

**FLORIDA BUILDING COMMISSION  
SHERATON ORLANDO NORTH  
600 NORTH LAKE DESTINY ROAD – MAITLAND, FLORIDA 32751  
Plenary Session  
February 11, 2020  
8:30 AM**

**MINUTES**

**COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:**

James Schock, Acting Chairman	Richard Goff
Hamid Bahadori	Jeff Gross
James Batts	Robert Hamberger
Bob Boyer	David John
Kelley Smith Burk	Brad Schiffer
Oscar Calleja	Fred Schilling
David Compton	Drew Smith
Nan Dean	Jeff Stone
Charles Fischer	Brian Swope
John Gatlin	Don Whitehead
Shane Gerwig	John Wiseman
David Gilson	

**COMMISSIONERS NOT PRESENT:**

Donald Brown	Brian Langille
--------------	----------------

**STAFF PRESENT:**

Thomas Campbell	Justin Vogel
Mo Madani	Jim Hammers
Chris Howell	Marlita Peters

**MEETING FACILITATION:**

The meeting was facilitated by Jeff Blair from Facilitated Solutions, LLC. Consultation, Process Design & Facilitation. Information at: [facilitatedsolutions.org](http://facilitatedsolutions.org)

**Time: 8:30 am**

Acting Chairman Schock welcomed Commissioners, staff, and members of the public to Maitland and the February 11, 2020 plenary session of the Florida Building Commission. Acting Chairman Schock stated that in addition to considering regular procedural issues including product and entity approvals, applications for accreditor and course approvals, petitions for declaratory statements, accessibility waivers, and recommendations from our various committees and workgroups, specific actions would include conducting a rule workshop on 61G20-2.002 and rule workshop on Rule 61G20-1.001.

Acting Chairman Schock stated that members of the public are requested to sign the attendance sheet on the speaker's table in the center of the room. In addition, we have a sign-up sheet for general public comment. He advised that as always, we will provide an opportunity for public comment on each of the Commission's substantive discussion topics or actions that are not procedural or ministerial in content. Acting Chairman Schock stated that if they want to comment on a specific substantive Commission agenda item, please come to the speaker's table when the issue is up for consideration so we know you want to speak. He said that public input is welcome, but should be offered before there is a formal motion on the floor. Acting Chairman Schock asked that all please remember to keep all electronic devices turned off or in a silent mode and thanked them for their cooperation.

Acting Chairman Schock advised all in attendance that if they are licensed by the Building Code Administrators and Inspectors Board attending the Commission meeting and interested in CE credits they must complete the CE sign-in sheet in the binder located on the speaker's table in the center of the room.

**Roll Call:**

Mr. Blair performed roll call; a quorum was met with 23 members present at roll call.

**Agenda Review and Approval:**

Mr. Blair covered the agenda items for the meeting today.

Commissioner Schilling entered a motion to approve the agenda as posted. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Hamberger. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0 in favor.

**Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes and Facilitator Report for December 10, 2019:**

Acting Chairman Schock requested a motion for approval of the December 10, 2019 Facilitator's Summary Report and meeting minutes.

Commissioner Schilling entered a motion to approve the December 10, 2019 Facilitator's Summary Report and meeting minutes as posted. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Goff. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0 in favor.

**Chairman's Discussion Issues and Recommendations:**

Acting Chairman Schock advised there are no appointments at this time.

**Commission Milestones:**

Acting Chairman Schock reminded the participants the Updated Commission Milestones document is linked to the agenda for today's meeting and also on the BCIS.

**Executive Director Announcements and Discussions:**

**Legislative Update:**

**Senate Bill:**

**SB 474 and HB 1193**

Mr. Campbell advised these are the two bills reducing the Commission from 27 to 19 members. He stated the Senate and House Bill are both moving through the committees and should be out for a vote on the floor soon.

**Legislative Update (cont.):**

**CS HB 459 and Companion SB 954**

Mr. Campbell stated these bills create a new process for the Commission to evaluate local amendments and decide if they are a technical amendment to the code. He said there have been changes to these bills with a more specific process definition and would, if passed, require rulemaking, and will require the Commission to make some significant amendments to the BCIS in order to process these requests.

**HB 511**

Mr. Campbell stated this bill provides that manufacturers of interior building envelope insulation for residential dwellings will require a test report from a Commission approved lab and the report must be provided upon request to a local building official. He advised that a product evaluation report cannot be used in lieu of the lab testing report. Mr. Campbell stated this bill has completed all committee stops in the House and should be ready for voting on the floor of the House, if this bill passes the House it will then be moved to the Senate for their process.

