

## **Proposed Code Modifications**

This document created by the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation - 850-487-1824

# TAC: Accessibility

Total Mods for  $\ensuremath{\textbf{Accessibility}}$  in  $\ensuremath{\textbf{Approved}}$  as  $\ensuremath{\textbf{Modified: 1}}$ 

Total Mods for report: 21

# Sub Code: Building

A5104						Page 3 df 59
Date Submitted 7/12/	/2012	Section 202		Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 2		Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Modifie Pending Review	d				
<u>Comments</u>						
General Comments	No	Alter	nate Language	No		
<b>Related Modifications</b>						
Summary of Modification	on					
•	with the Florida Statutes	and to implement th	ne Commission plan	to update the 2013 C	ode	
Rationale						
To be consistent v	with the Florida Statutes	and to implement the	ne Commission plan	to update the 2013 C	ode	
Fiscal Impact Statemen	ıt					
•	ntity relative to enforce sed under the 2010 Cod		ents being establishe	ed		
	g and property owners sed under the 2010 Cod		•			
	y relative to the cost of sed under the 2010 Cod	•		ed		
Requirements						
Has a reasonable	and substantial conne		-	re of the general pub	lic	
•	nproves the code, and r from the previous, field		• •	methods, or system	s of construction	
	inate against materials r from the previous, field	•		struction of demons	trated capabilities	
Does not degrade	e the effectiveness of the from the previous, field	ne code				
Is the proposed code modi	•	•				
YES						
<b>T</b> he manufacture events in the	- 41					
The provisions contained i NO	in the proposed amendme	ent are addressed in th	ie applicable internati	onal code /		

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice** 

To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility Code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

### Modify Section 202 of the Florida Building Code, Building as follows:

ACCESSIBLE. See Section 11-3.5. theFlorida Building Code, Accessibility.

### Change to read as shown.

ACCESSIBLE ROUTE. See Section 11-3.5. theFlorida Building Code, Accessibility.

### Change to read as shown.

Accessible Unit. Reserved. See the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

Add to read as shown.

Circulation Path. See the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

Revise as follows:

**DETECTABLE WARNING.** See the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

Change to read as shown.

Dwelling unit or sleeping unit, type A. Reserved.

Change to read as shown.

Dwelling unit or sleeping unit, type B. Reserved-

EMPLOYEE WORK AREA. Reserved...See theFlorida Building Code, Accessibility.

Change to read as shown.

Technically Infeasible. Reserved. See the Florida Building Code, Accessibility

Wheelchair space. See the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

Page: `

ACCESSIBLE. See Section 11-3.5. theFlorida Building Code, Accessibility.

Change to read as shown.

ACCESSIBLE ROUTE. See Section 11 3.5. theFlorida Building Code, Accessibility.

Change to read as shown.

Accessible Unit. Reserved. See the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

Add to read as shown.

Circulation Path. See the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

Revise as follows:

DETECTABLE WARNING. See the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

Change to read as shown.

Dwelling unit or sleeping unit, type A. Reserved.

Change to read as shown.

Dwelling unit or sleeping unit, type B. Reserved-

EMPLOYEE WORK AREA. Reserved...See the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

Change to read as shown.

Technically infeasible. Reserved.

# TAC: Accessibility

Total Mods for Accessibility in Approved as Submitted: 20

Total Mods for report: 21

# Sub Code: Building

A5126			·····.		Page 9 <del>8</del> f 59
Date Submitted 7/13/2	012	Section 1009.9.1	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 1		Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Submi Pending Review	tted			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comments	No	Alternate Langua	je No		
<b>Related Modifications</b>					
Summary of Modification	า				
To be consistent with	th the Florida Access	bility Code and to implement the C	Commission plan to update	the 2013 Code	
Rationale					
To be consistent with	th the Florida Access	bility Code and to implement the C	Commission plan to update	the 2013 Code	
Fiscal Impact Statement					
	ity relative to enforce ed under the 2010 Coo	ment of code le, no new requirements being est	ablished		
		relative to cost of compliance wilder, no new requirements being est			
• •		f compliance with code le, no new requirements being est	ablished		
Requirements					
		ection with the health, safety, and d tested and proven to be effective	• •	olic	
•	•	provides equivalent or better pro d tested and proven to be effective		ns of construction	
	•	a, products, methods, or systems d tested and proven to be effective		strated capabilities	
•	the effectiveness of t from the previous, fiel	<b>he code</b> Id tested and proven to be effective	e		
Is the proposed code modifi YES	cation part of a prior co	de version?			
The provisions contained in NO	the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in the applicable in	nternational code?		

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice** 

To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility Code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

Section 1009.9.1 Stairway walking surface. Change to read as shown.

**1009. 9.1 Stairway walking surface.** The walking surface of treads and landings of a stairway shall not be sloped steeper than one unit vertical in 48 units horizontal (2-percent slope) in any direction. Stairway treads and landings shall have a solid surface. Finish floor surfaces shall be securely attached.

Exceptions:

1-2 No change

3. See also the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

	2012	Section 907	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 9		Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Subn Pending Review	nitted			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comments	No	Alternate Language	No		
<b>Related Modifications</b>					
Summary of Madificatio	-				
Summary of Modificatio		s Code and to implement the Commis	sion plan to update the 20	)13 Code	
Rationale			· · · · · · · · · · ·		
To be consistent w	ith Florida Accessibi	ity code and to implement the Commi	ssion plan to update the 2	013 code	
Fiscal Impact Statement	:				
•	tity relative to enfor		lished		
,		ode, no new requirements being estat			
		rs relative to cost of compliance with ode, no new requirements being estat			
•		of compliance with code			
Currently use	ed under the 2010 C	ode, no new requirements being estat	blished		
Requirements					
		nection with the health, safety, and v	velfare of the general pub	lic	
		eld tested and proven to be effective			
•	• •	d provides equivalent or better produced tested and proven to be effective	ucts, methods, or system	s of construction	
	•	ls, products, methods, or systems o	f construction of demons	trated capabilities	
		eld tested and proven to be effective			
	the effectiveness of				
Does not degrade	· · · ·				
Does not degrade Carried over	from the previous, fi				
Does not degrade					

NO

...........

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice** 

To be consistent with the Florida Access code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

**907.1. 4 Accessibility.** Every required fire alarm system shall include a visible alarm indicating appliances in public and common areas. For more specific accessibility requirements related to alarm indicating appliances, refer to Section <u>11 4.28. applicable sections of the Florida Building Code, Accessibility</u>

907.9 Accessibility. Add to read as shown.

**907.9** Accessibility. Alarm systems required to be accessible shall comply with the <u>applicable sections</u> of the **Florida Building Code**, Accessibility

A5114					Page 13 of 59
Date Submitted	7/12/2012	Section 1003.5.1	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter	10	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments		No
TAC Recommenda Commission Actio		tted			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comment	s No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modifica	tions				
Summary of Mod	lification				
To be cons	istent with Florida Accessibilit	y code and to implement the Commissio	on plan to update the 2	2013 code	
Rationale					
To be consi	istent with the Florida Access	ibility code and to implement the Commi	ssion plan to update t	he 2013 code	
Fiscal Impact Sta					
•	ocal entity relative to enforce ently used under the 2010 Coo	ement of code de, no new requirements being establish	ed		
•	• • • •	s relative to cost of compliance with coo de, no new requirements being establish			
•	ndustry relative to the cost o ently used under the 2010 Coo	<b>f compliance with code</b> de, no new requirements being establish	ed		
Requirements					
		ection with the health, safety, and welfa Id tested and proven to be effective	are of the general put	blic	
•	•	provides equivalent or better products Id tested and proven to be effective	, methods, or system	ns of construction	n
	•	s, products, methods, or systems of co Id tested and proven to be effective	nstruction of demons	strated capabiliti	ies
	egrade the effectiveness of t ed over from the previous, fie	the code Id tested and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed coo YES	de modification part of a prior co	de version?			
The provisions con NO	tained in the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in the applicable internat	ional code?		

