



# CODE ADMINISTRATION

PART 1 OF 1  
WITHOUT COMMENTS

## Proposed Code Modifications

This document created by the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation -  
850-487-1824

Total Mods for **Code Administration** in **Approved as Modified**: 12

Total Mods for report: 38

**Sub Code: Building**

<b>Date Submitted</b> 7/6/2012	<b>Section</b> 102.5	<b>Proponent</b> Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b> 1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b> No	<b>Attachments</b> No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b> Approved as Modified		
<b>Commission Action</b> Pending Review		

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b> No	<b>Alternate Language</b> No
----------------------------	------------------------------

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

Update 102.5 as per Commission plan

**Rationale**

To implement the approved Commission plan for the 2013 FBC

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

No impact. Carried over from previous code. Field tested and approved to be effective.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

No impact. Carried over from previous code. Field tested and approved to be effective.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

No impact. Carried over from previous code. Field tested and approved to be effective.

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Currently used under 2010 Code No new requirements being established

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Currently used under 2010 Code No new requirements being established

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Currently used under 2010 Code No new requirements being established

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Currently used under 2010 Code No new requirements being established

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

Carry changes forward in accordance with the approved plan for the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**102.5 Partial invalidity.** ~~In the event that any part or provision of this code is held to be illegal or void, this shall not have the effect of making void or illegal any of the other parts or provisions. Reserved.~~

4992-A2

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/20/2012 **Attachments** Yes

**Rationale**

The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification.

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?**

YES

**The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?**

NO

**The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?**

YES

**The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?**

NO

CA4992-G1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/21/2012 **Attachments** No

**Comment:**

102.5 – this section is in conflict with Ch. 120 FS.

102.5 Partial invalidity. Change to read as shown.

102.5 Partial invalidity. Reserved.

**102.5 Partial invalidity.** ~~In the event that any part or provision of this code is held to be illegal or void, this shall not have the effect of making void or illegal any of the other parts or provisions.~~ Reserved.

## 2013 FBC- Code Administration Proposed Alternate Language

### SECTION 102 – Applicability

Modify SECTION 102.5

Modify SECTION 102.5 as follows:

**102.5 Partial invalidity.** ~~In the event that any part or provision of this code is held to be illegal or void, this shall not have the effect of making void or illegal any of the other parts or provisions.~~ Reserved.

**Proposed Code Modification No. CA4992**

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/6/2012	<b>Section</b>	103	<b>Proponent</b>	Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b>	1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	No	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Modified				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

**General Comments** No **Alternate Language** No

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

Update section 103 for items outside scope of Commission authority

**Rationale**

To remove provision of the foundation code that is outside the scope of the Commission authority

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

No impact Currently used in 2010 code. No new reqts. being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

No impact Currently used in 2010 code. No new reqts. being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

No impact Currently used in 2010 code. No new reqts. being established

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from previous field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from previous field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from previous field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from previous field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

Carry code changes in accordance with the approved Commission code change process for the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**SECTION 103 DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING SAFETY**

**103.1 Creation of enforcement agency.** The Department of Building Safety is hereby created and the official in charge thereof shall be known as the *building official*. **103.2 Appointment.** The *building official* shall be appointed by the chief appointing authority of the jurisdiction. **103.3 Deputies.** In accordance with the prescribed procedures of this jurisdiction and with the concurrence of the appointing authority, the *building official* shall have the authority to appoint a deputy building official, the related technical officers, inspectors, plan examiners and other employees. Such employees shall have powers as delegated by the *building official*. For the maintenance of existing properties, see the *International Property Maintenance Code*.

RESERVED

4991-A1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/20/2012 **Attachments** Yes

**Rationale**

The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification.

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?**

YES

**The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?**

NO

**The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?**

YES

**The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?**

NO

CA4991-G1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/21/2012 **Attachments** No

**Comment:**

103 – The Commission has no authority to establish criteria for enforcement agencies. Administratively, the Commission's authority is limited in scope to certificate of occupancy, inspection, plans review and permitting.

Section 103 Department of Building Safety. Change to read as shown.

Section 103 Department of Building Safety Reserved.

**SECTION 103 DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING SAFETY**

**103.1 Creation of enforcement agency.** The Department of Building Safety is hereby created and the official in charge thereof shall be known as the *building official*.

**103.2 Appointment.** The *building official* shall be appointed by the chief appointing authority of the jurisdiction.

**103.3 Deputies.** In accordance with the prescribed procedures of this jurisdiction and with the concurrence of the appointing authority, the *building official* shall have the authority to appoint a deputy building official, the related technical officers, inspectors, plan examiners and other employees. Such employees shall have powers as delegated by the *building official*. For the maintenance of existing properties, see the *International Property Maintenance Code*.

**RESERVED**

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/11/2012	<b>Section</b>	105.10	<b>Proponent</b>	Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b>	1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	No	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Modified				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with FL law and to implement the Commission plan for updating the 2010 code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with the FL statute and to implement the Commission plan for updating the 2013 code

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

currently used under the 2010 code, no new rqts being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

currently used under the 2010 code, no new rqts being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

currently used under the 2010 code, no new rqts being established

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from previous field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from previous field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from previous field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from previous field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

To be consistent with the FL law and to implement the Commission plan for updating the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**105.10 Certificate of protective treatment for prevention of termites. Add text to read as shown.**

**105.910 Certificate of protective treatment for prevention of termites.** A weather-resistant job-site posting board shall be provided to receive duplicate treatment certificates as each required protective treatment is completed, providing a copy for the person the permit is issued to and another copy for the building permit files. The treatment certificate shall provide the product used, identity of the applicator, time and date of the treatment, site location, area treated, chemical used, percent concentration and number of gallons used, to establish a verifiable record of protective treatment. If the soil chemical barrier method for termite prevention is used, final exterior treatment shall be completed prior to final building approval.

**105.10 Certificate of protective treatment for prevention of termites. Add text to read as shown.**

**105.910 Certificate of protective treatment for prevention of termites.** A weather-resistant job-site posting board shall be provided to receive duplicate treatment certificates as each required protective treatment is completed, providing a copy for the person the permit is issued to and another copy for the building permit files. The treatment certificate shall provide the product used, identity of the applicator, time and date of the treatment, site location, area treated, chemical used, percent concentration and number of gallons used, to establish a verifiable record of protective treatment. If the soil chemical barrier method for termite prevention is used, final exterior treatment shall be completed prior to final building approval.

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/11/2012	<b>Section</b>	105.11	<b>Proponent</b>	Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b>	1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	No	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Modified				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

**General Comments** No **Alternate Language** No

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with FL law and to implement the Commission plan for updating the 2013 code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with the FL statute and to implement the Commission plan for updating the 2013 code

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Currently used under 2010 code no new rqts being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under 2010 code no new rqts being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under 2010 code no new rqts being established

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

To be consistent with the FL Statute and to implement the commission plan for updating the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**105.40 11 Notice of termite protection. Add text to read as shown.**

**105.40 11 Notice of termite protection. A permanent sign which identifies the termite treatment provider and need for reinspection and treatment contract renewal shall be provided. The sign shall be posted near the water heater or electric panel.**

~~105.40~~ 11 Notice of termite protection. Add text to read as shown.

~~105.40~~ 11 Notice of termite protection. A permanent sign which identifies the termite treatment provider and need for reinspection and treatment contract renewal shall be provided. The sign shall be posted near the water heater or electric panel.

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/6/2012	<b>Section</b>	105.5	<b>Proponent</b>	Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b>	1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	No	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Modified				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

**General Comments** No **Alternate Language** No

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

Reserve 105.5

**Rationale**

To be consistent with FL statute and to implement the Commission plan for updating the 2013 Code

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

No impact. In previous code edition. No new reqts being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

No impact. In previous code edition. No new reqts being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

No impact. In previous code edition. No new reqts being established

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from previous field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from previous field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from previous field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from previous field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

in accordance with Commission plan to update the 2013 FBC

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**105.5 Expiration.** Every *permit* issued shall become invalid unless the work on the site authorized by such *permit* is commenced within 180 days after its issuance, or if the work authorized on the site by such *permit* is suspended or abandoned for a period of 180 days after the time the work is commenced. The *building official* is authorized to grant, in writing, one or more extensions of time, for periods not more than 180 days each. The extension shall be requested in writing and justifiable cause demonstrated. Reserved.

5002-A1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/20/2012 **Attachments** Yes

**Rationale**

The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification.

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?**

YES

**The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?**

NO

**The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?**

YES

**The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?**

NO

CA5002-G1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/21/2012 **Attachments** No

**Comment:**

The subject of section 105.5 is covered under mod 5001.

105.5 Expiration. Change to read as shown.

105.5 Expiration. Reserved

~~105.5 Expiration. Every *permit* issued shall become invalid unless the work on the site authorized by such *permit* is commenced within 180 days after its issuance, or if the work authorized on the site by such *permit* is suspended or abandoned for a period of 180 days after the time the work is commenced. The *building official* is authorized to grant, in writing, one or more extensions of time, for periods not more than 180 days each. The extension shall be requested in writing and justifiable cause demonstrated. Reserved.~~

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/9/2012	<b>Section</b>	105.9	<b>Proponent</b>	Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b>	1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	No	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Modified				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

fix numbering and to be consistent with fl law and to implement the Commission plan for the 2013 code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with the FL statute and to implement the Commission plan for updating the 2013 code

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Currently used under 2010 code, no new requirements being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under 2010 code, no new requirements being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under 2010 code, no new requirements being established

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

to be consistent with fl law and to implement the commission plan for updating the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**105.9 Asbestos. Add text to read as shown.**

**105.89 Asbestos.** The enforcing agency shall require each building permit for the demolition or renovation of an existing structure to contain an asbestos notification statement which indicates the owner's or operator's responsibility to comply with the provisions of Section 469.003, *Florida Statutes*, and to notify the Department of Environmental Protection of his or her intentions to remove asbestos, when applicable, in accordance with state and federal law.

