committee met on October 16, 2012 and again on January 14, 2013 to discuss the proposals of code modifications. They also met January 3, 2013 with the Fire Code Advisory Council but there was no action.

Commissioner Bahadori entered a motion to accept the January 3, 2013 Joint Committee meeting report, there was a second and passed unanimously.

Commissioner Bahadori entered a motion to accept the minutes from the October 16, 2012 and January 14, 2013 Fire TAC Committee Meetings, there was a second and passed unanimously.

[Fire Technical Advisory Committee \(01-03-13\)](#) ([01-14-2013](#)) ([Oct 2012](#))

Code Administration Technical Advisory Committee:

Commissioner Gonzalez stated the Code Administration TAC met via teleconference January 10, 2013 at 1 pm, with a quorum, the committee reviewed and commented on comments received regarding the TAC's action on the proposed forty-one code modifications for the 2013 update of the Florida Building Code. The meeting adjourned at 3:32 pm. Commissioner Gonzalez entered a motion to approve the report; there was a second and passed unanimously.

[Code Administration Technical Advisory Committee \(Oct 2012\)](#))

Committee Reports (cont):

Education Program Oversight Committee:

Commissioner Stone stated the Education POC met via conference call on January 28, 2013, the meeting minutes from January 11, 2013 and November 29, 2012 were approved as submitted. And the Committee accepted the Education Administrators report. There were no further actions required by the Commission, however it should be noted that the POC members felt that a couple items will require further discussion and review by the POC and those items are discussion about the technical difficulties in the system that a few providers have said they had when uploading course materials into Building Code Information System. He also stated there will be a discussion on how to handle typographical errors as part of the course approval process. Finally they will discuss 23 calendar day's course accreditations and deadline for advanced course and the rule language, specifically Council has expressed concerns about the use of the term "calendar days". Commissioner Stone advised this concluded his report and he made a motion to accept the report; there was a second and passed unanimously.

[Education Program Oversight Committee](#)

Electrical Technical Advisory Committee:

Chairman Browdy advised Commissioner Scherer was not present for the report; the report would be given by Mo Madani.

Mr. Madani stated the Committee met via Webinar, January 14, 2013 at 1 PM. The committee discussed the comments and code changes and provided feedback. Chairman Browdy stated there was a need for approval for report of meetings on January 14, 2013 and October 17, 2012 and would they need to be voted on separately. Mr. Blair advised they can be approved together. Chairman Browdy entertained a motion to approve the report from the October 17, 2012 and January 14, 2013 Electrical TAC. Commissioner Flanagan entered motion to accept report; there was a second and passed unanimously.

[Electrical Technical Advisory Committee \(Oct 2012 \)](#)

Committee Reports (cont):

Energy Technical Advisory Committee:

Commissioner Greiner, the Energy Technical Advisory Committee met in Gainesville on January 17, 2013. The Committee reviewed and commented and provided information for the Commission on the comments that were provided to the TAC in respect to changes in 2013 Building Code. That being completed he asked the staff to check the timing of this report as it shows them starting at 10:40 am and finishing at 10:48 am, he stated he knew the times were incorrect. Commissioner Greiner entered a motion to accept the report; there was a second and Chairman Browdy asked for vote including updating correct time on the meeting in January, passed unanimously.

[Energy Technical Advisory Committee \(Oct 2012\)](#)

Mechanical Technical Advisory Committee:

Commissioner Palacios stated the Committee met via teleconference on January 11, 2013 at 9 am, there was a quorum with 9 TAC members. He stated the minutes from October 10, 2012 were approved. The Committee reviewed comments received during the second 45 day comment period and the meeting adjourned at 10:05 am. Commissioner Palacios entered a motion to accept the report; there was a second and passed unanimously.

[Mechanical Technical Advisory Committee \(Oct 2012\)](#)

Plumbing Technical Advisory Committee:

Commissioner Boyer stated Committee met by teleconference on January 11, 2013. The Committee approved the minutes from the October meeting and approved those. The modifications submitted were reviewed, comments accepted and took vote. Commissioner Boyer entered a motion to accept the report; there was a second and passed unanimously.

[Plumbing Technical Advisory Committee \(Oct 2012 \)](#)

Product Approval Program Oversight Committee:

Commissioner Stone stated met January 24, 2013 via teleconference; the minutes have been published and can be viewed online. He stated besides reviewing recommendations on product approvals and product approval entities, the committee also reviewed 124 products approved by staff with the Department of Business and Professional Regulation including 5 of which were discussed by the POC. Commissioner Stone stated lastly it should be noted that the Committee listened to extensive discussion regarding a complaint of product approval FL #11352-R2. Under advisement of the Staff Attorney, the complainant did not demonstrate she is a substantive party and that the complainant did not provide substantial material evidence as

Committee Reports (cont):

Product Approval Program Oversight Committee (cont):

required, the POC decision was no further action was required on the complaint. Commissioner Stone moved that the report be accepted; there was a second and passed unanimously.

[Product Approval Program Oversight Committee](#)

Roofing Technical Advisory Committee:

Commissioner Tolbert stated the committee met January 16, 2013 the Committee met in Gainesville, they covered 81 code modifications, accepted public comment. The meeting adjourned at 3:20 pm. Commissioner Tolbert moved to have the report accepted; there was a second and passed unanimously.

[Roofing Technical Advisory Committee \(Oct 2012 \)](#)

Special Occupancy Technical Advisory Committee:

Commissioner Hamrick stated the committee met on January 10, 2013 via teleconference, there was a quorum. He stated they reviewed 9 code modifications with comments, there was a straw poll taken. Commissioner Hamrick moved to have the report accepted; there was a second was entered by Ms. Dean and passed unanimously.

[Special Occupancy Technical Advisory Committee \(Oct 2012 \)](#)

Structural Technical Advisory Committee:

Commissioner Schock stated the committee met on January 17 in Gainesville, held public hearings on modifications receiving public comment. He stated the committee met again on January 24, 2013, by telephone conference in conjunction with the Special Occupancy Technical Advisory Committee discussed declaratory statements, made recommendations on declaratory statements 2012-081, 2012-094, 2012-096, and 2012-097. Commissioner Schock entered motion to approved report; there was a second and passed unanimously.

[Structural Technical Advisory Committee\(01-17-13\) \(01-24-13\) \(Oct 2012 \)](#)

Committee Reports (cont):

Swimming Pool Technical Advisory Committee:

Commissioner Gregory stated the committee met January 16, 2013 in Gainesville, he was glad to report that was 100% participation of all TAC Members. He stated the committee reviewed and approved the minutes from October 16, 2012. The committee reviewed 17 code modifications and either supported or did not support, the committee adjourned at 11:05 am. Commissioner Gregory moved to have report accepted; there was a second and passed unanimously.

[Swimming Pool Technical Advisory Committee \(Oct 2012\)](#)

Chairman Browdy announced that concluded the TAC/POC reports and now the meeting is at a point to take a break for lunch. He reminded the Commissioners that at the beginning of the meeting he had asked if they had any additional modifications they wished to pull or have discussed needed to be into Mo prior to lunch. After lunch break he advised the Commission will proceed with the process, stating Jeff Blair would explain the procedures, motions and time sequences for members of the public and to speak as to opponent or proponent of the modification.

A question was posed to the Chairman from the public asking; when the public is allowed to speak on the modifications before the Commission, will the Commission have the ability to pull a modification based on the conversation and discussion? The Rules do allow, practically if something does come up. Chairman Browdy stated he would rather be prepared prior to the modification being on the agenda.

Jeff Blair also addressed the public stating if they need to have a modification pulled they need to see a Commissioner before noon if they have not already done so.