**Commission Comments:**

Commissioner Gerwig asked if this bill passes what would be the impact for the Commission, would it require a new rule and would it run through Product Approval POC.

Mr. Campbell advised it will have a minimal impact on the Commission as it is not requiring the insulation be part of the product approval program, it is requiring the lab report be available.

Commissioner Gerwig asked if this bill is approved what would be the effective date and would that date affect or cause a delay in any construction that was in process at that date.

Mr. Campbell stated the effective date would be July 1, 2020 and he stated he did not think it would impact any ongoing construction unless the building official were to make the request for the test report and it was not available and it needed to be prepared. He further stated that it does not mandate that the building official have to ask for the test report.

Mr. Madani provided further details on the requirements of the test labs and whether they could be approved through the product approval program. He stated that the testing and evaluation currently fall under the federal standards and already meet the requirements of testing and evaluation.

Commissioner Gerwig asked that Mr. Campbell keep the Commission updated on this specific bill and the progress it is making.

Mr. Campbell stated he would keep the Commission updated on any changes.

**Legislative Calls:**

Mr. Campbell stated the next legislative call is planned for scheduled for March 6, 2020 at 2:00 PM, and as the date gets closer if it looks like he can update the Commission via e-mail he will send notification advising that the call will not be needed. He advised he will provide an analysis of the final status of Legislation relevant to the Commission following the conclusion of Session (ending on March 13, 2020) at the April 7, 2020 Commission meeting.

**Future Meeting Location:**

Mr. Campbell advised as a reminder the next Commission meeting will be April 7, 2020, at the Shores Resort and Spa, Daytona Beach and will include a rules workshop.

- June 2, 2020, Hyatt Regency, Sarasota.

Mr. Campbell stated at this time it looks like the August meeting will be held on August 4<sup>th</sup> ; once this is confirmed he will send another e-mail.

**7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2020) Florida Building Code Workplan**

Mo Madani provided an update regarding the Workplan/Schedule for the development of the 2020 Code Update Process—7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2020), Florida Building Code.

Commissioner Boyer to approve the updated workplan/schedule for the 2020 code update process—7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2020), Florida Building Code. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

**Rule Workshop on Rule 61G20-2.002:**

Acting Chairman Schock provided the background of the rule change and purpose for the workshop. He further stated that based on a legal analysis of the requirements of Section 553.73 (7)(a), the Commission's direction, and comments and concerns expressed to date, staff has prepared a draft rule for the Code Update process that provides a proposed sequence of steps, from the Commission's selection and review of the most current updates to the model codes, to the TACs' review of model code provisions, to the TACs' review of proposed code amendments, to the Commission's approval of code amendments, and to adoption of the next edition of the Code.

Acting Chairman Schock advised that we are proposing the Commission first approve the rule process and language, and then decide on which, if any, specific type of model code provisions should be included on consent agendas for approval, leaving the remaining provisions to be reviewed by the appropriate TACs per the proposed rule process.

**Rule Workshop on Rule 61G20-2.002 (cont.):**

Acting Chairman Schock stated based on the Commission's decisions today, staff will prepare revised draft rule language and corresponding amended process documents for the Commission's consideration during a fourth rule development workshop to be conducted at the April 7, 2020 meeting.

Mr. Blair explained the process for the public to provide comments on the draft rule language for Rule 61G20-2.002 for updates and amendments to the Florida Building Code.

Mr. Blair advised that at the conclusion of public comment the Commission will have a general discussion on staff's Rule draft, discuss whether there should be any consent agendas for the first phase of the process, review the public comments to determine whether to incorporate any of them into the draft Rule template, and then move to approve the revised draft Rule language.

Mr. Blair continued by saying once the rule language defining the Code development process for the 8<sup>th</sup> Edition (2023) Florida Building Code is agreed to by the Commission staff will bring revised rule language, and corresponding amended process documents to the April 7, 2020 meeting for further review and discussion during the Rule Workshop conducted at that time.

**Commission Clarifying Questions:**

Commissioner Swope expressed concerns over the language referring to consent agendas.

Mr. Blair stated they will be discussing the consent agenda issues and concerns once the public comments have been completed.

Commissioner Calleja spoke on the "overlap" wording and definition of what this means within the code.

Mr. Campbell explained the use of "overlap" within the Florida Code.

Commissioner Gilson stated that historically we are one cycle behind the NEC, and asked if there was any potential that we would be jumping to the current NEC?