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

a second second

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

1003.5.1 Accessibility. Add to read as shown.

1003.5.1 Accessibility. For accessibility provisions related to changes in levels, see - the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

A5115				<u>.</u>		Page 15 of 59
Date Submitted 7/12/2	2012	Section 1007		Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 10		Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Submi Pending Review	tted				
<u>Comments</u>						
General Comments	No	AI	ternate Language	No		
Related Modifications						
Summary of Modificatio	n					
To be consistent w	vith the Florida Accessi	ibility code and to	implement the Commi	ssion plan to update th	e 2013 Code	
Rationale						
To be consistent w	vith the Florida Access	ibility Code and to	implement the Comm	ission plan to update tl	he 2013 Code	
Fiscal Impact Statement						
•	tity relative to enforce ed under the 2010 Cod		ements being establish	ed		
	and property owners ed under the 2010 Cod		•			
• •	y relative to the cost o ed under the 2010 Coo	•		ed		
Requirements						
	and substantial conner from the previous, fiel			are of the general pub	lic	
	proves the code, and from the previous, fiel			, methods, or system	s of construction	
	nate against materials from the previous, fiel	· •	· · · ·	nstruction of demons	trated capabilities	i
•	the effectiveness of t		en to be effective			
Is the proposed code modif YES	fication part of a prior co	ode version?				
The provisions contained in NO	n the proposed amendm	ent are addressed i	n the applicable internat	ional code?		

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility Code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

1007.1 Accessible means of egress. Change to read as shown.

1007.1 Accessible means of egress. Accessible means of egress shall be provided in accordance with the applicable sections of the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

1007.2 Continuity and components. Change to read as shown.

1007.2 Continuity and components. Reserved.

1007.3 Stairways. Change to read as shown.

1007.3 Stairways. <u>Reserved.</u>

1007.4 Elevators. Change to read as shown.

1007.4 Elevators. <u>Reserved.</u>

1007.5 Platform lifts. Change to read as shown.

1007.5 Platform lifts. <u>Reserved.</u>

1007.6 Areas of refuge. Change to read as shown.

1007.6 Areas of refuge. Reserved.

1007.7Exterior area for assisted rescue. Change to read as shown.

1007.7Exterior area for assisted rescue. Reserved.

1007.8 Two-way communication. Change to read as shown.

1007.8 Two-way communication. Reserved.

1007.9Signage. Change to read as shown.

1007. 9Signage. Reserved.

1007.10 Directional signage. Change to read as shown.

1007.10 Directional signage. <u>Reserved.</u>

1007.11 Instructions. Change to read as shown.

1007.11 Instructions. Reserved.

A5117			Page 18	8 <b>θ</b> f 59
Date Submitted 7/13/2012	Section 1008.1	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 10	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC RecommendationApproved as SubmCommission ActionPending Review	itted			
<u>Comments</u>				
General Comments No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modifications				
Summary of Modification				
To be consistent with the Florida Access	sibility Code and to implement the Commi	ssion plan to updat et	he 2013 Code	
Rationale				
To be consistent with the Florida Access	sibility code and to implement the Commis	ssion plan to update th	ne 2013 Code	
Fiscal Impact Statement				
Impact to local entity relative to enforc Currently used under the 2010 co				
Impact to building and property owner Currently used under the 2010 co	s relative to cost of compliance with coo de no new reqts. established.	le		
Impact to industry relative to the cost of Currently used under the 2010 co	•			
Requirements				
	ection with the health, safety, and welfa ested and proven to be effective	re of the general pub	lic	
Strengthens or improves the code, and Carried over from previous, field to	I provides equivalent or better products, ested and proven to be effective	, methods, or system	s of construction	
Does not discriminate against material Carried over from previous, field to	s, products, methods, or systems of cor ested and proven to be effective	nstruction of demons	trated capabilities	
Does not degrade the effectiveness of Carried over from previous, field t				
Is the proposed code modification part of a prior c	ode version?			
YES				
The provisions contained in the proposed amendm	nent are addressed in the applicable internati	ional code?		

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

· · · · · · · · · · · ·

**Explanation of Choice** 

To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility Code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

### Page 19 of 59

Page: 1

**1008.1 Doors**. Means of egress doors shall meet the requirements of this section. Doors serving a means of egress system shall meet the requirements of this section and Section <u>1020.2</u>. Doors provided for egress purposes in numbers greater than required by this code shall meet the requirements of this section. For accessibility provisions related to doors, refer to <u>the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.</u>

A5127 Page 20 of 59 **Date Submitted** 7/13/2012 Section 1009.18 Proponent Joe Bigelow Affects HVHZ Chapter 10 No Attachments No Approved as Submitted **TAC Recommendation** Pending Review **Commission Action Comments** General Comments No Alternate Language No **Related Modifications Summary of Modification** To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility Code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code Rationale To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility Code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code **Fiscal Impact Statement** Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Currently used under the 2010 Code, no new requirements being established Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Carried over from the previous, field tested and proven to be effective Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility Code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

1009.18 Accessible stairs. Stairs required to be accessible shall comply with the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

A5132			<u>_</u>		Page 22 of 59
Date Submitted 7/13/2	012	Section 1010.10	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 10		Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	<b>)</b>
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Submi Pending Review	tted			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comments	No	Alternate Language	No		
<b>Related Modifications</b>					
Summary of Modification	ı				
To be consistent wi	th the Florida Accessi	blity Code and to implement the Com	mission plan to update t	he 2013 Code	
Rationale					
To be consistent wi	th the Florida Accessi	bility Code and to implement the Con	nmission plan to update t	the 2013 Code	
Fiscal Impact Statement					
•	ity relative to enforce ed under the 2010 Coo	ment of code le, no new requirements being establ	ished		
		relative to cost of compliance with le, no new requirements being estable			
• •		f compliance with code le, no new requirements being establ	ished		
Requirements					
		ection with the health, safety, and we d tested and proven to be effective	elfare of the general pub	blic	
• •		provides equivalent or better produ d tested and proven to be effective	cts, methods, or system	ns of construction	
	•	, <b>products, methods, or systems of</b> d tested and proven to be effective	construction of demons	strated capabilities	\$
•	the effectiveness of t from the previous, fiel	he code d tested and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed code modifi YES	cation part of a prior co	de version?			
The provisions contained in NO	the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in the applicable inter	national code?		

