

**Rule Workshop - Chapter 2019-75 HB 447 and Rule 61G20-2.002 (cont.):  
Commissioner Comment (cont.):**

Mr. Campbell spoke on keeping the TACs involved in the process.

The Commission all agreed that the TACs need to stay involved as they are the experts in the field they are relying on the TACs. He also stated that the stakeholders would like consent agendas for the I-Codes and allow the TACs and Commission to pull those to be removed. Mr. Campbell asked the Commission if this is something they would like to see put into the rule.

Commissioner Schiffer stated yes for the TAC involvement for ICC codes. He stated the TACs should review one by one to avoid missing some changes.

Commissioner Calleja agreed, and stated yes to keeping TACs involvement and reviewing one by one with justifications provided in stage one.

Commissioner Smith stated he also agreed TAC involvement should be kept in order. He also agreed to one by one review.

Commissioner Wiseman asked that statutory-mandated code revisions be on consent agenda.

Mr. Campbell advised that the staff identifies those prior to the meetings so that they are duly noted in the meetings as must be approved modifications.

Mr. Campbell compiled a recommendation list for the Commission as listed below:

1. Code, Chapter, and Section for TAC reviews (Schiffer).
2. Three hearings instead of four during TAC and Commissioner review. (Shock)
3. Monograph included with proposed I-code changes. (Shock)
4. Removing Florida specific language from first phase requirements. (Shock)
5. Working with the insurance industry to get more info about BCEGS. (Shock)
6. FHBA proposed rule language as a starting point for rule changes. (Wiseman)
7. 2<sup>nd</sup> TAC review will be allowed to change recommendation instead of just providing comments. (Staff)
8. Eliminate mandate of only having motions to approve. (Staff)
9. Submitting round 1 code modifications into system. (Staff)
10. Assign individual TAC members a certain amount of modifications. (Staff)