April Hammonds, stated she needed to remind the Commissioners of the Sunshine Law. She further stated they were already an issue that would need to be discussed in the afternoon. Ms. Hammonds advised no communication amongst Commissioners may occur containing any information that is going to occur in the meeting in the afternoon. She stated someone from the public could perhaps speak with you; however among Commissioners it is prohibited.

Meeting adjourned for lunch at 11:52 am. Chairman Browdy requested all be back and seated by 1:00 pm

Call to Order and Instructions on MODS and afternoon agenda by Chairman:

Chairman Browdy called the meeting back to order at 1:00 pm. Chairman Browdy stated the Commission will be starting the review of code modifications processes and move through the agenda. He stated they will be moving through the modifications via TACs. The first TAC for review is Accessibility and Jeff will be presenting those modifications. Chairman Browdy stated the TACs will run as follows: Accessibility, Code Administration, Electrical, Fire, Mechanical, Plumbing, Roofing, Special Occupancy, Structural, and Swimming Pool. He advised the proposed modifications to the Florida Building Code were reviewed by the Commission's TACS in meetings conducted in October 2012. Chairman Browdy further stated that the TAC's recommendations regarding proposed code modifications were posted to the Commission's Web Site for a minimum of 45 days and the public was provided an opportunity to comment on the TAC's recommendations during this time frame. The TAC's met in January 2013 to review the public comments and provided TAC comments to the Commission regarding whether the submitted public comments addressed their concerns relative to the original recommendations on the proposed code modifications.

Chairman Browdy announced that the Commission will now consider the TAC recommendations together with the comments on public comments regarding proposed modifications to the 2013 Florida Building Code. Chairman Browdy advised that Jeff Blair would explain the process in more detail and will also serve as the moderator for the public comments in addition to facilitation of the Commission's consideration of proposed modifications. Chairman Browdy asked that Jeff Blair explain the process.

Modification Process and Instructions:

Jeff Blair advised that it is important that everyone understood what the consent agendas mean and how they play into the motions and how we need to proceed procedurally. He stated as far as the public process, he feels most people are familiar with the process. The process will go as described by the Chairman, by technical advisory committee in turn, in alphabetical order. We will begin with Accessibility TAC without comments that is the consent agenda for approving the TACS original recommendations modifications that did not receive comments during the second 45 day review period. Mr. Blair stated that anyone that wished to pull off any modifications for individual consideration that has not already requested so should offer them now. Jeff asked the Commissioners if they had any additional modifications that needed to be pulled off, stating this should have already taken place as requested by the Chair. Should there not be any changes then a motion would be entertained to approve the consent agenda without comments, next to the consent agenda with public comments. He stated modifications that were pulled off will be on a list and the Commission will then approve that consent agenda as modified, unless in some cases where all of the modifications are pulled off they will be taken up individually. He stated many of these are just procedural in nature those recommended for approval where there isn't really any public comment and hopefully the Commission will be able to move through the motions quickly.

Modification Process and Instructions (cont):

Jeff advised when there is public comment on those that have been pulled we will provide each person an opportunity to speak up to three minutes just like we used during the TAC review processes. He advised he will set his phone timer for a three minute timer and at two minutes, you will receive a one minute warning. It is important to adhere to the time limit as this is the only way we will be able to get through the process.

Jeff advised that it is at least a couple of hundred modifications have been pulled. At one minute he will show a flag indicating one minute of time is left to speak, he asked that this notice be acknowledged and once the three minutes expires, you will hear some very annoying music that will come out of his cell phone indicating that your time is up, we will then thank you and ask if there are other comments from the public. If no public comment will be closed and we will come back to the Commission taking proponents first and then those who wish to speak for the modification, and then opponents those wishing to speak against, then discussion will be offered to the Commission, and there will be no further public comment on the issue unless a Commissioner specifically requests clarification through the Chair. The Chair will determine whether to seek clarification from a member of the public once the Commission is discussing the modification.

Mr. Blair stated the presumption per the Commission's Rules require only motions to be approve. He stated once he explains the standing motions and what they mean the Commission will only need a second and then the vote will be taken. If a Commissioner wants to vote against the modification they might say "I would offer a second for the purpose of my recommended negative roll call, the Commission will then be signaled that you're proposing and of course they can vote either way they choose, but that is your signal or clue why you offered your second, not because you supported it, but because you wanted to request that the Commission not vote in favor. He stated, when I say all in favor 0 all opposed 20 for instance.

Mr. Blair reminded everyone again that 75% is required for approval and if a modification achieves 75% or higher then it will be deemed approved. If the vote achieves less than 75% it will be deemed not approved. He stated for each Technical Advisory Committee, there will be two consent agendas, the first consent agenda are for the TACS original recommendations that received no public comment during the 45 day period, the motion is to approve the what he TAC recommended whether it was to approve, modify, to vote no affirmative recommendation or a negative roll call. So, whatever the TAC's recommendation was that will be what the motion will be for. He further stated if you cannot support this action then you will need to decide what should be pulled, which should already been done. Jeff advised he will go over all of the findings and the motions and will explain what the Commission is supporting and the basis of support in a moment, he wanted to make sure everyone understood the consent agendas. Jeff then discussed the second consent agenda is those modifications that received public comment, and again the presumption is to approve TAC's original recommendations back in October either for, against, or no affirmative

Modification Process and Instructions (cont):

recommendation, etc. All of the modifications where public comment was addressed will be removed from the consent agenda. As an example, if a modification was originally recommended against approval and the TAC felt the public comment addressed their concerns, the Commission will understand the TAC now supports the modification with the public comment revision. They could then decide to approve the modification, assuming they agree with the TAC's comment. Once all modifications are pulled, whatever is left on the consent agenda even those with comment will revert back to the TAC's original recommendation in October, which is what you will move to approve as the TAC recommended based on the findings, etc. etc.

Mr. Blair stated he just explained there are the two consent agenda's per TAC and what they each mean and that all modifications receiving public comment that addressed TAC concerns have been pulled by TAC Chairs, and additional modifications have been pulled by public request through the Chair. There is a list of these and you will be made aware of them, they are not included on the consent agenda and whatever you will be approving is what is remaining on each of the two consent agenda's by TAC.

Mr. Blair at this point asked if there were any questions regarding the information he had provided.

Chairman Browdy expressed that it is important for everyone to also know that if you have any questions prior to the vote, please raise your hand and you will be recognized. He stated don't for a moment not ask a question if you are indecisive about what you are voting on. He further stated it is incredibly confusing and very easy to make a mistake. The most important thing is that you feel comfortable that you are informed prior to the time you vote and that you know what you are voting on.

Chairman Browdy asked Mo Madani if he would like to speak on the process. Mr. Blair asked that he be allowed to continue with processes, as Mr. Madani has been written into the annotated agenda to go over the documents after the process review. Mr. Blair stated that the motions need to be completed next. Jeff asked to go through the full motions regarding the consent agendas next and then for the Commission to approve the various motions so that we have our standing motions in place.