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state? OTHER

**Explanation of Choice** 

To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility Code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

## 1010.10 Edge protection. Change to read as shown.

**1010.10** Edge protection. Edge protection complying with Sections 1010.9.1 or 1010.9.2 shall be provided on each side of ramp runs and at each side of ramp landings.

## Exceptions:

1. Edge protection is not required on ramps not required to have handrails, provided they have flared sides that comply with <u>provisions of the</u> Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

2-4 No change.

http://www.floridabuilding.org/Upload/Modifications/Rendered/Mod\_5132\_TextOfModification\_1.png

A5129					Page 24 of 59
Date Submitted 7/13/	/2012	Section 1010.3	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 10		Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Submin Pending Review	ted			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comments	No	Alternate Language	e No		
<b>Related Modifications</b>					
Summary of Modification	on				
To be consistent w	vith the Florida Accessi	bility Code and to implement the Co	ommission plan to update t	he 2013 Code	
Rationale					
		blity code and to implement the Cor	mmission plan to update th	ie 2013 Code	
Fiscal Impact Statemen					
•	ntity relative to enforce sed under the 2010 Cod	ment of code le, no new requirements being esta	blished		
		relative to cost of compliance with le, no new requirements being esta			
• •	•	f compliance with code le, no new requirements being esta	blished		
Requirements					
		ection with the health, safety, and vertion with the health, safety, and vertice to be effective	welfare of the general pub	lic	
•	• •	provides equivalent or better prod d tested and proven to be effective	lucts, methods, or system	s of construction	
	•	, products, methods, or systems of dested and proven to be effective	of construction of demons	trated capabilities	
Does not degrade	the effectiveness of t				
Is the proposed code modi	•	·			
YES					
The provisions contained i	in the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in the applicable int	ernational code?		
NO					

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice** 

To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

 $1010.3\ {\rm Cross}\ {\rm slope}.$  Change to read as shown.

1010.3 Cross slope. The slope measured perpendicular to the direction of travel of a ramp shall not be steeper than one unit vertical in 48 units horizontal (2-percent slope).

Exception: See also the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

A5130				F	Page 26 <sup>1</sup> 0f 59
Date Submitted 7/1	13/2012	Section 1010.7	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 10		Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Submi Pending Review	tted	·		
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comments	No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modifications	S				
Summary of Modifica	ation				
To be consisten	nt with the Florida Access	blity code and to implement the Comr	mission plan to update th	ne 2013 Code	
Rationale					
To be consisten	t with the Florida Access	blity code and to implement the Com	mission plan to update th	ne 2013 Code	
Fiscal Impact Statem	ent				
	entity relative to enforce used under the 2010 Cod	ment of code de, no new requirements being establi	shed		
•	• • • •	relative to cost of compliance with on the second s			
•	stry relative to the cost o used under the 2010 Coo	f compliance with code de, no new requirements being establi	shed		
Requirements					
		ection with the health, safety, and we d tested and proven to be effective	elfare of the general pub	blic	
•	•	provides equivalent or better produce d tested and proven to be effective	cts, methods, or system	ns of construction	
	•	, products, methods, or systems of d tested and proven to be effective	construction of demons	strated capabilities	
•	de the effectiveness of t ver from the previous, fie	he code Id tested and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed code mo YES	odification part of a prior co	ode version?			
The provisions containe NO	ed in the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in the applicable inter	national code?		

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility Code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

22/12/2012 2013 Triennial

**1010.7** Landings. Ramps shall have landings at the bottom and top of each ramp, points of turning, entrance, exits and at doors <u>and in accordance with the Florida Building Code, Accessibility</u>. Landings shall comply with Sections 1010.671 through 1010.7.5.

**1010.7.1** Slope. Landings shall have a slope not steeper than one unit vertical in 48 units horizontal (2-percent slope) in any direction. Changes in level are not permitted.

Exception: see also the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

1010.7.3 Length. Change to read as shown.

1010.7.3 Length. The landing length shall be 60 inches (1525 mm) minimum.

Exceptions:

1. In Group R-2 and R-3 individual dwelling and sleeping units that are not required to be Accessible units, landings are permitted to be 36 inches (914 mm) minimum.

2 No change

3. Accessible landings shall comply with the Florida Building Code, Accessibility-

Page: 1

A5133						Page 28 <sup>1</sup> df 59
Date Submitted 7/13	3/2012	Section 1012.3		Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 10		Affects HVHZ No	0	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Submit Pending Review	ited	·			
<u>Comments</u>						
General Comments	No	Alternate	te Language	No		
<b>Related Modifications</b>						
Summary of Modificat	ion					
•	with the Florida Accessi	bility Code and to imple	ement the Commiss	ion plan to update th	ne 2013 Code	
Rationale						
To be consistent	with the Florida Accessi	bility Code and to imple	ement the Commiss	ion plan to update th	ne 2013 Code	
Fiscal Impact Stateme						
•	entity relative to enforce used under the 2010 Coc		s being established			
•	ng and property owners used under the 2010 Coc		•			
•	ry relative to the cost of used under the 2010 Coc	•				
Requirements						
	e and substantial conne er from the previous, fiel	•	•	of the general pub	lic	
	mproves the code, and er from the previous, fiel			nethods, or systems	s of construction	
	ninate against materials er from the previous, fiel			truction of demons	trated capabilities	
Does not degrad	le the effectiveness of the effectiveness of the effectiveness of the previous, field	he code				
Is the proposed code mod YES	dification part of a prior co	de version?				
The provisions contained NO	I in the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in the a	applicable internation	al code?		

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility Code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

# Section 1012.3 Handrail graspability. Change to add Exception as shown.

**1012.3 Handrail graspability.** All required handrails shall comply with Section 1012.3.1 or shall provide equivalent graspability.

### Exceptions:

**1.** In Group R-3 occupancies; within dwelling units in Group R-2 occupancies; and in Group U occupancies that are accessory to a Group R-3 occupancy or accessory to individual dwelling units in Group R-2 occupancies; handrails shall be Type I in accordance with Section 1012.3.1, Type II in accordance with Section 1012.3.2 or shall provide equivalent graspability.

2. Accessible handrails shall meet the requirements of the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

A5134						Page 30 <sup>1</sup> <b>6</b> f 59
Date Submitted 7/13	/2012	Section 1012.6		Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 10		Affects HVHZ No	o	Attachments	No	D
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Submit Pending Review	ted				
<u>Comments</u>						
General Comments	No	Alternate	e Language	No		
Related Modifications						
Summary of Modification	on					
		and to implement the C	Commission plan to	update the 2013 C	ode	
Rationale						
To be consistent v	with the Florida Accessi	bility Code and to impler	ment the Commissio	on plan to update t	he 2013 Code	
Fiscal Impact Statemen						
•	ntity relative to enforce sed under the 2010 Coc	ment of code le, no new requirements	being established			
•	• • • •	relative to cost of com le, no new requirements	•			
-	-	f compliance with code le, no new requirements				
Requirements						
		ection with the health, s d tested and proven to b	•	of the general pub	lic	
•	•	provides equivalent or d tested and proven to b	•	ethods, or system	s of construction	
	-	, <b>products, methods, o</b> d tested and proven to b	-	uction of demons	trated capabilities	S
•	e the effectiveness of the from the previous, fiel	h <b>e code</b> d tested and proven to b	be effective			
Is the proposed code mod YES	ification part of a prior co	de version?				
The provisions contained NO	in the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in the a	pplicable internationa	l code?		