**105.9 Asbestos. Add text to read as shown.**

**105.89 Asbestos.** The enforcing agency shall require each building permit for the demolition or renovation of an existing structure to contain an asbestos notification statement which indicates the owner's or operator's responsibility to comply with the provisions of Section 469.003, Florida Statutes, and to notify the Department of Environmental Protection of his or her intentions to remove asbestos, when applicable, in accordance with state and federal law.

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/11/2012	<b>Section</b>	109.5	<b>Proponent</b>	Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b>	1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	No	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Modified				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

**General Comments** No **Alternate Language** No

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with Florida Statute and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

**Rationale**

TO be consistent with Florida statute and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Currently used under 2010 code , no new requirements being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under 2010 code , no new requirements being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under 2010 code , no new requirements being established

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

To be consistent with Florida Law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**109.5 Related fees.** The payment of the fee for the construction, *alteration*, removal or demolition for work done in connection to or concurrently with the work authorized by a building *permit* shall not relieve the applicant or holder of the *permit* from the payment of other fees that are prescribed by law.

Reserved.

5091-A1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/20/2012 **Attachments** Yes

**Rationale**

The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification.

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?**

YES

**The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?**

NO

**The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?**

YES

**The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?**

NO

CA5091-G1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/21/2012 **Attachments** No

**Comment:**

The Commission has no authority to establish "related fees".

109.5 Related fees. Change text to read as shown.

109.5 Related fees. Reserved.

**109.5 Related fees.** The payment of the fee for the construction, *alteration*, removal or demolition for work done in connection to or concurrently with the work authorized by a building *permit* shall not relieve the applicant or holder of the *permit* from the payment of other fees that are prescribed by law.

Reserved.

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/11/2012	<b>Section</b>	109.6	<b>Proponent</b>	Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b>	1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	No	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Modified				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with Florida Statute and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Currently used under the 2010 code, no new requirements being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 code, no new requirements being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 code, no new requirements being established

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

To be consistent with Florida law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

109.6 Refunds. The ~~building official~~ is authorized to establish a refund policy. Reserved.

5092-A1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/20/2012 **Attachments** Yes

**Rationale**

The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification.

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?**

YES

**The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?**

NO

**The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?**

YES

**The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?**

NO

109.6 Refunds. Change text to read as shown.

109.6 Refunds. Reserved.

109.6 Refunds. The ~~building official~~ is authorized to establish a refund policy. Reserved.

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/12/2012	<b>Section</b>	110.3.4	<b>Proponent</b>	Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b>	1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	No	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Modified				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with Florida Law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with Florida Law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Currently used under the 2010 code, no new reqts. being established.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 code, no new reqts. being established.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 code, no new reqts. being established.

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

To be consistent with Florida statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**110.4 Inspection agencies.** The *building official* is authorized to accept reports of *approved* inspection agencies, provided such agencies satisfy the requirements as to qualifications and reliability. Reserved.

5096-A1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/20/2012 **Attachments** Yes

**Rationale**

The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification.

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?**

YES

**The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?**

NO

**The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?**

YES

**The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?**

NO

CA5096-G1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/21/2012 **Attachments** No

**Comment:**

The Commission has no authority to establish criteria for acceptable inspection agencies.

110.4 Inspection agencies. Change to read as shown.

110.4 Inspection agencies. Reserved.

110.4 Inspection agencies. The *building official* is authorized to accept reports of *approved* inspection agencies, provided such agencies satisfy the requirements as to qualifications and reliability. Reserved.

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/12/2012	<b>Section</b>	113	<b>Proponent</b>	Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b>	1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	No	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Modified				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

**General Comments** No **Alternate Language** No

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**SECTION 113 BOARD OF APPEALS**

**113.1 General.** ~~In order to hear and decide appeals of orders, decisions or determinations made by the *building official* relative to the application and interpretation of this code, there shall be and is hereby created a board of appeals. The board of appeals shall be appointed by the applicable governing authority and shall hold office at its pleasure. The board shall adopt rules of procedure for conducting its business.~~

**113.2 Limitations on authority.** ~~An application for appeal shall be based on a claim that the true intent of this code or the rules legally adopted thereunder have been incorrectly interpreted, the provisions of this code do not fully apply or an equally good or better form of construction is proposed. The board shall have no authority to waive requirements of this code.~~

**113.3 Qualifications.** ~~The board of appeals shall consist of members who are qualified by experience and training to pass on matters pertaining to building construction and are not employees of the jurisdiction.~~

**RESERVED**

5100-A1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/20/2012 **Attachments** Yes

**Rationale**

The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification.

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?**

YES

**The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?**

NO

**The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?**

YES

**The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?**

NO

CA5100-G1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/21/2012 **Attachments** No

**Comment:**

The Commission has no authority to establish provisions for establishing "Board of Appeals".

Section 113 Board of Appeals. Change to read as shown.

Section 113 Board of Appeals. Reserved.

**SECTION 113 BOARD OF APPEALS**

**113.1 General.** In order to hear and decide appeals of orders, decisions or determinations made by the *building official* relative to the application and interpretation of this code, there shall be and is hereby created a board of appeals. The board of appeals shall be appointed by the applicable governing authority and shall hold office at its pleasure. The board shall adopt rules of procedure for conducting its business.

**113.2 Limitations on authority.** An application for appeal shall be based on a claim that the true intent of this code or the rules legally adopted thereunder have been incorrectly interpreted, the provisions of this code do not fully apply or an equally good or better form of construction is proposed. The board shall have no authority to waive requirements of this code.

**113.3 Qualifications.** The board of appeals shall consist of members who are qualified by experience and training to pass on matters pertaining to building construction and are not employees of the jurisdiction.

**RESERVED**

Date Submitted	7/12/2012	Section	114	Proponent	Joe Bigelow
Chapter	1	Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No
TAC Recommendation	Approved as Modified				
Commission Action	Pending Review				

**Comments**

General Comments	No	Alternate Language	No
------------------	----	--------------------	----

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**SECTION 114 VIOLATIONS**

**114.1 Unlawful acts.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to erect, construct, alter, extend, repair, move, remove, demolish or occupy any building, structure or equipment regulated by this code, or cause same to be done, in conflict with or in violation of any of the provisions of this code.

**114.2 Notice of violation.** The *building official* is authorized to serve a notice of violation or order on the person responsible for the erection, construction, *alteration*, extension, repair, moving, removal, demolition or occupancy of a building or structure in violation of the provisions of this code, or in violation of a *permit* or certificate issued under the provisions of this code. Such order shall direct the discontinuance of the illegal action or condition and the abatement of the violation.

**114.3 Prosecution of violation.** If the notice of violation is not complied with promptly, the *building official* is authorized to request the legal counsel of the jurisdiction to institute the appropriate proceeding at law or in equity to restrain, correct or abate such violation, or to require the removal or termination of the unlawful occupancy of the building or structure in violation of the provisions of this code or of the order or direction made pursuant thereto.

**114.4 Violation penalties.** Any person who violates a provision of this code or fails to comply with any of the requirements thereof or who erects, constructs, alters or repairs a building or structure in violation of the *approved construction documents* or directive of the *building official*, or of a *permit* or certificate issued under the provisions of this code, shall be subject to penalties as prescribed by law.

**RESERVED**

5101-A1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/20/2012 **Attachments** Yes

**Rationale**

The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification.

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?**

YES

**The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?**

NO

**The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?**

YES

**The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?**

NO

CA5101-G1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/21/2012 **Attachments** No

**Comment:**

The Commission has no authority to establish provisions for code violations. Also, see 53.80(7) FS.

Section 114 Violations. Change to read as shown.

Section 114 Violations. Reserved.

**SECTION 114 VIOLATIONS**

**114.1 Unlawful acts.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to erect, construct, alter, extend, repair, move, remove, demolish or occupy any building, structure or equipment regulated by this code, or cause same to be done, in conflict with or in violation of any of the provisions of this code.

**114.2 Notice of violation.** The *building official* is authorized to serve a notice of violation or order on the person responsible for the erection, construction, *alteration*, extension, repair, moving, removal, demolition or occupancy of a building or structure in violation of the provisions of this code, or in violation of a *permit* or certificate issued under the provisions of this code. Such order shall direct the discontinuance of the illegal action or condition and the abatement of the violation.

**114.3 Prosecution of violation.** If the notice of violation is not complied with promptly, the *building official* is authorized to request the legal counsel of the jurisdiction to institute the appropriate proceeding at law or in equity to restrain, correct or abate such violation, or to require the removal or termination of the unlawful occupancy of the building or structure in violation of the provisions of this code or of the order or direction made pursuant thereto.

**114.4 Violation penalties.** Any person who violates a provision of this code or fails to comply with any of the requirements thereof or who erects, constructs, alters or repairs a building or structure in violation of the *approved construction documents* or directive of the *building official*, or of a *permit* or certificate issued under the provisions of this code, shall be subject to penalties as prescribed by law.

**RESERVED**

Date Submitted	7/12/2012	Section	116	Proponent	Joe Bigelow
Chapter	1	Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No
TAC Recommendation	Approved as Modified				
Commission Action	Pending Review				

**Comments**

General Comments **No** Alternate Language **No**

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

## SECTION 116 UNSAFE STRUCTURES AND EQUIPMENT

**116.1 Conditions.** Structures or existing equipment that are or hereafter become unsafe, insanitary or deficient because of inadequate *means of egress* facilities, inadequate light and ventilation, or which constitute a fire hazard, or are otherwise dangerous to human life or the public welfare, or that involve illegal or improper occupancy or inadequate maintenance, shall be deemed an unsafe condition. Unsafe structures shall be taken down and removed or made safe, as the *building official* deems necessary and as provided for in this section. A vacant structure that is not secured against entry shall be deemed unsafe.

**116.2 Record.** The *building official* shall cause a report to be filed on an unsafe condition. The report shall state the occupancy of the structure and the nature of the unsafe condition.