Mr. Madani explained the historical changes with the NEC, and conflicts with the National Fire Prevention Code.

**Public Comment:**

**John Hall, Miami-Dade County** stated they support the new rule and suggested that in paragraph (1)(c) the Commission add the language "or provide for energy efficiency standards that meet or exceed the national energy standards as mandated by Title III of the Energy Conservation and Protection Act."

**Public Comment (cont.):**

In addition, Mr. Hall also addressed (1)(e)2, changing the first paragraph and adding the underscored wording into the rule as follows:

When considering whether to recommend inclusion on a consent agenda for approval, the TACs shall consider such criteria as whether the model code provisions are editorial or organizational in nature, correct an error, provide clarification, provide for alternative design methods and industry practices, are uncontroversial to affected stakeholders, are necessary for coordination with the Florida Fire Prevention Code, or whose inclusion are mandated by law.

**Joe Belcher, FHBA** stated they appreciated the staff's hard work creating the template to work from, and he provided the suggested changes to the Commission as listed on the handout, starting with (1)(b), removing "have no economic impact on consumers" and adding "in accordance with §553.73(7)(a) and §553.73(7)(c), Florida Statutes."

Mr. Belcher stated FHBA would be against the use of consent agendas before any TAC review and preferred to allow the TACs to consider all model code provisions and allow them to give recommendations to the Commission. There should be no consent agenda for I-Code changes being sent to the Commission.

**Bryan Holland, NEMA** stated he appreciated the staff's draft and also agrees with keeping correlation with the Fire Code, and agrees with Mr. Hall's (1)(e) proposed change. Mr. Holland said all stakeholders should have the right to know what they need to work on with their request for change and be provided a reason for a NAR on their requests. Mr. Holland stated he is not opposed to many of the changes proposed by FHBA, but the consent agenda is a key item. He suggested that all I-Code change need to be incorporated in Florida unless there is a Federal requirement against it here in Florida, a statutory requirement, a declaratory statement that changed it, or there is a Florida-specific amendment that the Commission has decided to retain. Mr. Holland referenced the I-Code development and how to read it.

**Rick Logan, AIA** stated they are in agreement with John Hall and the FHBA changes to the proposed rule. He said they have one item they would like to see changed under paragraph (f), the strike out by FHBA "or members of the public;" he feels this should be left in.

**Mike Silvers, FRSA** stated that all modifications except those mandated by statute should have ample review in the TACs, and all modifications should have all information in writing from the proponent so the TACs can make informed decisions. He further stated there should be no consent agendas that are not part of the Florida statutes.

**Eric Stafford, IBHS** stated that on the consent agendas he currently has no opinion. He further stated that they would like for decisions to be clear and the process more clear on items placed on the consent agendas. Mr. Stafford stated they are okay with the FHBA proposal except item (1)(e), the language needs to be simplified to approve, object or approve as modified.

**Public Comment (cont.):**

Neil Burning, ICC stated they agree with the proposals from Mr. Hall, Mr. Stafford and FHBA.

Dane Bennett, FHBA stated he would like to add that in Section (1)(b) includes statutory references. He further stated they are in full support of the TACs being allowed a full review, as it is critical.

**James DiPietro, Broward County Board of Rules and Appeals** stated they are in support of John Hall's comments.

**Commission Discussion:**

Discussion was held among the Commissioners regarding the suggested changes made by John Hall, Miami-Dade County on section (1)(c).

**Motion:** Commissioner Schiffer entered a motion to approve the specified changes suggested by Mr. Hall on (1)(c). Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

Discussion was held among the Commissioners regarding the suggested change made by John Hall, Miami-Dade County on section (1)(e)(2). It was decided that this change would not be necessary, and was not desired at this time by the Commission.

Further discussion continued on the coordination of the FBC and the Fire Prevention Code.

Discussion was held among the Commissioners regarding the suggested changes made by Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** Commissioner Gerwig entered a motion to incorporate the FHBA suggestions as well as Commissioner Schiffer's request for the language on the Department of Energy, into the draft rule with the one addition that was already approved. Commissioner Smith seconded the motion.

Mr. Campbell addressed the Commission and stated there were a few areas that the staff feels need to be discussed to ensure that the new draft of the rule is what they will be expecting in April.

Mr. Campbell spoke on the language added in (1)(b), "have an economic impact on consumers," and the reason the staff added this to the rule.

Discussion continued by the Commission on the staff's recommendation on this section.

Commissioner Swope was in favor of striking the language.