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

------

To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility Code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

**1012.6 Handrail extensions.** Handrails shall return to a wall, guard or the walking surface or shall be continuous to the handrail of an adjacent stair flight or ramp run. Where handrails are

not continuous between flights, the handrails shall extend horizontally at least 12 inches (305 mm) beyond the top riser and continue to slope for the depth of one tread beyond the bottom

riser. At ramps where handrails are not continuous between runs, the handrails shall extend horizontally above the landing 12\_inches (305 mm) minimum beyond the top and bottom of

ramp runs. The extensions of handrails shall be in the same direction of the stair flights at stairways and the ramp runs at ramps.

Exceptions:

1-3 No change.

4. Accessible handrail extensions shall be as per the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

A5393			Page 32 <sup>1</sup> df 59
Date Submitted 7/19/2012	Section 101.2	Proponent	Joe Bigelow
Chapter 11	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No
TAC RecommendationApproved as SubCommission ActionPending Review			
<u>Comments</u>			
General Comments No	Alternate Language	No	
Related Modifications			
Summary of Modification			
	essibility Code and to implement the Commi-	ssiion plan to update t	the 2013 code
Rationale To be consistent with the Florida Acce	essibility Code and to implement the Commi	ssion plan to update t	he 2013 Code
Impact to building and property own Currently used under the 2010 Impact to industry relative to the cos	Code, no new requirements being establishe ers relative to cost of compliance with cod Code, no new requirements being establishe	l <b>e</b> ed	
Requirements	nnection with the health, safety, and welfa	re of the general pub	lic
	field tested and proven to be effective	re of the general pub	
Carried over from the previous,	nd provides equivalent or better products, field tested and proven to be effective ials, products, methods, or systems of cor	-	
•	field tested and proven to be effective		
Does not degrade the effectiveness Carried over from the previous,	field tested and proven to be effective		
Is the proposed code modification part of a prio YES	r code version?		
The provisions contained in the proposed amen	dment are addressed in the applicable internati	onal code?	

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the Florida Accessibility Code and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

101.2 Effect on Removal of Barriers in Existing Facilities.

Removal of architectural barriers, pursuant to 28 C.F.R. s. 36.304, from buildings, structures or facilities shall comply with this code's requirements for alterations unless compliance would render the removal not readily achievable. In no instance shall the removal of an architectural barrier create a significant risk to the health or safety of an individual with a disability or others.

This code applies to alterations to existing facilities that are subject to the barrier removal requirement under Title III of the ADA to the extent required by regulations issued by the Department of Justice incorporated in 202.6.1 and to alterations undertaken by Title II entities to provide program access.

This document does not address existing *facilities* unless *altered* at the discretion of a covered entity. The Department of Justice has authority over existing *facilities* that are subject to the requirement for removal of barriers under title III of the ADA. Any determination that this document applies to existing *facilities* subject to the barrier removal requirement is solely within the discretion of the Department of Justice and is effective only to the extent required by regulations issued by the Department of Justice.