Chairman Browdy asked if it would not be better to read and vote on the consent agenda's where there were no changes or discussions and with no comments. He felt that would make it simple, the Chairman stated there are none of those, just kidding, there maybe one or two.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code:

Mr. Blair stated that for the motions once I read them the Chair, will ask for a motion to approve them, then there will be a motion entered by someone other than the Chair and

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

seconded prior to the vote. He stated this should be proforma; you have already approved the process and the motions. Jeff began to introduce the first motion, stating it is important to listen to what the motion says as well as what it is doing, and further stated as the Chair said it is for those that are the TAC's recommendations without comments. Mr. Blair asked for a motion to approve the consent agenda of the modifications that did not receive public comments as recommended by the Technical Advisory Committees and amended by the Florida Building Commission based on the following findings; as amended is in case any were pulled from the consent agenda is all this means. The modification is needed in order to combine the specific needs of the State and the modification has a reasonable substantial connection to health, safety and welfare of the general public and the modification strengthens or improves the Florida Building Code or in the case of innovation or new technology provide equivalent or better products, methods or systems of construction and the modification does not discriminate against materials, products, methods or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities and the modification does not degrade the effectiveness of the Florida Building Code and the modification has the following physical impact, one the physical impact of enforcement imposed on local government is as indicated by the proponent, two the physical impact of compliance imposed upon building and property owners is as indicated by the proponent, and three physical impact of compliance proposed on industry as indicated by the proponent or the physical impact of compliance imposed on small business as indicated by the proponent, or no impact as specified by the proponent. Jeff then referred to "G" the modifications benefits noted with regard to physical impact ethnicity out way the costs imposed; the modification does not diminish requirements related to wind resistance or prevention of water intrusion contained in the code or is referenced in standards and criteria, Florida specific amendments that expire with the updated code and are resubmitted as amendments to the updated code have addressed whether the provisions contained in the proposed amendments are addressed in the applicable International Code, the amendment demonstrates by evidence or data in the geographical jurisdiction of Florida that exhibits the need to strengthen the foundation of the code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the State. Jeff stated finally the proposed amendment that was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation code to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment processes, the findings (a) through (i).

Mr. Blair addressed the Chair requesting a motion for the consent agenda. Commissioner Greiner enter a motion to approve, Commissioner Schiffer seconded, the motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Blair stated the next motion is to approve the consent agenda of the modifications that received public comment or comments as recommended by the Technical Advisory Committees and amended by the Florida Building Commission based on the findings (a)-(i). Motion and second entered, the motion passed unanimously.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Mr. Blair stated the next action was to approve each amendment pulled off of the consent agenda of TAC recommendations for individual consideration based on the same above findings (a)-(i). Mr. Blair stated there was only a second needed, Commissioner Schiffer entered motion there was a second, the motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Blair introduced the next action which was to approve the consent agenda of staff's recommendations regarding correlation issues and integration of carried forward Florida specific amendments for the 2013 Code update. Motion made to approve, seconded by Schiffer, the motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Blair stated there was one final action, he then asked Mr. Richmond from his perspective, we have already updated the workplan and approved it, and Jeff stated there is probably not a real need to get a motion to approve the revised process because the only real change to it was reflecting that we were not doing rule development at this meeting. Jeff asked if they preferred to get a motion. Jim Richmond stated he preferred to get a motion since we have approved it in the past and it should reflect the schedule change. With that information Mr. Blair stated the last motion reflects back to the December meeting, the Commission approved the process that we just described. There needs to be a slight change to the approval process, not really to the process itself, but adopting the only change is in the pre-ambule text which describes when the Commission is meeting and the rule development process. So really the changes are originally it was contemplated that this would be a rule development workshop today and it is not. It will be a Commission meeting to adopt the modifications for the 2013 Code update. The Rule Development Workshop has been pushed back as described to go concurrently with the Glitch process in June, that is the only real change and there was one typo, a year or something, stating 2010 when it should have read 2013, those are the only changes reflecting the fact today is not a Rule Development Workshop and the correct dates are for when the Commission will proceed with the Rule Adoption process.

Mr. Blair requested a motion for approval of the Commission Foundation Code Modification Review Process for 2013 Code update process as revised and posted. A motion was enter to approve and a second was given, the motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Blair advised the Chairman that now Mr. Madani can present the materials and he can subsequently start the process.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Mr. Madani stated the process is really not that complicated, to him it is very simple. When you work with something so long you know the process works, this is the fifth code that we are about to publish. He stated what the group now had before them on the screen is the work you have seen, a lot of the TAC members have worked with, the information listed the TACS have looked at and have given recommendations, they are the technical people who give you the comments. Mo advised at this point relying on your TAC and their position will be the best way to proceed. He further stated the way the modifications are structured in chart form before you, broken down into two components, one is the detail and the other is the tracking charts. The detail is a document that includes everything submitted on a modification specific to that TAC. The details are organized with those that have comment and those that did not receive comment. What is meant by that is those modifications that received comment during the second 45 day comment review period are under the with comment section. Mr. Madani stated that the modifications that did not receive comments in the second comment review period are compiled and are under the without comment section.

Mo demonstrated if they click on the comment section, they will receive a report and the report has for example a total modification for the example there were three. It will provide the modification number, who submitted the code change, and background information. Mo advised the easy way to search for a modification is entering the number using the search function.

Mr. Madani stated modifications that did not receive comments in the second review are code changes that there were no submissions of comments during the second 45 day review period and are compiled under without comment column in the report.

With regard to the tracking chart, Mo said it would give you a summary of the modification for both with comment and without comment. When it says with comment final, it has the summary of the outcome of the January meeting where the TAC have mainly supported the modification or did not support the modification, the TAC has provided some feedback about whether the modification itself after the proponent went in and revised the response to their concerns and are ready to be approved.

Mo stated in order to simplify this, for each TAC the staff made a list of all of the modifications with comments that detect support and the Chair has pulled those off the consent agenda for the acclamation to support them. This is only those modifications that submitted comments under the second comment period and received support from the TAC, so the list will be available for each TAC.

Mr. Madani asked for questions or concerns. None were given.

Commissioner Schiffer asked about e-mails received and requested to know if those needed to be disclosed at this time.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Ms. Hammonds advised not at this time. She stated they are aware of the Structural Occupancy TAC issue will be addressed during the Structural Code Modifications come up as there is an issue with the Sunshine Law on that , if it is outside of that please let her know so that it can be addressed during the TAC Modifications for that TAC.

Commissioner Schiffer stated it is in the Structural issue.

Ms. Hammonds thanked Commissioner Schiffer and advised that Mr. Richmond has some information on that and it will be discussed later. If there is any further information it can be disclosed at that time.

Mr. Blair started with the first consent TAC agenda:

Accessibility TAC: Accessibility TAC without comments, no public comment or Commission comment. Motion entered, seconded, motion passed unanimously. Accessibility TAC modifications with comments were all pulled these will be addressed individually, with no public comment or Commission comment. Coming back from the TAC with recommendation a second is required, second entered and motion passed unanimously with the Chairman joining.

You may use the links below to access voting outcome from the Commission:

Accessibility	Without	Final
---------------	-------------------------	-----------------------

Code Administration TAC without comments and with none being pulled. Coming back from the TAC with recommendation a second is required, second entered by Commissioner Gonzalez, motion passed unanimously with the Chairman joining.

Public comment Code Administration TAC: Code Administration TAC with comments all were pulled excluding one, and were addressed individually with public comment:

5725 comment A2 as amended as addressing TAC concerns. Joe Belcher entered public comment. Commissioner Belcher was advised by the Chairman that there are process issues requiring 45 days notice to include this language in the residential code. Due to notification issues, this will need to come back in the Glitch cycle. Jack Glenn spoke out in support of Commissioner Belcher's comments and request. Discussion followed by Legal and the Commission.

Mr. Blair states that 4999 A2, is requesting approval staff recommendation w/review. Commissioner Hamrich spoke at length in regards to public education and this modification should not pass as there needs to be exclusion for public education. Commissioner Hamrich does not support. Mr. Blair states that 4999 A2, is requesting approval staff recommendation w/review. Jack Glenn supported the staff changes. Gary Brevoort, stated BOAF does not support.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Public comment Code Administration TAC: Code Administration TAC with comments all were pulled excluding one, and were addressed individually with public comment: (cont.) (5449,5458,5229,30,33,34,35,36,37,5448,5450,51,53,54,55,57,59) Mr. Madani spoke on recommendation from staff. Jack Glenn stated he was a TAC participant and relayed the TAC feeling generally with the appendices are we all agreed they are probably not regulatory but they are generally informational and do provide guidance and he felt that was the reason the TAC wished to retain in the Code and keep uniformity state wide, he understood the staff comment regarding the authority, he is requesting for vote to take TAC recommendation. Sergio Ascunce, stated that BOAF feels the appendices should remain in place as this is a tool for local jurisdictions that see a need for additional information or an alternative way to meet code without creating this information from scratch. Commissioner Belcher, supported retaining the appendices in the code. See link for vote on each.