**Commission Discussion:**

Mr. Campbell commented on the addition of “in accordance with §553.73(7)(a) and §553.73(7)(c), Florida Statutes,” and suggested it should read 553.73(7), F.S.

**Motion:** Commissioner Gerwig entered a motion to strike “in accordance with §553.73(7)(a) and §553.73(7)(c), Florida Statutes” in section (1)(b) and replace it with 553.73(7), F.S. Commissioner Swope seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

Mr. Campbell addressed the additional language in section (1)(c) that states “for forty-five (45) days,” advising this could offer the impression that this is a strict 45 day only period.

Discussion continued by the Commission on the staff’s recommendation on this section,

**Motion:** Commissioner Gerwig entered a motion to revise the language to read “for a minimum of forty-five (45) days,”. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

Mr. Campbell provided information on the added language in (1)(d), he stated this does not need to be in the rule in order for the workgroup to meet, however, it can stay if they would prefer.

Commissioner Gerwig stated he feels this should remain in the rule, as suggested by FHBA.

Mr. Campbell provided information on (1)(e)(1), “striking being in conflict with”.

Discussion continued by the Commission on the staff’s recommendation on this section.

Commissioner Gerwig stated he is in favor of leaving the language as listed by FHBA.

Commissioner Wiseman requested that Mr. Belcher explain why he struck the language.

Joe Belcher, FHBA advised that this will limit the actions that the TACs can take.

Commissioner Calleja stated he is also in favor of leaving the strike through.

Mr. Vogel presented a full legal background of the process and requirements by statute.

Mr. Campbell spoke on the reasoning of adding the language “or members of the public” to (a)(f) .

Discussion continued by the Commission on the staff’s recommendation on this section.

**Commission Discussion:**

Commissioner Gerwig stated he is in favor of leaving the strike-through.

Mr. Campbell explained the staff's concern that the public would not have the ability to request a modification be pulled without this language.

Commissioner Gerwig expressed that they should be able to pull from the consent agenda without a vote.

**Motion:** Commissioner Gerwig entered a motion to change the wording that was struck through by FHBA to read "any Commissioner may pull off consent agenda based on public comment,". Commissioner Whitehead seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

**Motion:** Commissioner Gerwig entered a motion to approve the draft rule provided by FHBA as amended. Commissioner Goff seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

Acting Chairman Schock asked for the final vote of the rule to approve the specified changes to the draft Rule 61G20-2.002 and direct staff to incorporate them into an updated draft for the Commission's consideration.

**Motion:** Commissioner Gerwig entered a motion to approve the specified changes to the draft Rule 61G20-2.002 and direct staff to incorporate them into an updated draft for the Commission's consideration. Commissioner Swope seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

**Accessibility Waivers:**

Acting Chairman Schock advised that the Commission will now consider this month's requests for accessibility waivers. He stated Justin Vogel will serve as legal counsel and present the Accessibility Advisory Council's recommendations. Acting Chairman Schock asked that Mr. Vogel present the Council's recommendations regarding waiver requests.

**Accessibility Waivers (cont.):**

**Brickhouse Lodge – Waiver 398 - 36 S Magnolia Ave, Ocala 34471 - Issue:** Vertical accessibility to the second and third floors.

Mr. Vogel presented the waiver. He advised that the Council recommended granting based on technical infeasibility.

Commissioner Goff entered a motion to grant the waiver for vertical accessibility to the second and third floors based on technical infeasibility. Commissioner Schilling seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 21 to 0 with two Commissioners out of the room at the time of the vote.

**College Station – Waiver 433 - 222 E. College Avenue, Tallahassee 32301 - Issue:** Vertical accessibility to the raised overflow seating.

Mr. Vogel presented the waiver. He advised that the Council recommended granting based on economic hardship.

Commissioner Schiffer entered a motion to grant the waiver for vertical accessibility to the raised overflow seating based on economic hardship. Commissioner Schilling seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 22 to 0 with one Commissioner out of the room at the time of the vote.

**Jasper Collins, LLC – Waiver 430 - 221 Collins Avenue, Miami Beach 33139 - Issue:** Vertical accessibility to the second floor.

Mr. Vogel presented the waiver. He advised that the Council recommended granting based on technical infeasibility.

Commissioner Schiffer entered a motion to grant the request for a waiver for vertical accessibility to the second floor based on technical infeasibility. Commissioner Schilling seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

**Product Approval:**

Acting Chairman Schock advised that Commissioner Stone would present the POC's recommendations for entity approvals and the consent agenda for products recommended for approval, and Jeff Blair would present the POC's recommendations for product approvals with discussion and/or comments.