Date Submitted 7/16/2	0010	Section 705		Brononont	Kon Curatar	Page 35 <sup>1</sup> 6f 59
Chapter 7	-	Section 705 Affects HVHZ	No	Proponent Attachments	Ken Curetor	No
AC Recommendation	Approved as Submitte		NO	Attachiments		NO
Commission Action	Pending Review	u				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Comments	••	<b>A</b> 14 a m				
General Comments	No	Alter	nate Language	No		
Related Modifications						
None						
Summary of Modification	n					
Modify SECTIONS	705.1, 705.1.1-705.1.14	and 705.2				
Rationale						
			roving the application	on of the Fiorida Build	ling Code – Exis	sting Building, as
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit	ith other sections of the by		• • •	on of the Florida Build late with relevant sec	-	
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit Fiscal Impact Statement	ith other sections of the ty	Florida Building Co	• • •		-	
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local ent	ith other sections of the by	Florida Building Co	de, as well as corre	late with relevant sec	-	
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local ent None. Propos	ith other sections of the by	Florida Building Co ent of code y adopted by the 2	de, as well as corre 010 Florida Building	elate with relevant sec g Code.	-	
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local ent None. Propos Impact to building	ith other sections of the by ity ity relative to enforcem sed language is currently	Florida Building Co ent of code y adopted by the 2 slative to cost of c	ode, as well as corre 010 Florida Building ompliance with coo	elate with relevant sec g Code. <b>de</b>	-	
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local ent None. Propos Impact to building None. Propos Impact to industry	ith other sections of the lay ity relative to enforcem sed language is currently and property owners re sed language is currently relative to the cost of c	Florida Building Co ent of code y adopted by the 2 elative to cost of c y adopted by the 2 compliance with co	ode, as well as corre 010 Florida Building ompliance with coo 010 Florida Building ode	elate with relevant sec g Code. g Gode.	-	
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local ent None. Propos Impact to building None. Propos Impact to industry	ith other sections of the lay ity relative to enforcem sed language is currently and property owners re sed language is currently	Florida Building Co ent of code y adopted by the 2 elative to cost of c y adopted by the 2 compliance with co	ode, as well as corre 010 Florida Building ompliance with coo 010 Florida Building ode	elate with relevant sec g Code. g Gode.	-	
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local ent None. Propos Impact to building None. Propos Impact to industry None. Propos	ith other sections of the lay ity relative to enforcem sed language is currently and property owners re sed language is currently relative to the cost of c	Florida Building Co ent of code y adopted by the 2 elative to cost of c y adopted by the 2 compliance with co	ode, as well as corre 010 Florida Building ompliance with coo 010 Florida Building ode	elate with relevant sec g Code. g Gode.	-	
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local ent None. Propos Impact to building None. Propos Impact to industry None. Propos Requirements Has a reasonable a	ith other sections of the lay ity relative to enforcem sed language is currently and property owners re sed language is currently relative to the cost of c	Florida Building Co ent of code y adopted by the 2 elative to cost of c y adopted by the 2 compliance with co y adopted by the 2 tion with the healt	ode, as well as corre 010 Florida Building ompliance with cor 010 Florida Building ode 010 Florida Building h, safety, and welfa	elate with relevant sec g Code. g Code. g Code. g Code.	tions of the Flor	
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local ent None. Propos Impact to building None. Propos Impact to industry None. Propos Requirements Has a reasonable a Yes. The Pro	ith other sections of the lay ity relative to enforcem sed language is currently and property owners re sed language is currently relative to the cost of c sed language is currently and substantial connect	Florida Building Co ent of code y adopted by the 2 elative to cost of c y adopted by the 2 compliance with co y adopted by the 2 tion with the healt Modification is cur rovides equivalent	de, as well as corre 010 Florida Building ompliance with coo 010 Florida Building ode 010 Florida Building h, safety, and welfa rently included in th or better products	elate with relevant sec g Code. g Code	tions of the Flor blic ng Code. Is of construction	ida Building
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local ent None. Propos Impact to building None. Propos Impact to industry None. Propos Requirements Has a reasonable a Yes. The Pro Strengthens or imp Yes. The Pro Does not discrimin	ith other sections of the lay ity relative to enforcem sed language is currently and property owners re- sed language is currently relative to the cost of co- sed language is currently and substantial connec- posed language for this proves the code, and pro- proves the code, and pro- sed and pro- sed language is currently and substantial connec- posed language for this proves the code, and pro- sed and pro- sed language is currently and substantial connec- proves the code, and pro- sed and pro- sed language is currently and substantial connec- pro- sed language is currently and substantial connec- and substantial connec- and substantial connec- sed language is currently and substantial connec- and substantial connec- sed language is currently and substantial connec- sed language is currently and substantial connec- and substantial conne	Florida Building Co ent of code y adopted by the 2 elative to cost of c y adopted by the 2 compliance with co y adopted by the 2 dopted by the 2 tion with the healt Modification is cur rovides equivalent Modification is cur products, methods	de, as well as corre 010 Florida Building ompliance with cor 010 Florida Building ode 010 Florida Building h, safety, and welfa rently included in th or better products rently included in th s, or systems of co	elate with relevant sec g Code. g Code	tions of the Flor blic ng Code. Is of construction ng Code.	rida Building
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local ent None. Propos Impact to building None. Propos Impact to industry None. Propos Requirements Has a reasonable a Yes. The Pro Strengthens or imp Yes. The Pro Does not discrimir It does not. T	ith other sections of the lay ity relative to enforcem sed language is currently and property owners re- sed language is currently relative to the cost of c sed language is currently and substantial connect popoed language for this proves the code, and pro- popoed language for this nate against materials, p	Florida Building Co ent of code y adopted by the 2 elative to cost of c y adopted by the 2 compliance with co y adopted by the 2 dopted by the 2 dopted by the 2 tion with the healt Modification is cur rovides equivalent Modification is cur products, methods for this Modification	de, as well as corre 010 Florida Building ompliance with cor 010 Florida Building ode 010 Florida Building h, safety, and welfa rently included in th or better products rently included in th s, or systems of co	elate with relevant sec g Code. g Code	tions of the Flor blic ng Code. Is of construction ng Code.	rida Building
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local ent None. Propos Impact to building None. Propos Impact to industry None. Propos Requirements Has a reasonable a Yes. The Pro Strengthens or imp Yes. The Pro Does not discrimir It does not. T Does not degrade	ith other sections of the lay ity relative to enforcem sed language is currently and property owners re- sed language is currently relative to the cost of co- sed language is currently and substantial connec- posed language for this proves the code, and pro- posed language for this nate against materials, pro- he Proposed language for this pro- he Pro- posed language for this pro- he	Florida Building Co ent of code y adopted by the 2 elative to cost of c y adopted by the 2 compliance with co y adopted by the 2 dompliance with co y adopted by adopted by the 2 dompli	de, as well as corre 010 Florida Building ompliance with cor 010 Florida Building ode 010 Florida Building h, safety, and welfa rently included in th or better products rently included in th s, or systems of con h is currently included	elate with relevant sec g Code. g Code	tions of the Flor ng Code. ng Code. ng Code. strated capabilit Building Code.	on ties
well as correlate wi Code – Accessibilit Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local ent None. Propos Impact to building None. Propos Impact to industry None. Propos Requirements Has a reasonable a Yes. The Pro Strengthens or imp Yes. The Pro Does not discrimir It does not. T Does not degrade	ith other sections of the lay ity relative to enforcem sed language is currently and property owners re- sed language is currently relative to the cost of co- sed language is currently and substantial connec- posed language for this proves the code, and pro- posed language for this nate against materials, po- the Proposed language for the the effectiveness of the Fine Proposed language	Florida Building Co ent of code y adopted by the 2 elative to cost of c y adopted by the 2 compliance with co y adopted by the 2 dopted by the	de, as well as corre 010 Florida Building ompliance with cor 010 Florida Building ode 010 Florida Building h, safety, and welfa rently included in th or better products rently included in th s, or systems of con h is currently included	elate with relevant sec g Code. g Code	tions of the Flor ng Code. ng Code. ng Code. strated capabilit Building Code.	on ties

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to carry forward the Commission's Working Group initiatives of formatting and improving the application of the Florida Building Code – Existing Building, as well as correlate with other sections of the Florida Building Code, as well as correlate with relevant sections of the Florida Building Code – Accessibility

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

## **1st Comment Period History**

### <u>08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012</u>

						raye JU U
Proponent	BOAF CDC	Submitted	9/23/2012	Attachments	No	-

#### Comment:

A5146-G1

No data or justification was provided.

Because a code provision was in the 2010 FBC does not make it Florida specific.

The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g)

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

# Modify SECTION 705.1 as follows:

**705.1 General.** Accessibility shall be in accordance with the provisions of the *Florida Building Code*, Accessibility. A *facility* that is altered shall comply with the applicable provisions in <u>Sections 705.1.1</u> through <u>705.1.14</u>, and Chapter <u>11 of the *International Building Code*</u> unless it is *technically infeasible*. Where compliance with this section is *technically infeasible*, the alteration shall provide access to the maximum extent that is technically feasible.

A facility that is constructed or altered to be accessible shall be maintained accessible during occupancy.

## Exceptions:

1. The altered element or space is not required to be on an accessible route unless required by Section 705.2.

2. Accessible means of egress required by Chapter 10 of the *International Building Code* are not required to be provided in existing *facilities*.

3. Type B dwelling or sleeping units required by Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* are not required to be provided in existing facilities undergoing less than a Level 3 *alteration*.

4. The alteration to Type A individually owned dwelling units within a Group R-2 occupancy shall meet the provisions for Type B dwelling units.

Modify SECTIONS 705.1.1-705.1.14 as follows:

**705.1.1 Entrances.** <u>Reserved.</u> Where an *alteration* includes alterations to an entrance, and the *facility* has an accessible entrance on an accessible route, the altered entrance is not required to be accessible unless required by <u>Section 705.2.</u> Signs complying with Section 1110 of the *International Building Code* shall be provided.

**705.1.2 Elevators.** <u>Reserved.</u> Altered elements of existing elevators shall comply with ASME A17.1/CSA B44 and ICC A117.1. Such elements shall also be altered in elevators programmed to respond to the same hall call control as the altered elevator.

**705.1.3 Platform lifts.** <u>Reserved.</u> <del>Platform (wheelchair) lifts complying with ICC A117.1 and installed in accordance with ASME A18.1 shall be permitted as a component of an accessible route.</del>

**705.1.4 Ramps.** <u>Reserved.</u> Where steeper slopes than allowed by Section 1010.3 of the *International Building Code* are necessitated by space limitations, the slope of ramps in or providing access to existing facilities shall comply with Table 705.1.4.