Public comment Code Administration TAC (cont.) 5766 Mr. Madani and Commissioner Schock spoke to the history and changes proposed in modification and action of modification being pulled. Dick Wilhelm, FMAWDMA stated they requested modification in and totally support the modification as a window industry.

You may use the links below to access voting outcome from the Commission:

Code Administration	Without	Final
---------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------

Electrical TAC

You may use the links below to access voting outcome from the Commission:

Electrical	N/A	Final
------------	-----	-----------------------

Energy TAC: Modifications without comments pulled from the consent agenda and with one being pulled for comment. Motion requested for a second to approve the consent agenda without comments as modified based on the standing motion, second entered motion passed unanimously with the Chairman joining.

Pulled Modification without comments for individual consideration, 4987, 5053, 4969, 4970, 4989, 5845, 5849 all seconded by Commissioner Greiner to approve with TAC recommendations, passed unanimously with Chairman joining.

5860, Jack Glenn stated this is a change to the American National Standard, questioning why the change. Second entered by Commissioner Greiner modification failed 14 affirmative 5 opposed. Motion was requested to reconsider, motion entered, seconded and passed with over 50%. Mr. Madani deferred to Muthusamy Swami, Florida Solar Energy Center, provided explanation of why this was pulled, performance method used. Commercial fans in commercial buildings used as example of energy use. Ken Locke, BOAF there is nothing that is Florida specific to make the change, if there is a need for using or giving credit for

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Energy TAC (cont) :

5860 (cont) using higher efficiency fans it is nationwide issue, it is not unique to Florida. Jack Glenn, advised Mo stated this was adopted during the Glitch cycle in 2010, he asked to remind the Commission that all of Florida amendments Sunset as part of 2013 process and for them to be brought back they had to delineate in the application all of the criteria outlining the statute for compliance. Mr. Glenn further stated that he agrees with the commenter in this regard is that they did not demonstrate a Florida specific need as required by law and therefore should be voted down. He further stated there is not justification to modify the nationally recognized Ashrae 90.1. Second entered to approve as submitted 12 in favor and 7 opposed, motion failed.

5853, Jack Glenn, stated this again is another change that modifies Ashrae 90.1 and is inappropriate. Muthusamy Swami, Florida Solar Energy Center explained how the language affects Ashrae 90.1. Second entered by Commissioner Greiner to approve as submitted 12 in favor and 7 opposed, motion failed.

4990,5046,5699,5943 no further comments, second entered passed unanimously with Chairman joining of TAC original recommendations.

5741, Mr. Madani, advised when the staff made updates for the TAC, it was entered as R1, instead of responding to the comment as requested. Similar language was approved in another modification. Staff is asking for support, Ms. Stanton provided handout for the language. This was not a typo but to remove reference of manual of approving program, and other language in the approval process to remain consistent. There was a Glitch in the BCIS and did not submit the comment they intended to submit during the second period. Ms. Hammonds advised that this is new and has not been posted it cannot be considered. Mr. Madani stated that they have seen this language, the revised language did not go back to the TAC as it was in the system and was not submitted as a comment, the TAC did not see it in the second period. This is not an amendment to TAC changes. Ms. Hammonds stated that she is recommending that the Commission not consider this today. This issue has not been put up for the 45 comment period regardless of the reason, if it has not been up for the process, it cannot be brought before you today. Mr. Blair stated with legal's advice the Commission could take a second on TAC's recommendation which was not to approve, if that is the motion they would like to make. Commissioner Greiner seconded motion for TAC's recommendation. Mr. Blair stated since it was a pulled modification was there any comment from the public? There being none, Chairman Browdy stated there was a standing motion on the floor with a second, passed unanimously. Mr. Blair concluded that is all of the modifications without comment that were pulled and individually reviewed. Commissioner Greiner addressed the Commission advising he would like to revise his second on the last standing motion to indicate the original TAC modification as original completed with A-1. Mr. Blair confirmed that Commissioner Greiner was stating that he was stepping outside of the standing motion and is to approve the modification as submitted including comment A1, standing on the findings of (a)-(i). There was a second to Commissioner Greiner's new motion on modification 5741. Chairman Browdy stated this was a new motion which would

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Energy TAC (cont):

5741 (cont): incorporate the original that was in A1, Mr. Blair corrected stating as submitted and A1. Commissioner Gregory posed question to the Chairman or Energy TAC members, A1 was considered and did not like the language, so why was there a decision to reject. Commissioner Greiner as chair for the TAC explained the reason for this action was to ensure there were some definitions, if none this would push to glitch point. Chairman Browdy stated there is an open motion on the floor with a second, motion passed unanimously.

5666, Mr. Blair asked for any comment on modification from public or Commission, there being none, Chairman Browdy requested a second, second entered, motion passed unanimously.

5687, Mr. Blair asked for any comment on modification from public. Phillip Fairey with FSEC stated was voted down by the TAC and he has brought a piece of paper with the same wording as was considered in both the first and second rounds of public consideration, he is asking the Commission to consider filling in a blank box in the IECC under thermal distribution systems and proposal was made in prior meetings, however, they also included many more provisions that were trying to be changed, there were public comments against some of the changes but none on this blank to be filled in. He provided background. There was a question through the Chair, Chairman Browdy asked what he is proposing was brought before the TAC, he advised yes. The TAC rejected. Ms. Hammonds stated that only 1-4 were introduced during both meetings, she advised the Commission not to vote on this issue as it needs to go through correct process. This is a re-draft and will need to be noticed. Discussion followed. Jack Glenn stood in support of TAC. Eric Lacey, RECA, stated he is in support of current request but did not support original request. Dick Wilhelm, WDM, agree with Eric Lacey. No further public comment. There was a second for the TAC recommendation by Commissioner Greiner, motion passed unanimously.

5662 - Mr. Blair asked for any comment on modification from public. Jeff Sonny, advised he is asking for new addition. Mr. Sonny was advised new information will need to be sent through the complete process with notification per legal recommendation. Commissioner Greiner entered second to support TAC original recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

You may use the links below to access voting outcome from the Commission:

Energy	Without	Final
--------	-------------------------	-----------------------

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Chairman Browdy announced that there would be a change in the agenda list, placing Mechanical TAC next as the chair of that committee would need to leave early.

Mechanical TAC without comments: Mr. Blair announced the Commission would begin with the TAC modifications without comments. There were no modifications pulled from the consent agenda without comments. Chairman Browdy asked for second consent agenda without comments, second given by Commissioner Palacios asking if all were pulled that were requested, Mo stated there were three 5283,5994 and 5223. Commissioner Palacios seconded to pass consent agenda as amended, motion passed unanimously.

5283, 5994 and 5223 – No public comment. This was pulled as related to flood, they were addressed by the Special Occupancy at the same time as Mechanical TAC, Special Occupancy will supersede. Commissioner Hamrick commented on why it is with Special Occupancy.

Mechanical TAC with comments: Mr. Blair introduced first modification for public comment.

5201- Ken Locke stated it is in favor of supplement to the code and is in support of TAC. Jack Glenn responded to Mr. Locke, advised FHBA is not in support of supplements and needs to maintain integrated code. Commissioner Palacios seconded the motion to support TAC recommendation, motion with vote 16-2.

Mechanical TAC with comments (cont): 5645 and 5228 – No public comment. Commissioner Palacios seconded the motion to support TAC recommendation motion passed unanimously.