**116.3 Notice.** If an unsafe condition is found, the *building official* shall serve on the owner, agent or person in control of the structure, a written notice that describes the condition deemed unsafe and specifies the required repairs or improvements to be made to abate the unsafe condition, or that requires the unsafe structure to be demolished within a stipulated time. Such notice shall require the person thus notified to declare immediately to the *building official* acceptance or rejection of the terms of the order.

**116.4 Method of service.** Such notice shall be deemed properly served if a copy thereof is (a) delivered to the owner personally; (b) sent by certified or registered mail addressed to the owner at the last known address with the return receipt requested; or (c) delivered in any other manner as prescribed by local law. If the certified or registered letter is returned showing that the letter was not delivered, a copy thereof shall be posted in a conspicuous place in or about the structure affected by such notice. Service of such notice in the foregoing manner upon the owner's agent or upon the person responsible for the structure shall constitute service of notice upon the owner.

**116.5 Restoration.** The structure or equipment determined to be unsafe by the *building official* is permitted to be restored to a safe condition. To the extent that repairs, *alterations* or *additions* are made or a change of occupancy occurs during the restoration of the structure, such repairs, *alterations*, *additions* or change of occupancy shall comply with the requirements of Section 105.2.2 and Chapter 34.

RESERVED

5103-A2

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/20/2012 **Attachments** Yes

**Rationale**

The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification.

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?**

YES

**The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?**

NO

**The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?**

YES

**The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?**

NO

CA5103-G1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/21/2012 **Attachments** No

**Comment:**

The Commission has no authority to establish provisions for "Unsafe Structures and Equipment".

**Section 116 Unsafe Structures And Equipment. Change to read as shown.**

**Section 116 Unsafe Structures And Equipment. Reserved.**

## SECTION 116 UNSAFE STRUCTURES AND EQUIPMENT

**116.1 Conditions.** Structures or existing equipment that are or hereafter become unsafe, insanitary or deficient because of inadequate *means of egress* facilities, inadequate light and ventilation, or which constitute a fire hazard, or are otherwise dangerous to human life or the public welfare, or that involve illegal or improper occupancy or inadequate maintenance, shall be deemed an unsafe condition. Unsafe structures shall be taken down and removed or made safe, as the *building official* deems necessary and as provided for in this section. A vacant structure that is not secured against entry shall be deemed unsafe.

**116.2 Record.** The *building official* shall cause a report to be filed on an unsafe condition. The report shall state the occupancy of the structure and the nature of the unsafe condition.

**116.3 Notice.** If an unsafe condition is found, the *building official* shall serve on the owner, agent or person in control of the structure, a written notice that describes the condition deemed unsafe and specifies the required repairs or improvements to be made to abate the unsafe condition, or that requires the unsafe structure to be demolished within a stipulated time. Such notice shall require the person thus notified to declare immediately to the *building official* acceptance or rejection of the terms of the order.

**116.4 Method of service.** Such notice shall be deemed properly served if a copy thereof is (a) delivered to the owner personally; (b) sent by certified or registered mail addressed to the owner at the last known address with the return receipt requested; or (c) delivered in any other manner as prescribed by local law. If the certified or registered letter is returned showing that the letter was not delivered, a copy thereof shall be posted in a conspicuous place in or about the structure affected by such notice. Service of such notice in the foregoing manner upon the owner's agent or upon the person responsible for the structure shall constitute service of notice upon the owner.

**116.5 Restoration.** The structure or equipment determined to be unsafe by the *building official* is permitted to be restored to a safe condition. To the extent that repairs, *alterations* or *additions* are made or a change of occupancy occurs during the restoration of the structure, such repairs, *alterations*, *additions* or change of occupancy shall comply with the requirements of Section 105.2.2 and Chapter 34.

RESERVED

Total Mods for **Code Administration** in **Approved as Submitted**: 14

Total Mods for report: 38

**Sub Code: Building**

<b>Date Submitted</b> 7/6/2012	<b>Section</b> 102.1.1	<b>Proponent</b> Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b> 1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b> No	<b>Attachments</b> No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b> Approved as Submitted		
<b>Commission Action</b> Pending Review		

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b> No	<b>Alternate Language</b> No
----------------------------	------------------------------

**Related Modifications**

**Summary of Modification**

amend section 102.1.1 in accordance with FS

**Rationale**

To clarify the scope of the Florida Building Code in accordance with the Florida Statutes and to also implement the Florida Building Commission approved process for the 2013 Florida Building Code.

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

- Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**  
None. Currently used under 2010 code. No new req. being established
- Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**  
None. Currently used under 2010 code. No new req. being established
- Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**  
None. Currently used under 2010 code. No new req. being established

**Requirements**

- Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**  
Carried over from previous code. Field tested and proven to be effective.
- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**  
Carried over from previous code. Field tested and proven to be effective.
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**  
Carried over from previous code. Field tested and proven to be effective.
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**  
Does not degrade. Carried over from previous code. Field tested and proven to be effective.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?  
YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?  
NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?  
OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**  
Per Florida Law and to implement the Florida Building Commission plan for the 2013 FBC

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?  
NO

**1st Comment Period History** 08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

<b>Proponent</b> Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b> 9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b> No
------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------

**Comment:**  
Clarifies the scope of the FBC as per 553.73(13) FS.

CA4986-G1

**102.1.1 Add to read as shown.**

102.1.1 The Florida Building Code does not apply to, and no code enforcement action shall be brought with respect to, zoning requirements, land use requirements and owner specifications or programmatic requirements which do not pertain to and govern the design, construction, erection, alteration, modification, repair or demolition of public or private buildings, structures or facilities or to programmatic requirements that do not pertain to enforcement of the Florida Building Code. Additionally, a local code enforcement agency may not administer or enforce the Florida Building Code, Building to prevent the siting of any publicly owned facility, including, but not limited to, correctional facilities, juvenile justice facilities, or state universities, community colleges, or public education facilities, as provided by law.

<b>Date Submitted</b> 7/11/2012	<b>Section</b> 105.12	<b>Proponent</b> Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b> 1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b> No	<b>Attachments</b> No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b> Approved as Submitted		
<b>Commission Action</b> Pending Review		

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b> No	<b>Alternate Language</b> No
----------------------------	------------------------------

**Related Modifications**

**Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with FL Statute and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

**Rationale**

to be consistent with the FL statute and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Currently used under 2010 code, no new rqts being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under 2010 code, no new rqts being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under 2010 code, no new rqts being established

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

to be consistent with Florida Statute and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**1st Comment Period History** 08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

<b>Proponent</b> Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b> 9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b> No
------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------

**Comment:**  
Section 105.12 provides for provisions with regard to work starting before permit issuance as authorized by 553.79(6) FS.

CA5080-G1

**105.12 Work starting before permit issuance. Add text to read as shown.**

**105.12 Work starting before permit issuance.** Upon approval of the building official, the scope of work delineated in the building permit application and plan may be started prior to the final approval and issuance of the permit, provided any work completed is entirely at risk of the permit applicant and the work does not proceed past the first required inspection.

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/24/2012	<b>Section</b>	105.1	<b>Proponent</b>	Joseph Belcher
<b>Chapter</b>	1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	Yes	<b>Attachments</b>	Yes
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Submitted				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications**

None

**Summary of Modification**

Adds installation of hurricane protection to items required to have building permit.

**Rationale**

The hurricane protection industry estimates annual sales in unapproved and mostly bogus "hurricane protection devices" at \$30M to \$40M at the minimum. These products have not been tested or investigated by anyone and meet no standards. The sellers of these products target Florida citizens and give Florida residents a false sense of security. Requiring permits and inspections for all hurricane protection products would dramatically increase the protection provided to the residents of Florida. This requirement is in the FBCB 2010.

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

No impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code as the provision is in the FBCB 2010. In addition, many jurisdictions required permits for the installation of hurricane protection before the code was modified to specifically require such permits.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Will not result in any cost when compared to the FBC 2010. When considered with the base code, there may be a cost for the permit for projects that are adding protection to existing structures. There should be no added cost for adding hurricane protection to the permit for new construction.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Will not result in any cost when compared to the FBC 2010. When considered with the base code, there may be a cost for the permit for projects that are adding protection to existing structures. There should be no added cost for adding hurricane protection to the permit for new construction.

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Will result in greater regulation of hurricane protection products and systems. Permitting would ascertain the products have the required to have state or local product approval. The public would benefit because sub-standard and unapproved products should become less prevalent.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Approval of the change would strengthen and improve the code by closing a loop hole allowing abuse of the public in the form of sub-standard "hurricane protection" products.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

The proposed amendment seeks to treat all hurricane protection products equally and does not discriminate.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Improves the effectiveness of the code by closing a loop hole allowing abuse of the trust of Florida citizens.

**Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?**

YES

**The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?**

NO

**The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?**

YES

**The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?**

NO

[A] 105.1 Required. Any owner or authorized agent who intends to construct, enlarge, alter, repair, move, demolish, or change the occupancy of a building or structure, or to erect, install, enlarge, alter, repair, remove, convert or replace any impact resistant coverings, electrical, gas, mechanical or plumbing system, the installation of which is regulated by this code, or to cause any such work to be done, shall first make application to the *building official* and obtain the required *permit*.

**The State of Florida is the only of the contiguous states where the entire land mass is a hurricane prone region. Historically, Florida has endured numerous land falls from hurricanes and special attention is merited for the installation of hurricane protection.**

**The timing was insufficient to get into the ICC Group A Code Change Cycle for the 2015 International Building Code and will be submitted to the next available cycle. The amendment will be submitted to the ICC Group B Code Change Cycle for the 2015 International Residential Code.**

Date Submitted 7/31/2012  
Chapter 1

Section 105  
Affects HVHZ No

Proponent bob vincent  
Attachments No

TAC Recommendation Approved as Submitted  
Commission Action Pending Review

### Comments

General Comments No

Alternate Language No

### Related Modifications

### Summary of Modification

Assure that Chapter 471, FS, for compliance with professional engineering design of public pools is met. And assure that Dept. of Health County Health Departments are notified when Building Officials issue permits and completion certificates for public pools.