### TABLE 705.1.4 RAMPS

SLOPE	MAXIMUM RISE
Steeper than 1:10 but not steeper than 1:8	<del>3 inches</del>
Steeper than 1:12 but not steeper than 1:10	<del>6 inches</del>

For SI: 1 inch - 25.4 mm.

**705.1.5 Dining areas.** <u>Reserved.</u> An accessible route to raised or sunken dining areas or to outdoor seating areas is not required provided that the same services and decor are provided in an accessible space usable by any occupant and not restricted to use by people with a disability.

**705.1.6 Performance areas.** <u>Reserved.</u> Where it is *technically infeasible* to alter performance areas to be on an accessible route, at least one of each type of performance area shall be made accessible.

705.1.7 Jury boxes and witness stands. <u>Reserved</u>. In *alterations*, accessible wheelchair spaces are not required to be located within the defined area of raised jury boxes or witness stands and shall be permitted to be located outside these spaces where ramp or lift access poses a hazard by restricting or projecting into a required means of egress.

705.1.8 Accessible dwelling or sleeping units. <u>Reserved.</u> Where Group I 1, I 2, I 3, R 1, R 2 or R 4 dwelling or sleeping units are being altered, the requirements of Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* for accessible units and Chapter 9 of the *International Building Code* for visible alarms apply only to the quantity of the spaces being altered.

705.1.9 Type A dwelling or sleeping units. <u>Reserved.</u> Where more than 20 Group R-2 dwelling or sleeping units are being altered, the requirements of Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* for Type A units and Chapter 9 of the *International Building Code* for visible alarms apply only to the quantity of the spaces being altered.

**705.1.10 Toilet rooms.** <u>Reserved.</u> Where it is technically infeasible to alter existing toilet and bathing rooms to be accessible, an accessible family or assisted-use toilet or bathing room constructed in accordance with Section

Page 39 of 59 1109.2.1 of the International Building Code is permitted. The family or assisted-use toilet or bathing room shall be located on the same floor and in the same area as the existing toilet or bathing rooms.

**705.1.11 Dressing, fitting and locker rooms.** <u>Reserved.</u> Where it is *technically infeasible* to provide accessible dressing, fitting, or locker rooms at the same location as similar types of rooms, one accessible room on the same level shall be provided. Where separate sex facilities are provided, accessible rooms for each sex shall be provided. Separate sex facilities are not required where only unisex rooms are provided.

**705.1.12 Fuel dispensers.** <u>Reserved.</u> <del>Operable parts of replacement fuel dispensers shall be permitted to be 54 inches (1370 mm) maximum measured from the surface of the vehicular way where fuel dispensers are installed on existing curbs.</del>

705.1.13 Thresholds. <u>Reserved</u>. The maximum height of thresholds at doorways shall be <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> inch (19.1 mm). Such thresholds shall have beveled edges on each side.

**705.1.14 Extent of application.** <u>Reserved.</u> An *alteration* of an existing element, space, or area of a *facility* shall not impose a requirement for greater accessibility than that which would be required for new construction. *Alterations* shall not reduce or have the effect of reducing accessibility of a *facility* or portion of a *facility*.

Modify SECTION 705.2 as follows:

705.2 Alterations affecting an area containing a primary function. <u>Reserved</u>. Where an *alteration* affects the accessibility to a, or contains an area of, *primary function*, the route to the *primary function* area shall be accessible. The accessible route to the *primary function* area shall include toilet facilities or drinking fountains serving the area of *primary function*.

### Exceptions:

1. The costs of providing the accessible route are not required to exceed 20 percent of the costs of the alterations affecting the area of *primary function*.

2. This provision does not apply to *alterations* limited solely to windows, hardware, operating controls, electrical outlets and signs.

3. This provision does not apply to *alterations* limited solely to mechanical systems, electrical systems, installation or *alteration* of fire protection systems and abatement of hazardous materials.

Page:

Page 40 of 59 4. This provision does not apply to *alterations* undertaken for the primary purpose of increasing the accessibility of a *facility*.

5. This provision does not apply to altered areas limited to Type B dwelling and sleeping units.

Date Submitted 7/	16/2012	Section 806	Proponent	Ken Cureton
hapter 8		Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No
AC Recommendation ommission Action	Approved as Su Pending Review			
<u>omments</u>				
eneral Comments	No	Alternate Lange	uage No	
<b>Related Modification</b>	s			
None				
Summary of Modifica				
Modity SECTIC Rationale	NS 806.1 through 80	C.0I		
Code – Access		e 2013 Florida Building Code, as we		stons of the Fionda building
Fiscal Impact Statem Impact to local	ent entity relative to enf		a Building Code	
Fiscal Impact Statem Impact to local None. Pro Impact to build	ent entity relative to enf oposed language is c ling and property ow	forcement of code urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida ners relative to cost of compliance urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida	with code	
Fiscal Impact Statem Impact to local None. Pro Impact to build None. Pro Impact to indus	ent entity relative to enf oposed language is c ling and property ow oposed language is c stry relative to the co	urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida ners relative to cost of compliance	with code a Building Code.	
Fiscal Impact Statem Impact to local None. Pro Impact to build None. Pro Impact to indus None. Pro	ent entity relative to enf oposed language is c ling and property ow oposed language is c stry relative to the co	urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida ners relative to cost of compliance urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida ost of compliance with code	with code a Building Code.	
Fiscal Impact Statem Impact to local None. Pro Impact to build None. Pro Impact to indus None. Pro Requirements Has a reasonal	ent entity relative to enf oposed language is c ling and property ow oposed language is c stry relative to the co oposed language is c oposed language is c	urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida ners relative to cost of compliance urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida ost of compliance with code	with code a Building Code. a Building Code. and welfare of the general put	
Fiscal Impact Statem Impact to local None. Pro Impact to build None. Pro Impact to indus None. Pro Requirements Has a reasonal Yes. The Strengthens or Yes. The	ent entity relative to enf oposed language is c ling and property ow oposed language is c stry relative to the co oposed language is c ole and substantial c Proposed language f improves the code, Proposed language f	urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida ners relative to cost of compliance urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida ost of compliance with code urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida onnection with the health, safety, a for this Modification is currently inclu and provides equivalent or better p for this Modification is currently inclu	with code a Building Code. a Building Code. and welfare of the general put ided in the 2010 Florida Buildir products, methods, or system ided in the 2010 Florida Buildir	ng Code. I <b>s of construction</b> ng Code.
Fiscal Impact Statem Impact to local None. Pro Impact to build None. Pro Impact to indus None. Pro Requirements Has a reasonal Yes. The Strengthens or Yes. The Does not discr	ent entity relative to enf oposed language is c ling and property ow oposed language is c stry relative to the co oposed language is c one and substantial c Proposed language f improves the code, Proposed language f iminate against mate	urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida ners relative to cost of compliance urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida ost of compliance with code urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida onnection with the health, safety, a for this Modification is currently inclu and provides equivalent or better p for this Modification is currently inclu erials, products, methods, or system	with code a Building Code. a Building Code. and welfare of the general put ided in the 2010 Florida Buildin products, methods, or system ided in the 2010 Florida Buildin ms of construction of demons	ng Code. Is of construction Ig Code. strated capabilities
Fiscal Impact Statem Impact to local None. Pro Impact to build None. Pro Impact to indus None. Pro Requirements Has a reasonal Yes. The Strengthens or Yes. The Does not discr It does not	ent entity relative to enf oposed language is c ling and property ow oposed language is c stry relative to the co oposed language is c oposed language is c Proposed language f improves the code, Proposed language f iminate against mate ot. The Proposed language ade the effectiveness	urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida ners relative to cost of compliance urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida ost of compliance with code urrently adopted by the 2010 Florida onnection with the health, safety, a for this Modification is currently inclu and provides equivalent or better for this Modification is currently inclu erials, products, methods, or system guage for this Modification is current	with code a Building Code. a Building Code. and welfare of the general put ided in the 2010 Florida Buildin products, methods, or system ided in the 2010 Florida Buildin ms of construction of demons ly included in the 2010 Florida	ng Code. Is of construction Ing Code. Strated capabilities Building Code.