5574 – Jeff Sunny advised is in support. Commissioner Palacios seconded the motion to support TAC recommendation motion passed unanimously.

5772, 5113, 5438, and 5682 - No public comment. Commissioner Palacios seconded the motion to support TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

You may use the links below to access voting outcome from the Commission:

Mechanical	Without	Final
------------	-------------------------	-----------------------

Fire TAC without comments: Mr. Blair advised there was one modification 5424 pulled from consent agenda as it will be addressed under Special Occupancy TAC. Chairman Browdy asked for a second on the consent agenda without comments as modified. Commissioner Bahadori seconded the motion, motion passed unanimously.

Fire TAC with comments: Mr. Blair read list of modifications to the Commission that have been pulled from the consent agenda. Chairman Browdy then requested a second for approval of the consent agenda with comments as modified. Commissioner Bahadori seconded the motion, motion passed unanimously.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Fire TAC with comments (cont.)

5113 - No public comment. Commissioner Bahadori seconded the motion to support TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5719 - No public comment. Commissioner Bahadori seconded the motion to support TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5985 - No public comment. Commissioner Bahadori seconded the motion to support TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5438 - No public comment. Commissioner Bahadori seconded the motion to support TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5170 - No public comment. Commissioner Schiffer stated he was against TAC as not needed in base code. Commissioner Schiffer seconded with negative roll, there was discussion among the Commission, Commissioner Bahadori seconded the motion to support TAC recommendation, and motion failed 10 in favor, 8 against.

5173 - No public comment. Commissioner Schiffer seconded with negative roll, there was discussion among the Commission, Commissioner Bahadori seconded the motion to support TAC recommendation, and motion failed 11 in favor, 7 against.

5994 – Pulled from consent agenda without comment. Motion to reconsider consent agenda without comment was requested. Motion entered and second given, motion passed unanimously. Individual consideration for 5994 and consent agenda needs approval as amended, motion, second, passed unanimously. 5994 for individual consideration, public comment, Jack Glenn stated he is in support of TAC action of NAR. Commissioner Palacios gave explanation as to why this should be under Mechanical TAC. Commissioner Smith agreed with Commissioner Palacios. Mo clarified this went to both TACS, both TAC groups did not support. Commissioner Bahadori seconded to support TAC, motion passes 13 in favor 4 opposed.

You may use the links below to access voting outcome from the Commission:

Fire	Without	Final
----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------

4:00 pm – 10 minute break called.

Meeting called back to order at approximately 4:10 pm quorum met.

Plumbing TAC without comments: Mr. Blair advised there were no modification pulled for individual consideration from the consent agenda without comments. Second enter to approve consent agenda, motion passed unanimously.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Plumbing TAC with comments: Mr. Blair read all of the modifications that have been pulled for individual consideration from the consent agenda with comments. Second requested on modified agenda, second entered and motion passed unanimously.

Pulled from consent agenda by staff, wanting to remove appendices from code 5249,5248,5064,5070,5038,5684,4954,5074,5075,5076 – Jack Glenn stated TAC members feel this is good information and should be left as they give guidelines. He stands in favor of leaving the appendices. Mr. Blair explained voting process. TAC’s recommendation was to leave appendices, staff was to remove. Commissioner Boyer entered a second to support the TAC recommendation, vote passes unanimously.

Public member requested to know where his modification was as it was not addressed. 5572 Chairman Browdy stated that it was on consent agenda with comment and passed with TAC recommendation. Mr. Blair stated it was not addressed individually as there was no request to pull it from the consent agenda. Chairman Browdy asked the member to come forward and introduce himself. Chairman Browdy advised he is allowing him to speak, however advised the modification has already been voted on, if the Commission decides that he be offered a reconsideration they may do so, however, if they choose not to reconsider, the matter will be closed at this time. Chairman Browdy advised he was told that his previous concerns about the demonstration, he will be allowed to speak but cannot perform demonstrate. David Brown, ISCC, he was speaking about issues from 911 and the affects on the changes that have resulted. Proposal to the TAC to approve banning certain values used that are in violations in the anti-terrorist laws and Federal Laws prevail over local or State. Chairman Browdy thanked Mr. Brown for his information and caring.

Mr. Blair stated there was not action requested by the TAC.

You may use the links below to access voting outcome from the Commission:

Plumbing	Without	Final
----------	-------------------------	-----------------------

Special Occupancy TAC without comments: Mr. Blair stated that there was one modification pulled by request 5223. Commissioner Schock stated he had requested 5224 be pulled. Mr. Blair addressed the Commission requesting approval to pull the two modifications. Chairman Browdy requested second to approve TAC recommendation, second entered, motion passed unanimously.

5223 – No public comment. Commissioner Hamrick seconded to support recommendation of TAC, motion passed unanimously.

5224 – No public comment. Commissioner Schock spoke as Commissioner against the recommendation. International Code in place. Mr. Madani stated that this information has been in the code since 2001. Bob Vincent, DOH, stated he had no objection. Chairman Browdy requested second, Commissioner Schock made a negative roll call, second entered, discussion was held, 0 in favor and 17 opposed, not approved.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Special Occupancy TAC with comments (cont.):

Mr. Blair advised pulled from consent agenda 5573, 5682, 5684, 5272, 5801, and 5326. Chairman Browdy requested a second to approve consent agenda as amended with the removal of the previously stated modifications for individual consideration, second entered, motion passed unanimously.

5573 - Joy Duperrault, FL DEM, stated the department does support the TAC and the modification. Chairman Browdy requested second, second entered by Commissioner Hamrick, motion passed unanimously.

5682 - No public comment. . Chairman Browdy requested second, second entered by Commissioner Hamrick, motion passed unanimously.

5684 - No public comment. . Chairman Browdy requested second, second entered by Commissioner Hamrick, motion passed unanimously.

5272 - No public comment. . Chairman Browdy requested second, second entered by Commissioner Hamrick, motion passed unanimously.

5801 - Jack Glenn will request adding residential in the Glitch. Chairman Browdy requested second, second entered by Commissioner Hamrick, motion passed unanimously.

5326 - Paul Coats, AWC, stated Chapters 5 & 6 of the Code contain construction information for all occupancies including educational. Also 453 modify group E occupancy, including schools buildings. He is recommending overturning and allowing Public schools to set their own budgets. Fred Dudley, spoke to the relocatables better known as portables, recommending adoption of TAC recommendation. CW Macomber, LP, supports modification, allowing public schools to have same choices as private school. Arlen Tillis, concurs with Mr. Macomber. Darrell Phillips, DOE, as Construction Planning and Design Manager of opposes 5326. Commissioner Hamrick stated 90's legislature ordered more mandates, states no wood in public schools relocatable. Sum of the digits was discussed by Commissioner Hamrick. Mr. Dudley supports the TAC recommendation. There was further discussion on the exact language and changes. Jack Glenn stated there are provisions in the Fl. Statues for portable buildings in schools. He corrected to state allows principle buildings and associated facilities to be built with wood. Mr. Coats gave his rebuttal from previous information provided by other public speakers. Commissioner Flanagan confirmed that the modification was being voted against by TAC. Commissioner Boyer stated this is a minimum code, adding additional language when it is not necessary. Commissioner Stone is voting in favor and against the TAC recommendation. Commissioner Tolbert provided some additional information on portables. Commissioner Hamrick 1960's policy, DOE ruled not allowable in schools. Chairman Browdy requested second to TAC recommendation to allow language, second by Commissioner Hamrick entered, 10 in favor and 9 opposed, this motion failed to support TAC recommendation. An additional motion was entered to approve modification as submitted language; a second was entered 9 in favor and 10 opposed.