### Rationale

Chapter 514 requires the design of public swimming pools and bathing places by a professional engineer to assure the safety of bathers.

### Fiscal Impact Statement

#### Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

None known

#### Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code

Currently required under Chapters 514, FS, and 471, FS

#### Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

No change from current practice

### Requirements

#### Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public

Both health and safety are impacted by professional design of these venues

#### Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction

Currently practiced, and is not clearly required in the code for this type of facility

#### Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities

Does not discriminate

#### Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

Does not degrade effectiveness

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? No

Append to this sections as item # 6

105.3.1.2

No permit may be issued for any building construction, erection, alteration, modification, repair, or addition unless the applicant for such permit provides to the enforcing agency which issues the permit any of the following documents which apply to the construction for which the permit is to be issued and which shall be prepared by or under the direction of an engineer registered under Chapter 471, Florida Statutes:

 1.- 5.

6. All public swimming pools and public bathing places defined by and regulated under Chapter 514, Florida Statutes

<b>Date Submitted</b> 7/11/2012	<b>Section</b> 107.3.4.3	<b>Proponent</b> Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b> 1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b> No	<b>Attachments</b> No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b> Approved as Submitted		
<b>Commission Action</b> Pending Review		

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b> No	<b>Alternate Language</b> No
----------------------------	------------------------------

**Related Modifications**

**Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with FL law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with FL Law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**  
Currently used under 2010 Code, no new requirements being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**  
Currently used under 2010 Code, no new requirements being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**  
Currently used under 2010 Code, no new requirements being established

**Requirements**

- Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**  
Carried Over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**  
Carried Over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**  
Carried Over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**  
Carried Over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?  
YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?  
NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?  
OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**  
To be consistent with FL law and to implement the current plan for the Commission to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?  
NO

**1st Comment Period History** 08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

<b>Proponent</b> Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b> 9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b> No
------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------

**Comment:**  
The proposed modification adds text from 553.79(14) FS.

CA5086-G1

**107.3.4.3 Add text to read as shown.**

107.3.4.3 Certifications by contractors authorized under the provisions of Section 489.115(4)(b), Florida Statutes, shall be considered equivalent to sealed plans and specifications by a person licensed under Chapter 471, Florida Statutes, or Chapter 481 Florida Statutes, by local enforcement agencies for plans review for permitting purposes relating to compliance with the wind-resistance provisions of the code or alternate methodologies approved by the Florida Building Commission for one- and two-family dwellings. Local enforcement agencies may rely upon such certification by contractors that the plans and specifications submitted conform to the requirements of the code for wind resistance. Upon good cause shown, local government code enforcement agencies may accept or reject plans sealed by persons licensed under Chapters 471, 481 or 489, Florida Statutes.

<b>Date Submitted</b> 7/11/2012	<b>Section</b> 107.3	<b>Proponent</b> Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b> 1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b> No	<b>Attachments</b> No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b> Approved as Submitted		
<b>Commission Action</b> Pending Review		

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b> No	<b>Alternate Language</b> No
----------------------------	------------------------------

**Related Modifications**

**Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with FI statute and to implement the Commission plan for updating the 2013 code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with FL statute and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

- Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**  
Currently used under the 2010 code, no new requirements being established
- Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**  
Currently used under the 2010 code, no new requirements being established
- Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**  
Currently used under the 2010 code, no new requirements being established

**Requirements**

- Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**  
Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**  
Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**  
Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**  
Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?  
YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?  
NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?  
OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**  
To be consistent with FI Statute and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?  
NO

**1st Comment Period History** 08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

<b>Proponent</b> Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b> 9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b> No
------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------

**Comment:**  
The proposed modification adds text from 553.79(2) FS.

CA5085-G1

**107.3 Examination of documents. Change to read as shown.**

**107.3 Examination of documents.** The building official shall examine or cause to be examined the accompanying submittal documents and shall ascertain by such examinations whether the construction indicated and described is in accordance with the requirements of this code and other pertinent laws or ordinances.

**Exception:**

1. Building plans approved pursuant to Section 553.77(5), Florida Statutes, and state-approved manufactured buildings are exempt from local codes enforcing agency plan reviews except for provisions of the code relating to erection, assembly or construction at the site. Erection, assembly and construction at the site are subject to local permitting and inspections. Photocopies of plans approved according to FAC 9B-1.009, F.A.C., shall be sufficient for local permit application documents of record for the modular building portion of the permitted project.

2. Industrial construction on sites where design, construction and fire safety are supervised by appropriate design and inspection professionals and which contain adequate in-house fire departments and rescue squads is exempt, subject to local government option, from review of plans and inspections, providing owners certify that applicable codes and standards have been met and supply appropriate approved drawings to local building and fire-safety inspectors.

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/24/2012	<b>Section</b>	110.3.11	<b>Proponent</b>	Joseph Belcher
<b>Chapter</b>	1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	Yes	<b>Attachments</b>	Yes
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Submitted				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications**

None.

**Summary of Modification**

Carry forward requirement to inspect installations of impact resistant coverings or systems.

**Rationale**

The hurricane protection industry estimates annual sales in unapproved and mostly bogus "hurricane protection devices" at \$30M to \$40M at the minimum. These products have not been tested or investigated by anyone and meet no standards. The sellers of these products target Florida citizens and give Florida residents a false sense of security. Requiring permits and inspections for all hurricane protection products will dramatically increase the protection provided to the residents of Florida.

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

No impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code as the provision is in the FBCB 2010. In addition, many jurisdictions required permits and inspection for the installation of hurricane protection before the code was modified to specifically require such permits.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Will not result in any cost because requirement is contained in the FBC 2010. When considered with the base code, there may be a slight cost for the inspection for projects adding protection to existing structures. There is no added cost for inspection of hurricane protection for new construction.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Will not result in any cost because requirement is contained in the FBC 2010. When considered with the base code, there may be a slight cost for the inspection for projects adding protection to existing structures. There is no added cost for inspection of hurricane protection for new construction.

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Will result in greater regulation of hurricane protection products and systems. Inspections will ascertain the products have the required product approval and are installed properly. The public will benefit from systems that are properly installed.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Approval of the change would strengthen and improve the code by closing a loop hole allowing abuse of the public in the form of sub-standard "hurricane protection" products and improper installation.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

The proposed amendment seeks to treat all hurricane protection products equally and does not discriminate.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Improves the effectiveness of the code by closing a loop hole allowing abuse of the trust of Florida citizens.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

110.3.11 Impact resistant coverings or systems. Where impact resistant coverings or systems are installed to meet requirements of this code, the building official shall schedule adequate inspections of impact resistant coverings or systems to determine the following:

The system indicated on the plans was installed.

The system is installed in accordance with the manufacturer's installation instructions and the product approval.

**The State of Florida is the only of the contiguous states where the entire land mass is a hurricane prone region. Historically, Florida has endured numerous land falls from hurricanes and special attention is merited for the installation of hurricane protection.**

**The timing was insufficient to get into the ICC Group A Code Change Cycle for the 2015 International Building Code and will be submitted to the next available cycle. The amendment will be submitted to the ICC Group B Code Change Cycle for the 2015 International Residential Code.**



<b>Date Submitted</b>	8/1/2012	<b>Section</b>	202	<b>Proponent</b>	Ken Cureton
<b>Chapter</b>	2	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	No	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Submitted				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

**General Comments** No **Alternate Language** No

**Related Modifications**

None

**Summary of Modification**

Modify SECTION 202 (Admin. TAC)

**Rationale**

To comply with s. 553.73(7)(a) Florida Statutes, the proposed modification will supplement the most current version of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) base code with Florida specific requirements in accordance with the Commission's approved code change process for the update to the 2013 Florida Building Code. The proposed modification is necessary in order to maintain compliance with Florida Statutes.

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to maintain compliance with Florida Statutes.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

CA5938-G1

<b>Proponent</b>	BOAF CDC	<b>Submitted</b>	9/23/2012	<b>Attachments</b>	No
------------------	----------	------------------	-----------	--------------------	----

**Comment:**

The definition of Value is unnecessary.

The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g) No Statute or data was supplied.

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

Modify **SECTION 202** as follows:

VALUE. The estimated current replacement cost of the building in kind.

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/16/2012	<b>Section</b>	Sections 409 and 410	<b>Proponent</b>	Ken Cureton
<b>Chapter</b>	4	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	No	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Submitted				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications**

None

**Summary of Modification**

Modify SECTIONS 409 and 410

**Rationale**

To comply with s. 553.73(7)(a) Florida Statutes, the proposed modification will supplement the most current version of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) base code with Florida specific requirements in accordance with the Commission's approved code change process for the update to the 2013 Florida Building Code. The proposed modification is necessary in order to provide correlations with other Sub-Codes and / or other chapters of the Florida Building Code – Existing Building

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to provide correlations with other Sub-Codes and / or other chapters of the Florida Building Code – Existing Building

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

CA5143-G1

Proponent	Submitted	Attachments
Ken Cureton	9/21/2012	No

**Comment:**

The proposal provides for correlation between sub-codes.