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

### Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to correlate with relevant sections of the Florida Building Code – Accessibility

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

# **1st Comment Period History**

## <u>08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012</u>

						Faye 42 01 09
Proponent	BOAF CDC	Submitted	9/23/2012	Attachments	No	-

#### Comment:

A5151-G1

No data or justification was provided.

Because a code provision was in the 2010 FBC does not make it Florida specific.

The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to

strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g)

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

Modify SECTION 806.1 as follows:

**806.1 General.** A building, facility, or element that is altered shall comply with this section and <u>Section 705</u>, the provisions of the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

Modify SECTION 806.2 as follows:

**806.2 Stairs and escalators in existing buildings** <u>Reserved</u>. In *alterations* where an escalator or stair is added where none existed previously, an accessible route shall be provided in accordance with Sections 1104.4 and 1104.5 of the *International Building Code*.

Modify SECTION 806.3 as follows:

**806.3** Accessible dwelling units and sleeping units. <u>Reserved.</u> Where Group I 1, I 2, I 3, R 1, R 2 or R 4 dwelling or sleeping units are being added, the requirements of Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* for accessible units and Chapter 9 of the *International Building Code* for visible alarms apply only to the quantity of spaces being added.

Modify SECTION 806.4 as follows:

Modify SECTION 806.5 as follows:

806.5 Type B dwelling or sleeping units. <u>Reserved.</u> Where four or more Group I-1, I-2, R-1, R-2, R-3 or R-4 dwelling or sleeping units are being added, the requirements of Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* for Type B units and Chapter 9 of the *International Building Code* for visible alarms apply only to the quantity of the spaces being added.

45156		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				age 44 <sup>1</sup> <del>0</del> f 59
	6/2012	Section 906		Proponent	Ken Cureton	
Chapter 9		Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No	
AC Recommendation	Approved as Sub Pending Review					
<u>Comments</u>						
General Comments	No	Alt	ernate Language	No		
<b>Related Modifications</b>						
None						
Summary of Modificati						
Modify SECTION	906.1					
Rationale					rrent version of the Inte	
compliance with I Fiscal Impact Stateme	Florida Statutes as w	ell as State of Florida			ary in order to maintair	n
None. Prop	osed language is cu	rrently adopted by the	2010 Florida Buildin	g Code.		
•	• • • •	ers relative to cost of rrently adopted by the	•			
		at of compliance with rrently adopted by the		g Code.		
Requirements						
			-	<b>are of the general pub</b> ne 2010 Florida Buildin		
-				<b>s, methods, or system</b> ne 2010 Florida Buildin		
Does not discrim	•	••		ed in the 2010 Florida	•	
It does not.	e the effectiveness of		ion is currently includ	led in the 2010 Florida	Building Code.	
Does not degrad	The Proposed langu	lage for this mounicat				
Does not degrad		0	····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Does not degrad It does not		0				
Does not degrad It does not Is the proposed code mod		0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

## Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to maintain compliance with Florida Statutes as well as State of Florida provisions for elevators. The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

Modify SECTION 906.1 as follows:

**906.1 General.** A building, facility, or element that is altered shall comply with this section and <u>Sections 705</u> and <u>806</u>, the provision of the *Florida Building Code, Accessibility*.

\$5157		·····		Page 46 <sup>1</sup> df 59
Date Submitted	7/16/2012	Section 1006	Proponent	Ken Cureton
Chapter	10	Affects HVHZ Yes	Attachments	No
TAC Recommenda	••			
Commission Actio	on Pending Rev	ew		
<u>Comments</u>				
General Comment	is No	Alternate Language	No	
Related Modifica	tions			
None				
Summary of Mod				
Modify SEC	CTION 1006.1			
Rationale				una di secolo di tata di
	( )( )	ida Statutes, the proposed modification wil e code with Florida specific requirements in	••	
0	• • •	the 2013 Florida Building Code. The propos		
0.1	•	urricane Zone (HVHZ) requirements as we		2
Energy Cor				
Fiscal Impact Sta		eferreement of early		
•	ocal entity relative to e Proposed language is	currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Build	dina Code	
		wners relative to cost of compliance with	0	
•	• • • •	currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Build		
Impact to in	ndustry relative to the	cost of compliance with code	-	
None	e. Proposed language is	currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Build	ding Code.	
Requirements				
•	onable and substantial	connection with the health, safety, and w	elfare of the general put	blic
		e for this Modification is currently included in	• ·	
-	•	e, and provides equivalent or better produ	· · · ·	
		e for this Modification is currently included in		•
		terials, products, methods, or systems of		
	egrade the effectivenes	nguage for this Modification is currently incl	luded in the 2010 Fionda	Building Code.
	•	nguage for this Modification is currently inc	luded in the 2010 Florida	a Building Code.
	de modification part of a p	• • •		
YES				
	tained in the property of	andmont are addressed in the applicable inter	mational ando?	
NO	itameu in the proposed ar	nendment are addressed in the applicable inter	national code?	

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state? OTHER

#### OTTER

Explanation of Choice The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to maintain correlation with the High Velocity Hurricane Zone (HVHZ) requirements as well as the Florida

Building Codes – Accessibility and Energy Conservation. The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

.........

# **1st Comment Period History**

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2

<u>012</u>	
	Page 47 of 50

Proponent	BOAF CDC	Submitted	9/23/2012	Attachments	No	-	

# Comment:

Because a code provision was in the 2010 FBC does not make it Florida specific.

A5157-G1 The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g)

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

Modify SECTIONS 1006.1 as follows:

**1006.1 General.** Accessibility in portions of buildings undergoing a change of occupancy classification shall comply with <u>Section 1012.8.</u> the provisions of the *Florida Building Code, Accessibility*.