You may use the links below to access voting outcome from the Commission:

Special Occupancy	Without	Final
-------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Swimming Pool TAC without comments: Mr. Blair advised there were no modifications pulled for individual consideration from the consent agenda without comments. Second enter Commissioner Gregory to approve consent agenda, motion passed unanimously.

Swimming Pool TAC with comments: Mr. Blair provided list of 14 modifications pulled for individual consideration. A second was requested to approve the consent agenda with comment as amended; Commissioner Gregory entered second, motion passed unanimously.

Modifications with no additional public or Commissioner Comments are 5812, 5815, 5819, 5816, 5817, 5744, 5317, 5872, 5444, 5443, 5045, and 6004. A second was entered by Commissioner Gregory as TAC recommended, all motions passed unanimously.

5831 - Linda Patrick, BOAF requested modification withdrawal. Commissioner Gregory seconded, motion passed unanimously.

5847 - Bob Vincent, DOH requested modification withdrawal. Commissioner Gregory advised swimming pools will working with the Department of Health to help BOAF. Commissioner Gregory seconded, motion passed unanimously.

You may use the links below to access voting outcome from the Commission:

Swimming Pool	Without	Final
---------------	-------------------------	-----------------------

Meeting adjourned by Chairman Browdy at 5:28 pm. Chairman Browdy advised the meeting will reconvene, Tuesday, February 5th at 8:30 am.

**FLORIDA BUILDING COMMISSION
Plenary Session
Tradewinds
5500 Gulf Boulevard,
St. Petersburg Beach, Florida 33706
February 4-5, 2013
Day Two
February 5, 2013**

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

Dick Browdy, Chair
Hamid Bahadori
Bob Boyer
Oscar L. Calleja
Nanette (Nan) Dean
Kevin M. Flanagan
Charles L. Frank
Herminio Gonzalez
Ken Gregory

Dale Greiner
Jeffrey Gross
Jon Hamrick
Bradley W. Schiffer
James Schock
Drew Smith
Jeff Stone
Brian Swope
John "Tim" Tolbert

COMMISSIONERS NOT PRESENT:

John Scherer

Rafael Palacios

OTHERS PRESENT:

Jim Richmond
Mo Madani
Sandy O'Connor
Jim Hammers
April Hammonds

Chris Burgwald
Marlita Peters
Ann Stanton
Joe Bigelow

MEETING FACILITATION:

The meeting was facilitated by Jeff Blair from the FCRC Consensus Center at Florida State University. Information at: <http://consensus.fsu.edu/>

WELCOME:

Chairman Browdy welcomed the Commission, staff and the public to St. Pete Beach and day two of the February 2013 plenary session of the Florida Building Commission. He explained today the Commission will continue consideration of TAC recommendations and comments on submitted public comments regarding proposed code modifications for the 2013 Florida Building Code.

Chairman Browdy stated if anyone wished to address the Commission on any issues before the Commission they should sign-in on the appropriate sheet(s). He then stated the Commission would provide an opportunity for public comment on each of the Commission's substantive discussion topics. He further stated if one wanted to comment on a specific substantive Commission agenda item, to please come to the speaker's table at the appropriate time. He concluded by stating public input was welcome and should be offered before there was a formal motion on the floor.

The Chair noted that there was buff colored "Public Comment Forms" on the speakers' table to be used for providing written comments, and all written comments would be included in the Facilitator's Summary Report. Please give your completed forms to Jeff Blair.

Chairman Browdy explained that some of the licensing boards located within the Department of Business and Professional Regulation, have adopted rules regarding continuing education credits for attending Florida Building Commission meetings and/or Technical Advisory Committee meetings. Participants whose board participates may sign-in on the laptop kiosk station located in the meeting room.

Chairman Browdy then conducted a roll call of the Commission members.

Chairman Browdy stated the Commission will continue consideration of proposed modifications for the 2013 Code update. He advised the meeting will start with Roofing TAC recommendations.

Chairman Browdy requested that Jeff Blair start the process.

Mr. Blair welcomed everyone back; he advised the meeting will start with the Roofing TAC.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Roofing TAC without comments: Mr. Blair provided list of modifications pulled from the consent agenda for individual consideration and withdrawal. Mr. Blair advised that many of these modifications are going to be withdrawn. A second was requested to approve the consent agenda without comments as modified. Commissioner Tolbert entered second to approve, motion passed unanimously.

The withdrawn modifications without comments will be moved to the end of Roofing TAC modifications.

Roofing TAC with comments: Mr. Blair provided list of modifications pulled from the consent agenda for individual consideration and withdrawal. A second was requested to approve the consent agenda with comments as modified. Commissioner Tolbert entered second to approve, motion passed unanimously.

5937 – Mo Madani stated that the Commission should take modification 5241 first because 5937, 5940 and 5239 tie together with 5241, what is done with 5241 will decide what will be done with 5937, 5940 and 5239. These modifications are associated with mitigation standards that were in the code for existing buildings, a proposal was made in the residential code, now there is a change of mind to put them back in the residential using 5241.

5241 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, gave explanation of why the changes were requested by FRSA. They are requesting to not support TAC recommendation. Mike Goolsby, Miami Dade, stated these requirements are needed and he is also not supporting TAC recommendation. Mark and Mike are both requesting to support the original modification. Commissioner Tolbert entered a motion to approve the modification as submitted standing on the findings (a)-(i), Commissioner Swope provided second, motion passed unanimously.

5937 – Mr. Madani stated needs to be approved as submitted without G-3. Jack Glenn asked that the Commission move with G-4, but was corrected to move back to G-3. He stated will this move to residential code also. Ms. Hammonds stated that the TAC only voted on G-3. Mr. Madani explained why G-3 was added and now that is obsolete. Mr. Glenn confirmed he was supporting TAC action. No further public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered second to support TAC recommendation with G-3, putting definition in both codes, motion passed unanimously.

5940 – No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered second to support TAC recommendation with G-3, putting definition in both codes, motion passed unanimously.

5239 – Mr. Zehnal requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept and seconded, motion passed unanimously.

5363 – No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with G-1 to accept the TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Roofing TAC (cont):

5587 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 to accept the TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5590 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 to accept the TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5589, 5591, 5592, 5593 Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

5590 – Mark Zehnal stating he had requested withdrawal. Motion was requested to reconsider action on 5590. Motion entered by Commissioner Tolbert to reconsider, seconded, passed unanimously.

5590 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

5594 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

5275 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded to accept the TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5386 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

5278 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

5281 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

5286 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

5284 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

5405 and 5268 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously

5738 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously

5411 and 5412 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously

5288 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded as submitted addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Roofing TAC (cont):

5291 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously

5742 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously

5612, 5414 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

5476 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

5477 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with G-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

5306 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously

5541 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

5487 - No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with G-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

5590 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

5304 – No public comment. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

5602, 5601, 5599, 5499, 5600, 5598, 5596, 5597, 5595, and 5603 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

5307 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, supports. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

5507 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

5308 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, supports. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

5312 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, supports. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

5558 and 5516 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Roofing TAC (cont):

5315 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, supports. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

5559 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

5314 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, supports. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

5560 and 5301 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

5554 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, supports. Commissioner Tolbert entered seconded with A-1 and A-4 as amended addressing TAC concerns, motion passed unanimously.