CA5143-G2

Proponent	Submitted	Attachments
BOAF CDC	9/23/2012	No

**Comment:**

1. Section 409 No data or justification was provided.

The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g)

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

2. Section 410 No data or justification was provided.

The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g)

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

MODIFY - SECTION 409 - MOVED STRUCTURES as follows:

**409.1 Conformance.** ~~Structures moved into or within the jurisdiction shall comply with the provisions of this code for new structures. See Chapter 13~~

MODIFY - SECTION 410 - ACCESSIBILITY FOR EXISTING BUILDINGS as follows:

**410.1 Scope.** ~~See the provisions of the Florida Building Code, Accessibility. The provisions of Sections 410.1 through 410.9 apply to maintenance, change of occupancy, additions and alterations to existing buildings, including those identified as historic buildings.~~

**410.2 Maintenance of facilities.** ~~A facility that is constructed or altered to be accessible shall be maintained accessible during occupancy.~~

**410.3 Extent of application.** ~~An alteration of an existing facility shall not impose a requirement for greater accessibility than that which would be required for new construction. Alterations shall not reduce or have the effect of reducing accessibility of a facility or portion of a facility.~~

**410.4 Change of occupancy.** ~~Existing buildings that undergo a change of group or occupancy shall comply with this section.~~

**Exception:** ~~Type B dwelling or sleeping units required by Section 1107 of the International Building Code are not required to be provided in existing buildings and facilities undergoing a change of occupancy in conjunction with alterations where the work area is 50 percent or less of the aggregate area of the building.~~

**410.4.1 Partial change in occupancy.** ~~Where a portion of the building is changed to a new occupancy classification, any alterations shall comply with Sections 410.6, 410.7 and 410.8.~~

**410.4.2 Complete change of occupancy.**

~~Where an entire building undergoes a change of occupancy, it shall comply with Section 410.4.1 and shall have all of the following accessible features:~~

1. At least one accessible building entrance.
2. At least one accessible route from an accessible building entrance to *primary function* areas.
3. Signage complying with Section 1110 of the *International Building Code*.
4. Accessible parking, where parking is being provided.
5. At least one accessible passenger loading zone, when loading zones are provided.
6. At least one accessible route connecting accessible parking and accessible passenger loading zones to an accessible entrance.

Where it is *technically infeasible* to comply with the new construction standards for any of these requirements for a change of group or occupancy, the above items shall conform to the requirements to the maximum extent technically feasible.

**Exception:** The accessible features listed in Items 1 through 6 are not required for an accessible route to Type B units.

**410.5 Additions.** Provisions for new construction shall apply to additions. An addition that affects the accessibility to, or contains an area of, a primary function shall comply with the requirements in [Section 410.7](#).

#### **410.6 Alterations.**

A facility that is altered shall comply with the applicable provisions in Chapter 11 of the *International Building Code*, unless *technically infeasible*. Where compliance with this section is *technically infeasible*, the alteration shall provide access to the maximum extent technically feasible.

#### **Exceptions:**

1. The altered element or space is not required to be on an accessible route, unless required by [Section 410.7](#).
2. Accessible means of egress required by Chapter 10 of the *International Building Code* are not required to be provided in existing facilities.
3. The alteration to Type A individually owned dwelling units within a Group R-2 occupancy shall be permitted to meet the provision for a Type B dwelling unit.
4. Type B dwelling or sleeping units required by Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* are not required to be provided in *existing buildings* and facilities undergoing a *change of occupancy* in conjunction with *alterations* where the *work area* is 50 percent or less of the aggregate area of the building.

#### **410.7 Alterations affecting an area containing a primary function.**

Where an *alteration* affects the accessibility to, or contains an area of *primary function*, the route to the *primary function* area shall be *accessible*. The *accessible* route to the *primary function* area shall include toilet facilities or

drinking fountains serving the area of *primary function*.

**Exceptions:**

1. The costs of providing the *accessible* route are not required to exceed 20 percent of the costs of the *alterations* affecting the area of *primary function*.
2. This provision does not apply to *alterations* limited solely to windows, hardware, operating controls, electrical outlets and signs.
3. This provision does not apply to *alterations* limited solely to mechanical systems, electrical systems, installation or *alteration* of fire protection systems and abatement of hazardous materials.
4. This provision does not apply to *alterations* undertaken for the primary purpose of increasing the accessibility of a *facility*.
5. This provision does not apply to altered areas limited to Type B dwelling and sleeping units.

**410.8 Scoping for alterations.** The provisions of [Sections 410.8.1](#) through [410.8.14](#) shall apply to *alterations* to *existing buildings* and *facilities*.

**410.8.1 Entrances.** *Accessible* entrances shall be provided in accordance with [Section 1105](#).

**Exception:** Where an *alteration* includes alterations to an entrance, and the *facility* has an *accessible* entrance, the altered entrance is not required to be *accessible*, unless required by [Section 410.7](#). Signs complying with Section 1110 of the *International Building Code* shall be provided.

**410.8.2 Elevators.** Altered elements of existing elevators shall comply with ASME A17.1 and ICC A117.1. Such elements shall also be altered in elevators programmed to respond to the same hall call control as the altered elevator.

**410.8.3 Platform lifts.** Platform (wheelchair) lifts complying with ICC A117.1 and installed in accordance with ASME A18.1 shall be permitted as a component of an accessible route.

**410.8.4 Stairs and escalators in existing buildings.** In *alterations, change of occupancy* or *additions* where an escalator or stair is added where none existed previously and major structural modifications are necessary for installation, an accessible route shall be provided between the levels served by the escalator or stairs in accordance with Sections 1104.4 and 1104.5 of the *International Building Code*.

**410.8.5 Ramps.** Where slopes steeper than allowed by Section 1010.3 of the *International Building Code* are necessitated by space limitations, the slope of ramps in or providing access to existing facilities shall comply with Table 410.8.5.

**TABLE 410.8.5 RAMPS**

SLOPE	MAXIMUM RISE
Steeper than 1:10 but not steeper than 1:8	3 inches
Steeper than 1:12 but not steeper than 1:10	6 inches

For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm.

**410.8.6 Performance areas.** Where it is *technically infeasible* to alter performance areas to be on an accessible route, at least one of each type of performance area shall be made accessible.

**410.8.7 Accessible dwelling or sleeping units.** Where Group I 1, I 2, I 3, R 1, R 2 or R 4 dwelling or sleeping units are being altered or added, the requirements of Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* for Accessible units apply only to the quantity of spaces being altered or added.

**410.8.8 Type A dwelling or sleeping units.**

Where more than 20 Group R 2 dwelling or sleeping units are being altered or added, the requirements of Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* for Type A units apply only to the quantity of the spaces being altered or added.

**410.8.9 Type B dwelling or sleeping units.** Where four or more Group I 1, I 2, R 1, R 2, R 3 or R 4 dwelling or sleeping units are being added, the requirements of Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* for Type B units apply only to the quantity of the spaces being added. Where Group I 1, I 2, R 1, R 2, R 3 or R 4 dwelling or sleeping units are being altered and where the work area is greater than 50 percent of the aggregate area of the building, the requirements of Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* for Type B units apply only to the quantity of the spaces being altered.

**410.8.10 Jury boxes and witness stands.** In *alterations*, accessible wheelchair spaces are not required to be located within the defined area of raised jury boxes or witness stands and shall be permitted to be located outside these spaces where the ramp or lift access restricts or projects into the means of egress.

**410.8.11 Toilet rooms.** Where it is *technically infeasible* to alter existing toilet and bathing rooms to be *accessible*, an *accessible* family or assisted-use toilet or bathing room constructed in accordance with Section 1109.2.1 of the

~~*International Building Code* is permitted. The family or assisted-use toilet or bathing room shall be located on the same floor and in the same area as the existing toilet or bathing rooms.~~

~~**410.8.12 Dressing, fitting and locker rooms.** Where it is *technically infeasible* to provide accessible dressing, fitting or locker rooms at the same location as similar types of rooms, one accessible room on the same level shall be provided. Where separate-sex facilities are provided, accessible rooms for each sex shall be provided. Separate-sex facilities are not required where only unisex rooms are provided.~~

~~**410.8.13 Fuel dispensers.** Operable parts of replacement fuel dispensers shall be permitted to be 54 inches (1370 mm) maximum, measuring from the surface of the vehicular way where fuel dispensers are installed on existing curbs.~~

~~**410.8.14 Thresholds.** The maximum height of thresholds at doorways shall be  $\frac{3}{4}$  inch (19.1 mm). Such thresholds shall have beveled edges on each side.~~

~~**410.9 Historic buildings.** These provisions shall apply to *facilities* designated as historic structures that undergo *alterations or a change of occupancy*, unless *technically infeasible*. Where compliance with the requirements for accessible routes, entrances or toilet rooms would threaten or destroy the historic significance of the *facility*, as determined by the applicable governing authority, the alternative requirements of [Sections 410.9.1 through 410.9.4](#) for that element shall be permitted.~~

~~**Exception:** Type B dwelling or sleeping units required by Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* are not required to be provided in historical buildings.~~

~~**410.9.1 Site arrival points.** At least one accessible route from a site arrival point to an accessible entrance shall be provided.~~

~~**410.9.2 Multilevel buildings and facilities.** An accessible route from an accessible entrance to public spaces on the level of the accessible entrance shall be provided.~~

~~**410.9.3 Entrances.** At least one main entrance shall be accessible.~~

~~**Exceptions:**~~

- ~~1. If a main entrance cannot be made accessible, an accessible nonpublic entrance that is unlocked while the building is occupied shall be provided; or~~
- ~~2. If a main entrance cannot be made accessible, a locked accessible entrance with a notification system or remote monitoring shall be provided.~~

~~Signs complying with Section 1110 of the *International Building Code* shall be provided at the primary entrance and the accessible entrance.~~

~~**410.9.4 Toilet and bathing facilities.** Where toilet rooms are provided, at least one accessible family or assisted-use toilet room complying with Section 1109.2.1 of the *International Building Code* shall be provided.~~

Date Submitted 7/16/2012  
Chapter 13

Section 1301 and 1302  
Affects HVHZ No

Proponent Ken Cureton  
Attachments No

TAC Recommendation Approved as Submitted  
Commission Action Pending Review

### Comments

General Comments No

Alternate Language No

### Related Modifications

None

### Summary of Modification

Modify SECTION 1301.2 Add SECTION 1302.0

### Rationale

To comply with s. 553.73(7)(a) Florida Statutes, the proposed modification will supplement the most current version of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) base code with Florida specific requirements in accordance with the Commission's approved code change process for the update to the 2013 Florida Building Code. The proposed modification is necessary in order to carry forward the Commission's Working Group initiatives of formatting and improving the application of the Florida Building Code – Existing Building, as well as correlate with other sections of the Florida Building Code.