A5879						Page 49 8f 59
Date Submitted 7/31	/2012	Section 1012		Proponent	Ken Cureton	•
Chapter 10		Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Submi Pending Review	tted				
Comments General Comments	Na	Alto	moto Longuago	Na	_	
	No	Alter	rnate Language	No		
Related Modifications						
None	<b>o</b> n					
Summary of Modification Modify SECTION						
Rationale	1012.0					
Existing Building ( change process for	553.73(7)(a) Florida St Code (IEBC) base code or the update to the 20 <sup>-</sup> the High Velocity Hurrica tion	e with Florida specific 13 Florida Building C	c requirements in accord of the content of the cont	cordance with the Cor modification is necess	nmission's approved ary in order to maint	code ain
Fiscal Impact Statemer						
Impact to local er	ntity relative to enforce		2010 Florida Building	Code.		
-	g and property owners osed language is curre		•			
•	y relative to the cost o osed language is current	-		Code.		
Requirements						
	and substantial conne		•	• .		
	roposed language for th		•		-	
•	nproves the code, and roposed language for th	•	•	•		
	inate against materials The Proposed languag	••	•		•	
•	e the effectiveness of t The Proposed languag		on is currently include	ed in the 2010 Florida	Building Code.	
Is the proposed code mod YES	ification part of a prior co	ode version?			-	
The provisions contained	in the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in t	the applicable internati	onal code?		

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state? OTHER

### Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to maintain correlation with the High Velocity Hurricane Zone (HVHZ) requirements as well as the Florida Building Codes – Accessibility and Energy Conservation.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

.........

# 1st Comment Period History

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2

2012	
	Page 50 of 59

Proponent BOAF CDC Submitted 9/23/2012 Attachments NO	Pro	ponent		Submitted			No	
---	-----	--------	--	-----------	--	--	----	--

### Comment:

٩

Because a code provision was in the 2010 FBC does not make it Florida specific. 5879-G1

The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g)

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

Modify SECTION 1012.8 as follows:

Page: 1

**1012.8** Accessibility. Existing buildings or portions thereof that undergo a change of group or occupancy classification shall comply with this section. the provisions of the *Florida Building Code, Accessibility*.

**Exception:** Type B dwelling or sleeping units required by Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* are not required to be provided in existing buildings and facilities undergoing a *change of occupancy* in conjunction with less than a Level 3 *alteration*.

A5063					Page 53 <sup>1</sup> 8f 59
Date Submitted 7/1	0/2012	Section 404	Proponent	Suzanne Davis	
Chapter 4		Affects HVHZ No	Attachmen	n <b>ts</b> No	)
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Submi Pending Review	tted			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comments	No	Alternate La	nguage No		
Related Modifications					
Summary of Modificat	tion				
Update section 4	104.1 Plumbing to impler	nent FBC approved plan for	2013 code		
Rationale Implement the F	BC process for the 2013	code.			
Fiscal Impact Stateme	ent				
•	entity relative to enforce . Currently under the 201	ment of code I0 FBC. No new requiremen	ts being established.		
•	• • • •	relative to cost of compliant of FBC. No new requirement			
•	try relative to the cost o . Currently under the 201	f compliance with code 10 FBC. No new requiremen	ts being established.		
Requirements					
		ection with the health, safet sted code. Proven to be effe	y, and welfare of the genera ctive.	l public	
•	•	provides equivalent or bett sted code. Proven to be effe	<b>er products, methods, or sy</b> ctive.	stems of construction	
	•	<b>s, products, methods, or sy</b> sted code. Proven to be effe	stems of construction of der ctive.	nonstrated capabilities	5
•	de the effectiveness of t ver from previous field te	<b>he code</b> sted code. Proven to be effe	ctive.		
Is the proposed code mo YES	dification part of a prior co	de version?			
The provisions contained NO	I in the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in the applic	able international code?		

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

Implement the FBC process for the 2013 code.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

# Section 404 ACCESSIBLE PLUMBING FACILITIES

Section 404 Accessible Plumbing Facilities.

**404.1 Where required**. Accessible plumbing facilities and fixtures shall be provided in accordance with the International Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

ata Oulumitta il — ia a ia	·····					Page 56 of 59
ate Submitted 7/20/2	012	Section SECTION	ON R320 - ACCES	SIBILIT <b>Proponent</b>	Ken Cureton	
Chapter 3		Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No	
AC Recommendation	Approved as Submit Pending Review	ted				
<u>Comments</u>						
General Comments	No	Alte	ernate Language	No		
Related Modifications						
None						
Summary of Modification						
Modify SECTION R	320.1					
	the update to the 201	•	•	rrelate with relevant sec		
Impact to local enti	ity relative to enforce sed language is currer		2010 Florida Buildi	ng Code.		
	and property owners sed language is currer		•			
• •	relative to the cost of sed language is currer	•		ng Code.		
Requirements						
				fare of the general pub the 2010 Florida Buildir		
• •	•	• •	•	<b>ts, methods, or system</b> the 2010 Florida Buildir		
	•	•••••	•	onstruction of demons ded in the 2010 Florida	•	
	the effectiveness of the		en is sumently inclu		Duilding Code	
Ū	he Proposed language	e for this Modification	on is currently inclu	ded in the 2010 Florida	Building Code.	

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

## Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to correlate with relevant sections of the Florida Building Code – Accessibility

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

**R320.1** Scope. Where there are four or more *dwelling* units or sleeping units in a single structure, the provisions of Chapter 11 of the *International Building Code* for Group R 3 shall apply.

Shall be in accordance with the provisions of the Florida Building Code, Accessibility.

**R320.1.1** All new single-family houses, duplexes, triplexes, condominiums and townhouses shall provide at least one bathroom, located with maximum possible privacy, where bathrooms are provided on habitable grade levels, with a door that has a 29-inch (737 mm) clear opening. However, if only a toilet room is provided at grade level, such toilet rooms shall have a clear opening of not less than 29 inches (737 mm).

	/2012 Approved as Submi Pending Review	Affects HVHZ	ON R321 - ELEVATC No	RS A Proponent Attachments	Ken Cureton	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action Comments General Comments Related Modifications None			No	Attachmonte	No	
Commission Action Comments General Comments Related Modifications None		itted		Attachiments	No	
General Comments Related Modifications None						
Related Modifications None						
None	No	Alte	ernate Language	No		
Summary of Modificati						
-						
Modify SECTION Rationale	K321.3					
change process fr Code – Accessibi Fiscal Impact Statemer Impact to local en None. Prop	nt ntity relative to enforce osed language is curre	13 Florida Building of ment of code ntly adopted by the	Code, as well as corre 2010 Florida Building	elate with relevant sec Code.		
None. Prop	g and property owners osed language is curre	ntly adopted by the	2010 Florida Building			
•	y relative to the cost o osed language is curre	•		Code.		
Requirements						
	and substantial conn roposed language for the			• .		
Strengthens or ir	nproves the code, and roposed language for th	provides equivale	nt or better products	methods, or system	s of construction	
	inate against materials The Proposed languag	••			•	
•	e the effectiveness of t The Proposed languag		on is currently include	ed in the 2010 Florida	Building Code.	
Is the proposed code mod	ification part of a prior co	ode version?				
YES						

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

### Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to correlate with relevant sections of the Florida Building Code – Accessibility

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

Modify SECTION R321.3 as follows:

**R321.3** Accessibility. Elevators or platform lifts that are part of an accessible route required by Chapter 11 of the *International Building Code*, shall comply with ICC A117.1. Reserved.