Roofing with Comments withdrawal:

5316, 5563, 5565, 5566, 5567, 5568, 5561, 5513, 5557, 5472, 5298, 5542, 5492, 5347, 5474, and 5299 - Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

Modifications pulled from consent agenda with comments:

5563, 5565, 5566, 5567, 5568, 5561, 5513, 5557, 5590, 5589, 5591, 5592, 5593, 5594, 5386, 5405, 5268, 5411, 5412, 5291, 5612, 5414, 5472, 5298, 5299, 5541, 5542, 5490, 5602, 5601, 5599, 5499, 5600, 5598, 5596, 5597, 5595, 5603, 5507, 5558, 5516, 5559, 5560, 5301, and 5316. Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

Modification pulled from consent agenda without comments:

5509, 5517, 5527, 5539, 5276, 5368, 5376, 5377, 5385, 5388, 5392, 5394, 5395, 5396, 5398, 5399, 5400, 5401, 5402, 5403, 5404, 5406, 5407, 5408, 5495, 5498, 5506, 5510, 5512, 5515, 5514, 5518, 5519, 5520, 5521, 5522, 5523, 5524, 5525, 5526, 5528, 5529, 5530, 5531, 5532, 5533, 5534, 5535, 5536, 5537, 5538, 5543, 5544, 5545, 5546, 5547, 5548, 5549, 5550, and 5355. Mark Zehnal, FRSA, requested to withdraw. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

5798 and 5799 – Mr. Blair stated these modifications withdrawal request were made by proponent Randy Shackelford. Mark Zehnal, FRSA, supports withdrawal. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to accept, seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Roofing TAC (cont):

5313 – Mark Zehnal, FRSA, stated modification pulled requesting the Commission to complete a modification on the table, it is not a reference used and an oversight, the table was removed from Chapter 15. Ms. Hammonds, the Commission can choose to modify, however, the Commission will have to confirm the information provided is correct, fiscal impact, Florida specific, all criteria must be met. Commissioner Tolbert entered motion to modify 5313 removing the table, standing on findings (a)-(i), seconded by Commissioner Boyer, motion passed unanimously.

5347, 5474 and 5299 public comment Dwight Wilkes was advised that they were withdrawn previously by Mr. Zehnal.

You may use the links below to access voting outcome from the Commission:

Roofing	Without	Final
---------	-------------------------	-----------------------

Structural TAC without comments: Mr. Blair advised there were one modifications pulled for individual consideration from the consent agenda without comments number 4947. Second enter Commissioner Schock to approve consent agenda without comments as modified, motion passed unanimously.

4947 - Mike Goolsby did not request to be pulled. Commissioner Schock seconded TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

Structural TAC with comments: Mr. Blair provided list of modifications pulled for individual consideration from consent agenda. A second was requested to approve the consent agenda with comment as amended; Commissioner Schock entered second, motion passed unanimously.

Structural TAC Modifications Pulled for individual review:

5149 – No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5760 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

4957 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5888 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5663 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Structural TAC Modifications Pulled for individual review (cont):

5947 – Lorraine Ross, DOW Chemical, requesting removal of A-2 opposes A-2 change wind factor, advised would like the proponent to speak first. Remington Brown, IBHS, explained original intention in this proposal. There was a comment that was eliminated in error that would explain more about wind factors. He is asking the Commission to approve without the A2 comment. Paul Coats, AWC spoke in support of A2 with this code change. Phil Lyon, AWC, spoke in support of A1 and A2. Lorraine Ross, DOW Chemical, supports the original modification, and opposing A2. Ms. Ross gave background on issues. Jay Crandell, ACC, requesting to Commission to support original proposal, but not A2. Matt Dobson, Vinyl Institute, opposing A2 and he also offered background from his industry. Commissioner Schock asked to hear the Commission's thoughts. Commissioner Schiffer stated he had exclusion as he received e-mail, he asked if now was a good time to disclose e-mail received? Ms. Hammonds stated now was a good time. Commissioner Schiffer stated he received an e-mail from Matt Dobson, to testify from the vinyl institute, he stated in e-mail the same information that was spoken today. Chairman Browdy stated all received. Mr. Coats also sent an e-mail. Ms. Hammonds asked if any of the Commissioners group of two or more discussed the issues as they were sent to you, the answer was no. Ms. Schiffer asked if the intent was to remove A2 and leave as it was with no change. Commissioner Schock stated that there was support for the original modification as it stood. TAC took care of the issue at their meeting. Commissioner Schock stated it centered around Structural TAC felt that A2 was more appropriate factor. Commissioner Stone gave background on damages from hurricanes and problems discovered. He stated that he felt it was being under estimated, he is in support of A2. Commissioner Greiner stated his question was answered. Commissioner Flanagan stated he is normally supporting the TAC; he is now questioning if A2 would be stricter was advised no. A second entered by Commissioner Schock to approve with the TAC A2, 10 in favor and 7 opposed, motion failed. Commissioner Schock entered a motion to approve the original modification standing on the findings heard today; Commissioner Stone entered second, motion passed unanimously.

5673 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed 17 in favor and one opposed.

5891 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5936 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5118 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5667 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Structural TAC Modifications Pulled for individual review (cont):

5707 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5321 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5024 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5582 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5635 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5792 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5974 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5973 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5981 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

4993 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5671 – Remington Brown, IBHS, asked to adopt modification with A1 and in support of TAC. Paul Coats, AWC request to pull all changes, he is opposed to A1. Phil Lyon, AWC request to pull all changes, he is opposed to A1. Commissioner Flanagan stated the summary does disclose this issue was addressed in 06, TAC has recommended the A1 correct? Answer, yes. There was discussion among the Commission. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve A1 TAC recommendation, 10 in favor and 8 opposed, motion failed.

5636 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5584 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

6023 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5674 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Structural TAC Modifications Pulled for individual review (cont):

5889 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5890 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5878 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5328 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5769 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5953 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5670 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5659 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5801 - No Public Comment. Cross Reference from Special Occupancy. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5742 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously.

5668 – Jim Richmond spoke to the e-mail traffic that was sent to each Commissioner 1/31/13 on 5668 and 5721. Correspondence in support of Mr. Belchers comments on 5668 and 5721, together with some ASTM meeting minutes dated 4/3/2001, 10/23/2001, 4/16/2002 and 10/15/2002, additionally there was a series of correspondence from BTI to Jamie Gaskin and Rick Dixon concerning ASTM E1886 and 1996 and the application Miami-Dade County and an e-mail communications between Tom Johnston President of the International Hurricane Protection Association and Jeff Robinson of HPI. Jim stated that a few days later, Mr. Belcher did e-mail around a cost differential pertaining to these two modifications and at this point we acknowledged receipt, following Ms. Hammond’s inquiry earlier wanted to ensure that no Commissioners had discussed this with any other Commissioners or had it discussed in their presence.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Structural TAC Modifications Pulled for individual review (cont):

5668 (cont). Mr. Blair asked if a response was needed or if any Commissioner would like to respond to Mr. Richmond's statement? No responses were given. Jeff continued with modification 5668. Joe Belcher, JDBCS, requested to overturn Structural TAC recommendation for NAR and approve modification as originally submitted. Mr. Belcher provided background information. Jack Glenn supports Mr. Belcher's recommendation. Remington Brown, IBHS, supports Mr. Belcher's recommendation. Tom Johnston, IHPA, also supports Mr. Belcher's recommendation. Dean Ruark, PGT, stated was in opposition to this change, supports Structural TAC provided background information for his decision. Dwight Wilkes, AAMA, spoke in opposition of this modification and asked that the Commission consider the Structural TAC decision. Jamie Gaskin, Miami-Dade County provided additional informational background and is in support of TAC recommendation. Mike LaFevre, CWS, stated he is in agreement with the last three people who spoke on this modification, he is in opposition. Fred Dudley, representing Fl. Wind Zone Coalition, they are supportive of the modification. He advised that the company is asking that the Commission to keep the current language and do not change what was put in the Glitch in August. Mr. Blair asked for Commission input. Dr. Stone spoke in favor of the modification keeping wind zone four as originally intended. Commissioner Schock stated that the TAC had a 7 to 3 vote and failed by one vote, his recommendation is to move the modification as submitted with the majority of the TAC members in agreement. Commissioner Schock entered his motion to approve the modification as submitted standing on the findings (a)-(i), Commissioner Stone entered second, the motion passed unanimously.