### Fiscal Impact Statement

#### Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

### Requirements

#### Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

#### Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to carry forward the Commission's Working Group initiatives of formatting and improving the application of the Florida Building Code – Existing Building, as well as correlate with other sections of the Florida Building Code.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**1st Comment Period History**

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

Page 90 of 140

<b>Proponent</b>	BOAF CDC	<b>Submitted</b>	9/17/2012	<b>Attachments</b>	No
------------------	----------	------------------	-----------	--------------------	----

CA5159-G1

**Comment:**

Because a code provision was in the 2010 FBC does not make it Florida specific.

The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g)

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

**1st Comment Period History**

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

<b>Proponent</b>	Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b>	9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b>	No
------------------	-------------	------------------	-----------	--------------------	----

CA5159-G2

**Comment:**

The proposal provides correlation with the FFPC.

Modify **SECTION 1301.2** as follows:

**1301.2 Conformance.** The building shall be safe for human occupancy as determined by the ~~International Fire Code~~ Florida Fire Prevention Code and the ~~International Property Maintenance Code-Florida Building Code, Building~~. Any repair, alteration, or change of occupancy undertaken within the moved structure shall comply with the requirements of this code applicable to the work being performed. Any field-fabricated elements shall comply with the requirements of the ~~International Building Code or the International Residential Code as applicable.~~ Florida Building Code, Building.

Add **SECTION 1302.0** as follows:

**1302.0 Residential buildings or structures moved into or within a county or municipality shall not be required to be brought into compliance with the state minimum building code in force at the time the building or structure is moved, provided:**

1. The building or structure is structurally sound and in occupiable condition for its intended use;
2. The occupancy use classification for the building or structure is not changed as a result of the move;
3. The building is not substantially remodeled;
4. Current fire code requirements for ingress and egress are met;
5. Electrical, gas and plumbing systems meet the code in force at the time of construction and are operational and safe for reconnection; and
6. Foundation plans are sealed by a professional engineer or architect licensed to practice in this state, if required by the Florida Building Code, Building for all residential buildings or structures of the same occupancy class.
7. Moving of buildings shall be in accordance with the Florida Building Code, Building.

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/16/2012	<b>Section</b>	REFERENCED STANDARDS	<b>Proponent</b>	Ken Cureton
<b>Chapter</b>	16	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	No	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Submitted				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications**

None

**Summary of Modification**

Add REFERENCED STANDARDS as indicated

**Rationale**

To comply with s. 553.73(7)(a) Florida Statutes, the proposed modification will supplement the most current version of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) base code with Florida specific requirements in accordance with the Commission's approved code change process for the update to the 2013 Florida Building Code. The proposed modification is necessary in order to provide for reference correlation to relevant Sub-Codes.

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to provide for reference correlation to relevant Sub-Codes.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**1st Comment Period History**

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

Page 93 of 140

CA5161-G1

<b>Proponent</b>	Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b>	9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b>	No
------------------	-------------	------------------	-----------	--------------------	----

**Comment:**

The proposal provides for code correlation.

**1st Comment Period History**

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

CA5161-G2

<b>Proponent</b>	BOAF CDC	<b>Submitted</b>	9/23/2012	<b>Attachments</b>	No
------------------	----------	------------------	-----------	--------------------	----

**Comment:**

Because a code provision was in the 2010 FBC does not make it Florida specific.

The added reference to CSSB is incorrect because 711.3 does not speak to cedar shakes and no one put in a code change to add section 711 back into the code.

The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g)

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

Add REFERENCED STANDARDS as follows:

CSSB

Cedar Shake and Shingle Bureau

PO Box 1178

Shumas, WA 98295-1178

<u>Standard reference number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Referenced in code section number</u>
	<u>Recommendations</u>	<u>711.3</u>

Florida Codes Florida Building Commission

c/o Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation

Building Codes and Standards

1940 North Monroe Street

Tallahassee, Florida 32399.

<u>Standard reference number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Referenced in code section number</u>
----------------------------------	--------------	--

<u>FBC-2013</u>	<u>Florida Building Code, Building®</u>	<u>101.2, 201.3, 202, 301.4, 401.4, 401.4.1, 403.2, 407.2, 407.3.1, 503.1, 503.2, 503.3, 507.2.1, 507.3, 507.4, 511.1, 511.3, 601.3, 603.2.3, 603.3.1, 603.3.2, 603.4, 603.5.2, 604.2, 604.2.1, 604.2.3, 604.2.4, 604.3, 605.2, 605.3, 605.3.2, 605.4.3, 605.6, 605.7.1, 605.8.1, 605.9.2, 605.10.2, 606.1, 607.1, 607.2, 607.3, 607.4, 607.4.1, 608.2, 608.3, 611.1, 702.1.2, 704.1, 704.1.2, 705.2, 705.3, 706.1, 707.2, 707.3, 707.5.1, 707.6, 707.7, 801.1, 801.3, 802.1, 802.2, 807.1, 807.2, 811.1.1, 812.1.1, 812.1.2, 812.3.1, 812.4.1.1, 812.4.1.2, 812.4.1.3, 812.4.2.1, 812.4.2.3, 812.4.3.1, 812.4.3.3, 812.4.4.1, 812.4.4.2, 812.4.4.3, 902.1, 902.2, 902.3, 903.1, 903.2, 903.3.1, 903.3.2, 903.5, 904.1, 904.2, 1101.2, 1102.0, 1102.1, 1102.2, 1102.2.1, 1102.2.2, 1102.3, 1201.2.2, 1201.2.3, 1201.2.4, 1201.4.1, 1201.6.1, 1201.6.1.1, 1201.6.2, 1201.6.2.1, 1201.6.3.1, 1201.6.3.2, 1201.6.4.1, 1201.6.5, 1201.6.5.1, 1201.6.6, 1201.6.7.1, 1201.6.8, 1201.6.9.1, 1201.6.10.1, 1201.6.11, 1201.6.11.1, 1201.6.12.1, 1201.6.14, 1201.6.15.1, Table 1201.6.15, 1201.6.16.1, 1201.6.17, 1201.6.17.1, 1201.6.18, 1201.6.18.1, 1201.6.19, 1304.1.3, 1304.1.4, 1306.6, 1306.9, 1309.1, 1311.3, 1311.3.1, 1312.1</u>
-----------------	---	--

<u>FBC, Accessibility-<del>Ch. 11</del> 2012 Accessibility</u>	<u>406.1, 506.1, 601.1, 606.1, 706.1, 806.1, 812.5, 905.1, 1002, 1306.2</u>
--	---

<u>FBC, Energy Conservation - 20103</u>	<u>512.1, 611.1, 708.1, 906.1</u>
---	-----------------------------------

<u>Ch. 27-Electrical</u>	<u>408.1, 508.1.2, 508.1.3, 608.1, 608.2, 808.1, 808.2, 808.3, 808.4</u>
--------------------------	--

FFPC-2013 Florida Fire Prevention Code 101.2, 101.4, 201.3, 503.3, 603.2.1, 603.2.3, 603.3.2, 604.2.4, 604.4, 604.4.3, 605.2, 605.3, 605.3.1.2, 702.1.2, 703.1, 704.2, 812.3.1, 1101.2, 1201.3.2, 1201.6.8.1, 1201.6.14.1, 1309.2

FBC, Mechanical-2013 Florida Building Code, Mechanical 101.2, 409.1, 509.1, 609.1, 609.2, 702.1.1, 702.2.1, 809.1, 1201.6.7.1, 1201.6.8, 1201.6.8.1

FBC, Plumbing-2013 Florida Building Code, Plumbing 101.2, 410.2, 510.2, 610.1, 810.1, 810.3, 810.5, 1305.1

FBC, Residential-2013 Florida Building Code, Residential 101.2, 608.3, 904.1, 904.2, 1102.2, 1201.2.2, 1201.2.3



Date Submitted 7/20/2012  
Chapter 2

Section R202  
Affects HVHZ No

Proponent Ken Cureton  
Attachments No

TAC Recommendation Approved as Submitted  
Commission Action Pending Review

### Comments

General Comments No

Alternate Language No

#### Related Modifications

None

#### Summary of Modification

Modify SECTION R202 (Admin)

#### Rationale

To comply with s. 553.73(7)(a) Florida Statutes, the proposed modification will supplement the most current version of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) base code with Florida specific requirements in accordance with the Commission's approved code change process for the update to the 2013 Florida Building Code.

#### Fiscal Impact Statement

##### Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

##### Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

##### Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Requirements

##### Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

##### Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

##### Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

##### Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

#### Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to supplement the most current version of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) base code with Florida specific requirements.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

CA5423-G1

<b>Proponent</b>	Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b>	9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b>	No
------------------	-------------	------------------	-----------	--------------------	----

**Comment:**

The proposal adds terms for consistency with Florida Statutes.

Modify **SECTION R202** as follows:

**APPLICABLE GOVERNING BODY.** A city, county, state, state agency or other political government subdivision or entity authorized to administer and enforce the provisions of this code, as adopted or amended. Also applies to administrative authority.

**ARCHITECT.** A Florida-registered architect.

**ENFORCEMENT AGENCY.**

**Local enforcement agency.** Means an agency of local government with authority to make inspections of buildings and to enforce the codes which establish standards for design, construction, erection, alteration, repair, modification or demolition of public or private buildings, structures or facilities.

**State enforcement agency.** Means the agency of state government with authority to make inspections of buildings and to enforce the codes, as required by this part, which establish standards for design, construction, erection, alteration, repair, modification or demolition of public or private buildings, structures or facilities.

**ENGINEER.** A Florida-registered engineer.

**LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.** A Florida registered Landscape Architect.

**MATERIAL CODE VIOLATION.** A material code violation is a violation that exists within a completed building, structure or facility which may reasonably result, or has resulted, in physical harm to a person or significant damage to the performance of a building or its systems.

**MATERIAL VIOLATION.** As defined in Florida Statutes.

**VALUE.** The estimated current replacement cost of the building in kind.

<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/20/2012	<b>Section</b>	APPENDIX M	<b>Proponent</b>	Ken Cureton
<b>Chapter</b>	3313	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	No	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Approved as Submitted				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications**

None

**Summary of Modification**

Modify Appendix M – Delete Appendix M current language and change to “RESERVED”

**Rationale**

To comply with s. 553.73(7)(a) Florida Statutes, the proposed modification will supplement the most current version of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) base code with Florida specific requirements in accordance with the Commission's approved code change process for the update to the 2013 Florida Building Code. The proposed modification is necessary in order to carry forward the Commission's policy on the formatting of Reference Standards.

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to carry forward the Commission's policy on the formatting of Reference Standards.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

CA5456-G1

<b>Proponent</b>	Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b>	9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b>	No
------------------	-------------	------------------	-----------	--------------------	----

**Comment:**

The Commission has no authority to adopt an appendix as an option for local adoption.

Modify **Appendix M** as follows:

**APPENDIX M**  
**HOME DAY CARE – R-3 OCCUPANCY**  
**RESERVED**

Date Submitted 7/20/2012  
Chapter 45

Section CHAPTER 45  
Affects HVHZ No

Proponent Ken Cureton  
Attachments No

TAC Recommendation Approved as Submitted  
Commission Action Pending Review

### Comments

General Comments No

Alternate Language No

### Related Modifications

None

### Summary of Modification

Modify CHAPTER 45 to change designation to CHAPTER 46. Proposed Modifications required the re-numbering of existing chapters in order to allow for the inclusion of new chapters

### Rationale

To comply with s. 553.73(7)(a) Florida Statutes, the proposed modification will supplement the most current version of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) base code with Florida specific requirements in accordance with the Commission's approved code change process for the update to the 2013 Florida Building Code. The proposed modification is necessary in order to maintain proper formatting of the Code that will allow for the incorporation of the additional chapters proposed in other proposed code modifications.

### Fiscal Impact Statement

#### Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

### Requirements

#### Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

#### Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to maintain proper formatting of the Code that will allow for the incorporation of the additional chapters proposed in other proposed code modifications.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

Modify **CHAPTER 45** to change designation to **CHAPTER 46** as follows:

**CHAPTER ~~45~~46**  
**REFERENCED STANDARDS**

Total Mods for **Code Administration** in **No Affirmative Recommendation with a Second**: 3

Total Mods for report: 38

**Sub Code: Building**

<b>Date Submitted</b> 7/6/2012	<b>Section</b> 105.4	<b>Proponent</b> Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b> 1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b> No	<b>Attachments</b> No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	No Affirmative Recommendation with a Second	
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review	

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications**

**Summary of Modification**

Update 105.4 in line with Commission plan to change 2013 FBC

**Rationale**

To implement the Commission plan for updating the 2013 code

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Currently used under 2010 code no new reqts being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under 2010 code no new reqts being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under 2010 code no new reqts being established

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from previous. Field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from previous. Field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from previous. Field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from previous. Field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

To be consistent with the FI statute and to implement the Commission plan for updating the 203 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**1st Comment Period History**

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

<b>Proponent</b>	Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b>	9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b>	No
------------------	-------------	------------------	-----------	--------------------	----

**Comment:**

The proposed sections provide for condition of permit as authorized by 553.79 FS.

CA5001-G1

**105.4 Validity of permit. Change to read as shown.****105.4 Conditions of the permit.**

**105.4.1 Permit intent.** A permit issued shall be construed to be a license to proceed with the work and not as authority to violate, cancel, alter or set aside any of the provisions of the technical codes, nor shall issuance of a permit prevent the building official from thereafter requiring a correction of errors in plans, construction or violations of this code. Every permit issued shall become invalid unless the work authorized by such permit is commenced within six months after its issuance, or if the work authorized by such permit is suspended or abandoned for a period of six months after the time the work is commenced.

**105.4.1.1** If work has commenced and the permit is revoked, becomes null and void, or expires because of lack of progress or abandonment, a new permit covering the proposed construction shall be obtained before proceeding with the work.

**105.4.1.2** If a new permit is not obtained within 180 days from the date the initial permit became null and void, the building official is authorized to require that any work which has been commenced or completed be removed from the building site. Alternately, a new permit may be issued on application, providing the work in place and required to complete the structure meets all applicable regulations in effect at the time the initial permit became null and void and any regulations which may have become effective between the date of expiration and the date of issuance of the new permit.

**105.4.1.3** Work shall be considered to be in active progress when the permit has received an approved inspection within 180 days. This provision shall not be applicable in case of civil commotion or strike or when the building work is halted due directly to judicial injunction, order or similar process.

**105.4.1.4** The fee for renewal reissuance and extension of a permit shall be set forth by the administrative authority.

<b>Date Submitted</b> 7/19/2012	<b>Section</b> 107.7	<b>Proponent</b> Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b> 1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b> No	<b>Attachments</b> No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	No Affirmative Recommendation with a Second	
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review	

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications**

**Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with FL Law and to implement the Commission

**Rationale**

To be consistent with Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

- Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**  
Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established
- Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**  
Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established
- Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**  
Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established

**Requirements**

- Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**  
Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**  
Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**  
Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**  
Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?  
YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?  
NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?  
OTHER  
Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?  
NO

**1st Comment Period History** 08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

<b>Proponent</b>	Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b>	9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b>	No
------------------	-------------	------------------	-----------	--------------------	----

**Comment:**  
The proposed modification adds text from HB 704.

CA5323-G1

If the local building code administrator or inspector finds that the plans are not in compliance with the Florida Building Code, the local building code administrator or

inspector shall identify the specific plan features that do not comply with the applicable codes, identify the specific code chapters and sections upon which the finding is based, and provide this information to the local enforcing agency. The

local enforcing agency shall provide this information to the permit applicant.

<b>Date Submitted</b> 7/12/2012	<b>Section</b> 111.5	<b>Proponent</b> Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b> 1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b> No	<b>Attachments</b> No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	No Affirmative Recommendation with a Second	
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review	

**Comments**

**General Comments** No **Alternate Language** No

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with the Florida Statute and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new reqts. being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new reqts. being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new reqts. being established

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**Section 111.5 Revocation. Change to read as shown.**

~~111.4~~ **111.5 Revocation.** The building official is authorized to, in writing, suspend or revoke a certificate of occupancy or completion issued under the provisions of this code wherever the certificate is issued in error, or on the basis of incorrect information supplied, or where it is determined that the building or structure or portion thereof is in violation of any ordinance or regulation or any of the provisions of this code.

Total Mods for **Code Administration** in **Withdrawn**: 2

Total Mods for report: 38

**Sub Code: Building**

<b>Date Submitted</b> 7/6/2012	<b>Section</b> 102.7	<b>Proponent</b> Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b> 1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b> No	<b>Attachments</b> No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b> Withdrawn		
<b>Commission Action</b> Pending Review		

**Comments**

**General Comments** No **Alternate Language** No

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

Update 102.7

**Rationale**

To clarify the scope of the FBC in accordance w the statutes and to also implement the Commission approved process for the 2013 FBC

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

No impact. Currently under 2010 code. No new requirements being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

No impact. Currently under 2010 code. No new requirements being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

No impact. Currently under 2010 code. No new requirements being established

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from the previous code. Field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from the previous code. Field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from the previous code. Field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from the previous code. Field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

In accordance with FS and to implement the Commission approved plan for the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**102.7 Relocation of manufactured buildings. Add to read as shown.****102.7 Relocation of manufactured buildings.**

(1) Relocation of an existing manufactured building does not constitute an alteration.

(2) A relocated building shall comply with wind speed requirements of the new location, using the appropriate wind speed map. If the existing building was manufactured in compliance with the Standard Building Code (prior to March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Standard Building Code shall be applicable. If the existing building was manufactured in compliance with the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code shall be applicable.

(3) A relocated building shall comply with the flood hazard area requirements of the new location, if applicable.



<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/24/2012	<b>Section</b>	R301.1	<b>Proponent</b>	Michael Goolsby
<b>Chapter</b>	3	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	Yes	<b>Attachments</b>	Yes
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	Withdrawn				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications****Summary of Modification**

The provisions contained in this chapter have unique specifications for application in the HVHZ. The beginning of the chapter needs to refer the reader to Chapter 44 for the applicable HVHZ requirements.

**Rationale**

The provisions contained in this chapter have unique specifications for application in the HVHZ. The beginning of the chapter needs to refer the reader to Chapter 44 for the applicable HVHZ requirements.

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

No impact. It provides clarity and direction in the code.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

No impact. It provides clarity and direction in the code.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Cost savings by providing clarity and direction in the code.

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

None. The MOD provides direction and clarity for applicable HVHZ requirements.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Strengthens the code by providing clarity and direction when working in the HVHZ.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

The modification does not discriminate against materials, products, methods or systems of construction.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

This modification enhances the code by directing users to the proper chapter of the code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**R301.1 Application.** Buildings and structures, and all parts thereof, shall be constructed to safely support all loads, including dead loads, live loads, roof loads, flood loads, snow loads, wind loads and seismic loads as prescribed by this code. The construction of buildings and structures in accordance with the provisions of this code shall result in a system that provides a complete load path that meets all requirements for the transfer of all loads from their point of origin through the load-resisting elements to the foundation. Buildings and structures constructed as prescribed by this code are deemed to comply with the requirements of this section.

Exception: Buildings and structures located within the High Velocity Hurricane Zone shall comply with Sections R302 to R323, inclusive and the provisions of Chapter R44 and Section R406. In addition, buildings and structures located in flood hazard areas established in Table R301.2 (1) shall comply with Sections R301.2.4 and R322.

This proposed modification reinstates guidance to applicable HVHZ sections of the code maintaining consistency with the legislative intent to preserve HVHZ wind provisions.