5721 - No Public Comment. Commissioner Schock entered a second to approve TAC recommendation, motion passed unanimously. Jim Richmond reminded Commission and Public of the statements previously made regarding Sunshine Law brought up on 5742.

6007 – Jack Glenn, FHBA, stated in support. Joe Belcher, JDBCS, stated in support. Dwight Wilkes, AAMA, stated in support of Structural TAC. Jamie Gaskin, Miami-Dade urging support of TAC recommendation. Arlen Tillis, FHBA, stated in support. Commissioner Schock entered motion to retain the right to custom door option based on findings from meeting today; Commissioner Stone entered second, motion passed unanimously.

6012 – Jack Glenn, FHBA, stated this is a hardware issue in testing. Residential was omitted and will be brought in at Glitch, in support. Jamie Gaskin, Miami-Dade County is in support of action. Jim Bell, ASA, stated he is not in support of modification. Dwight Wilkes, AAMA, he is in support of the Structural TAC. Dick Wilhelm, WDMA, in support of modification. The Commissioners held a discussion within the group. Commissioner Schock entered a motion to approve the modification as submitted standing on the findings, Commissioner Stone entered second, motion passed 15 in favor with 3 opposing.

Discuss and Consider Proposed Modifications to the 2012 International Codes for Inclusion in the Next Edition of the Florida Building Code (cont):

Structural TAC Modifications Pulled for individual review (cont):

5623 – Dwight Wilkes, Key Tech, stated A1 be included. Jamie Gaskin, Miami-Dade County, stated he is in support inclusion of label requirements stated by Mr. Wilkes. Dick Wilhelm, WDMA, stated in support. Dean Ruark, PGT, spoke in support of modification. Commissioner Schock entered a motion to support A1 modification standing on the findings and subject to correlation to other modification approval, Commissioner Stone entered a second subject to correlation to other modification approval. Motion passes unanimously.

Structural	Without	Final
------------	-------------------------	-----------------------

Local Technical Amendments:

Mr. Blair advised the local Technical Amendments, all are group. He stated that can be taken as a package. All that is needed is a second. Jeff stated in each case the TAC did not recommend approval. Consent agenda package for local Technical Amendments. No public comment. Jeff advised if the Commission was in support a motion would need to be entered to approve TAC recommendation of the entire package of local Technical Amendments submitted to the Commission. Chairman Browdy stated this will Sunset all of the old Technical Amendments. Motion entered to accept the TAC recommendation with a second by Commissioner Schock, motion passed unanimously.

Commission Modification Package:

Mr. Madani advised that the Commission had taken up 970 modifications during this meeting.

Chairman Browdy asked if there was a motion to adopt the Commissions package of recommendation of approved code modifications for the 2013 Code update and proceed with rule making. A motion was entered and second given, motion passed unanimously.

Public Comments:

Chairman Browdy asked for any additional public comment at this time.

Jack Glenn, thanked the Commission and the TAC's., but he wanted to express additional thanks to the staff.

Joe Belcher echoed Mr. Glenn's comments.

Dwight Wilkes added Ditto to the comments and stated next meeting in April would be in St. Augustine and he is looking forward to seeing everyone on his home turf.

Legal Comments:

Chairman Browdy then turned the floor over to legal counsel.

April Hammonds, Esq. stated there seemed to be some confusion, we were going to have full training for the new commissioners and interested parties on ethics and the sunshine law and there were several discussions today and yesterday regarding disclosure, etc. She wanted to be clear in stating that you can receive information from outside sources and can discuss with a member of the public. The problem starts when you receive an e-mail that was sent to all commissioners, you can inadvertent interaction outside of a duly noticed public meeting which would be a violation of Sunshine. For example should a commissioner press reply to all on an e-mail sent to all commissioners, then your response would be seen by all and could violate the Sunshine Law. So you can receive e-mails individually and discuss with non commission members, but any conversations among yourselves needs to be within a duly noticed meeting.

Mr. Richmond advised the same would apply to the TAC Committees. He stated within your committees you can receive information but cannot discuss with committee members outside of duly noticed meetings.

Commissioner Schiffer asked Ms. Hammonds, would there need to be a disclosure. If you have the conversations, then yes. If they just received e-mail, no, but she would encourage public to send individually to avoid issues, suggested one at time, snail mail, hand deliver to avoid breach.

Commissioner Comments:

Chairman Browdy thanked Ms. Hammonds. He asked the Commissioners if there were any comments.

Commissioner Greiner stated that Jim Richmond mentioned earlier that he was working on changing the meetings days and had gone to hotels, he would like to request that somewhere along the line that we meet as far north as possible and as far south as possible.

Chairman Browdy announced next meeting would be held in St. Augustine.

Commissioner Frank advised currently working on 2013 Florida Fire Prevention Code and the effective date will be December 31, 2014, which looking at the Building Code will nine months before that date causing a gap.

Commissioner Gross asked to share three recent comments on the BCIS website that were very positive. He stated that he missed the last meeting due to scheduling conflict, upon return he went to the website and viewed the facilitator report, and he stated honestly in his 10 to 12 years with the Commission he has not read the report before, he would check his name spelling. He was impressed how detailed it was. He stated he previously had an appeal of a building official's interpretation and again he went to the BCIS website and went to the workgroup and found the minutes from the meeting and was able to view the discussion and outcome, even how he voted, presented it to the appeal board and won the appeal.

Commissioner Gross stated thirdly he has received a lot of requests from people on where to locate the modifications; he went to website and was able to locate the information needed.

Commissioner Comments:

He stated that they all should be ambassadors back to our own industries that information is available. Commissioner Gross stated in two weeks he is lecturing to the Miami-Dade Architect Association and he will be telling them they can go on the site and find information, they do not need to wait until the code comes out and see changes before the code is printed. He stated it was very helpful information.

Commissioner Schock wanted to thank the staff, and the rest of the Commission, the TAC committees, members of the public, that take a lot of time to come to the meetings and at their own costs a lot of times. He questioned the Glitch amendments; he wanted to know the time line.

Jim Richmond advised this will be included on the workplan; it will be available after April 1st and will be opened for 31 days until May 1st.

Chairman Browdy asked for any further comments, there being none, he turned the meeting over to Jeff Blair to go over Committee Assignments to take place in the April meeting. Meeting will take place, April 8th and 9th at the World Golf Center in St. Augustine.

Committee Assignments:

Jeff Blair stated primarily TACs will meet as needed by declaratory statements and other issues and staff will keep them up to date on those should they need to meet. He stated one issue the work groups that the chair convened The Building Code System Uniform Implementation and Evaluation Workgroup will meet on one of the two days in April.

Chairman Browdy stated he would like to wrap of the Committee and get a report to the Legislature specifically on the findings and the effort made to try and understand how well the Building Code is being implemented uniformly throughout the State of Florida. Chairman Browdy stated this is a very big part of his personal agenda and he thinks it is for many of the people who participated on the workgroup.

Chairman Browdy wanted to take a moment to thank the TAC Chairmen for the extraordinary amount of work completed. He stated all of that was made possible because of our staff in Tallahassee. Chairman Browdy stated that Mr. Madani is one of the most humble people he has ever met except he keeps calling himself the staff. He said his real name is Mo and he heads of a staff, his correlation of information to keep the meeting running smooth. He thanked Mo for his hard work and how appreciative they are to have him and the work he does. Chairman Browdy stated there were 970 modifications passed through the office. He stated February 14 the office will move to Monroe Street with DBPR. Chairman Browdy advised staff contacts will be changing; they will be on the website and there are some on the front table for you today.

Adjournment:

The Chair entertained a motion to adjourn, there was a first and second, the meeting was then officially adjourned at 11:31 am.