Total Mods for **Code Administration** in **No Affirmative Recommendation without a Second**: 7

Total Mods for report: 38

**Sub Code: Building**

<b>Date Submitted</b> 7/11/2012	<b>Section</b> 107.1	<b>Proponent</b> Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b> 1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b> No	<b>Attachments</b> No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b> No Affirmative Recommendation without a Second		
<b>Commission Action</b> Pending Review		

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b> No	<b>Alternate Language</b> No
----------------------------	------------------------------

**Related Modifications**

**Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with FL law and to implement Commission plan to update the 2013 code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with FL law and to also incorporate plans review criteria as established by the Commission and to implement the commission plan for updating the 2013 code

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

- Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**  
Currently used under the 2010 code, no new rqts being established
- Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**  
Currently used under the 2010 code, no new rqts being established
- Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**  
Currently used under the 2010 code, no new rqts being established

**Requirements**

- Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**  
Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**  
Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**  
Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**  
Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?  
YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?  
NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?  
OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

To be consistent with the FL statute and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?  
NO

**1st Comment Period History** 08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

<b>Proponent</b> Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b> 9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b> No
------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------

**Comment:**  
The proposed modification provides for consistency with 471 & 481 FS.

CA5084-G1

Revise 107.1 General to read as follows:

**107.1 General.**

~~Submittal documents consisting of construction documents, statement of special inspections, geotechnical report and other data shall be submitted in two or more sets with each permit application. The construction documents shall be prepared by a registered design professional where required by the statutes of the jurisdiction in which the project is to be constructed. Where special conditions exist, the building official is authorized to require additional construction documents to be prepared by a registered design professional.~~

**Exception:** The building official is authorized to waive the submission of construction documents and other data not required to be prepared by a registered design professional if it is found that the nature of the work applied for is such that review of construction documents is not necessary to obtain compliance with this code.

**107.1 General.**

Construction documents, special inspection and structural observation programs, and other data shall be submitted in one or more sets with each application for a permit. The construction documents shall be prepared by a registered design professional where required by Chapter 471, Florida Statutes or Chapter 481, Florida Statutes. Where special conditions exist, the building official is authorized to require additional construction documents to be prepared by a registered design professional.

**No changes to exception**

<b>Date Submitted</b> 7/11/2012	<b>Section</b> 109.1	<b>Proponent</b> Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b> 1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b> No	<b>Attachments</b> No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	No Affirmative Recommendation without a Second	
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review	

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications**

**Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with Florida law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with Florida law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new reqts. being established

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new reqts. being established

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new reqts. being established

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**

To be consistent with Florida Law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**1st Comment Period History**

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

<b>Proponent</b>	Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b>	9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b>	No
------------------	-------------	------------------	-----------	--------------------	----

**Comment:**

The proposed modification adds text for consistency with 553.80(7) FS.

CA5089-G1

**109.1 Prescribed fees. Change text to read as shown.**

**109.1 Prescribed fees.** A permit shall not be issued until fees authorized under Section 553.80, *Florida Statutes*, have been paid. Nor shall an amendment to a permit be released until the additional fee, if any, due to an increase in the estimated cost of the building, structure, electrical, plumbing, mechanical, or gas systems, has been paid.

<b>Date Submitted</b> 7/11/2012	<b>Section</b> 109.4	<b>Proponent</b> Joe Bigelow
<b>Chapter</b> 1	<b>Affects HVHZ</b> No	<b>Attachments</b> No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	No Affirmative Recommendation without a Second	
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review	

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications**

**Summary of Modification**

To be consistent with Florida Law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

**Rationale**

To be consistent with FLorida law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

- Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**  
Currently used under the 2010 code , no new reqts. being established
- Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**  
Currently used under the 2010 code , no new reqts. being established
- Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**  
Currently used under the 2010 code , no new reqts. being established

**Requirements**

- Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**  
Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**  
Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**  
Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective
- Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**  
Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?  
YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?  
NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?  
OTHER

**Explanation of Choice**  
To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?  
NO

**1st Comment Period History** 08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

<b>Proponent</b> Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b> 9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b> No
------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------

**Comment:**  
The proposed modification adds text for consistency with 553.79(6) FS.

CA5090-G1

**109.4 Work commencing before permit issuance. Change text to read as shown.**

**109.4 Work commencing before permit issuance.** Any person who commences any work on a building, structure, electrical, gas, mechanical or plumbing system before obtaining the building official's approval or the necessary permits shall be subject to a penalty of 100 percent of the usual permit fee in addition to the required permit fees.

Date Submitted 7/11/2012  
Chapter 1

Section 110.3.10  
Affects HVHZ No

Proponent Joe Bigelow  
Attachments No

TAC Recommendation No Affirmative Recommendation without a Second  
Commission Action Pending Review

### Comments

General Comments No

Alternate Language No

### Related Modifications

### Summary of Modification

To be consistent with Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

### Rationale

To be consistent with the Florida statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

### Fiscal Impact Statement

#### Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

Currently used under 2010 code, no new requirements being established

#### Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code

Currently used under 2010 code, no new requirements being established

#### Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

Currently used under 2010 code, no new requirements being established

### Requirements

#### Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

#### Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

#### Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

#### Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

#### Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

5095-A1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/20/2012 **Attachments** Yes

**Rationale**

The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification.

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?**

YES

**The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?**

NO

**The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?**

YES

**The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?**

NO

CA5095-G1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/21/2012 **Attachments** No

**Comment:**

The requirements for final inspections are covered by the Commission's established inspection criteria as per 553.73(4)(a) FS.

110.3.10 Final inspections. **Change to read as shown.**

110.3.10 Final inspections. Reserved.

**110.3.10 Final inspection.** The final inspection shall be made after all work required by the building *permit* is completed.

**110.3.10.1 Flood hazard documentation.** If located in a *flood hazard area*, documentation of the elevation of the lowest floor as required in Section 1612.5 shall be submitted to the *building official* prior to the final inspection.  
Reserved.

Date Submitted 7/11/2012  
Chapter 1

Section 110.3.8  
Affects HVHZ No

Proponent Joe Bigelow  
Attachments No

TAC Recommendation No Affirmative Recommendation without a Second  
Commission Action Pending Review

### Comments

General Comments No

Alternate Language No

### Related Modifications

### Summary of Modification

To be consistent with Florida Law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

### Rationale

To be consistent with Florida statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

### Fiscal Impact Statement

#### Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

Currently used under 201 code no new requirements being established

#### Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code

Currently used under 201 code no new requirements being established

#### Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

Currently used under 201 code no new requirements being established

### Requirements

#### Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

#### Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

#### Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

#### Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

Carried over from previous, field tested and proven to be effective

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

#### Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with Florida law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

5094-A1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/20/2012 **Attachments** Yes

**Rationale**

The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification.

**Fiscal Impact Statement**

**Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Requirements**

**Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.

**Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?**

YES

**The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?**

NO

**The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?**

YES

**The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?**

NO

CA5094-G1

**Proponent** Ken Cureton **Submitted** 9/21/2012 **Attachments** No

**Comment:**

The requirements for additional inspections are covered by the Commission's established inspection criteria as per 553.73(4)(a) FS.

110.3.8 Other inspections. Change to read as shown.

110.3.8 Reinforcing steel and structural frames. Reserved

**110.3.8 Other inspections.** In addition to the inspections specified in Sections 110.3.1 through 110.3.7, the *building official* is authorized to make or require other inspections of any construction work to ascertain compliance with the provisions of this code and other laws that are enforced by the department of building safety. Reserved.



Date Submitted 7/31/2012  
Chapter 9

Section 907  
Affects HVHZ No

Proponent Ken Cureton  
Attachments No

TAC Recommendation No Affirmative Recommendation without a Second  
Commission Action Pending Review

### Comments

General Comments No

Alternate Language No

### Related Modifications

None

### Summary of Modification

Modify SECTION 907.4.1

### Rationale

To comply with s. 553.73(7)(a) Florida Statutes, the proposed modification will supplement the most current version of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) base code with Florida specific requirements in accordance with the Commission's approved code change process for the update to the 2013 Florida Building Code. The proposed modification is necessary in order to maintain compliance with Florida Statutes as well as State of Florida provisions for elevators.

### Fiscal Impact Statement

#### Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

### Requirements

#### Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction

Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

#### Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

#### Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to maintain compliance with Florida Statutes as well as State of Florida provisions for elevators.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

**1st Comment Period History**

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

Page 136 of 140

<b>Proponent</b>	Ken Cureton	<b>Submitted</b>	9/21/2012	<b>Attachments</b>	No
------------------	-------------	------------------	-----------	--------------------	----

**Comment:**

The proposal provides consistency with Florida Statutes.

CA5881-G1

**1st Comment Period History**

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

<b>Proponent</b>	BOAF CDC	<b>Submitted</b>	9/23/2012	<b>Attachments</b>	No
------------------	----------	------------------	-----------	--------------------	----

**Comment:**

Because a code provision was in the 2010 FBC does not make it Florida specific.

The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g)

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

CA5881-G2

Modify **SECTION 907.4.1** as follows:

**907.4.1 Evaluation and analysis.** An engineering evaluation and analysis that establishes the structural adequacy of the altered structure shall be prepared by a registered ~~design professional~~ architect or engineer and submitted to the *code official*.



<b>Date Submitted</b>	7/25/2012	<b>Section</b>	R202	<b>Proponent</b>	Janet Gerard
<b>Chapter</b>	2	<b>Affects HVHZ</b>	Yes	<b>Attachments</b>	No
<b>TAC Recommendation</b>	No Affirmative Recommendation without a Second				
<b>Commission Action</b>	Pending Review				

**Comments**

<b>General Comments</b>	No	<b>Alternate Language</b>	No
-------------------------	----	---------------------------	----

**Related Modifications**

R612.6

**Summary of Modification**

Provides definition of Product Approval

**Rationale**

Provides a definition for a term used in different contexts. Example Section 612.6 uses Approval number and Product Approval with no definition. This change proposal clarifies the term.

**Fiscal Impact Statement****Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code**

None. Currently product approval is required, this change only offers clarification

**Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code**

None. Currently product approval is required, this change only offers clarification

**Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code**

None. Currently product approval is required, this change only offers clarification

**Requirements****Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public**

Currently product approval is required, this change only offers clarification

**Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction**

Currently product approval is required, this change only offers clarification

**Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities**

No. Currently product approval is required, this change only offers clarification

**Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code**

No. Currently product approval is required, this change only offers clarification

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? No

**Product Approval:** Product approval means the approval of a product or system of construction for acceptance on a state or regional basis consistent with a required evaluation.