**Product Approval (cont.):**

**Entities:**

Commissioner Stone entered a motion to approve the 8 product approval entities as posted. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 19 to 0 with four Commissioners out of the room at the time of the vote.

**Product Approval Applications without Comments Consent Agenda:**

Commissioner Stone entered a motion to approve the 161 products on the consent agenda list. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 19 to 0 with four Commissioners out of the room at the time of the vote.

**Product Approval Application with Comments:**

**1591-R 10** – Commissioner Stone entered a motion for conditional approval of 1591 R 10. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 19 to 0 with four Commissioners out of the room at the time of the vote.

**3905-R 9** – Commissioner Stone entered a motion for conditional approval of 3905 R 9. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 19 to 0 with four Commissioners out of the room at the time of the vote.

**12194-R 8** – Commissioner Stone entered a motion for conditional approval of 12194 R 8. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 19 to 0 with four Commissioners out of the room at the time of the vote.

**12400-R 10** – Commissioner Stone entered a motion for conditional approval of 12400 R 10. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 20 to 0 with three Commissioners out of the room at the time of the vote.

**15216-R 6** – Commissioner Stone entered a motion for conditional approval of 15216 R 6. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 19 to 0 with three Commissioners out of the room at the time of the vote.

**DBPR Applications:**

Chairman Stone advised that there were 68 products on the DBPR roster and those with comments have been addressed. He stated there is no action needed from the Commission.

**Accreditor and Course Approval:**

Acting Chairman Schock advised Commissioner Dean would present the Education Program Administrators recommendations regarding applications for accreditor and course approval.

**Accreditor and Course Approval (cont.):**

**Pending accreditor applications for recommendation:**

None

**Pending advanced accredited courses for recommendation:**

Commissioner Dean entered a motion to approve advanced accredited courses 948.0 and 947.0. Commissioner Smit-Burk seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 21 to 0 with two Commissioners out of the room at the time of the vote.

**Pending administratively approved updated courses for recommendation:**

None

**Pending administratively approved Self Affirmed (One Change) updated courses for recommendation:**

None

**Legal Report:**

Acting Chairman Schock advised that Justin Vogel will report on relevant legal matters of interest to the Commission.

Mr. Vogel advised there were no outstanding legal matters to report.

**Petitions for Declaratory Statement:**

Acting Chairman Schock stated if anyone wished to speak on a declaratory statement please come to the public comment table once the specific declaratory statement is introduced. He advised that Justin Vogel will serve as the Commission's counsel. Acting Chairman Schock stated that after legal counsel reviews the petition, he will ask for public comment on the petition.

**DS 2019-071 by Christopher Johnson of Superior Fences & Rail Inc.**

Mr. Vogel provided the background of the declaratory statement request with the following question, and petitioner and staff responses. He advised that the Swimming Pool TAC heard the petition on January 28, 2020 and voted to accept the staff analysis.

Madani provided the full staff analysis for the Commission.

**DS 2019-071 by Christopher Johnson of Superior Fences & Rail Inc. (cont.):**

**Question 1:** When the gate latch is located at least 54" from the bottom of the gate, is a barrier that has no opening greater than 1/2 inch (12.7 mm) within 18 inches (457 mm) of the release mechanism, still required?

**Answer:** The answer to Petitioner's question is yes. As per section R4501.17.1.8 of the 6<sup>th</sup> Edition (2017) Florida Building Code, Residential, the gate and the barrier in question must have no opening greater than 1/2 inch (12.7 mm) within 18 inches (457 mm) of the release mechanism.

**Question 2:** If a magnetic top pull latch is installed at least 54" from the bottom of the gate, can the release mechanism and the self-latching locking device both be located on the outside of the gate?

**Answer:** The answer to the Petitioner's question is no. As per section R4501.17.1.8 of the 6<sup>th</sup> Edition (2017) Florida Building Code, Residential, the self-latching locking device for the project in question must be located on the pool side of the gate and the release mechanism may be located on either side of the gate.

Commissioner Batts entered a motion to accept the Swimming Pool TAC recommendation of the staff analysis. Commissioner Gilson seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

**DS 2019-078 by Ted Caplow of Grayscale Partners, LLC**

The Petitioner was not present.

Mr. Vogel provided the background of the declaratory statement request with the following questions, and petitioner and staff responses. He advised that the Fire TAC heard the petition on January 28, 2020 and voted to accept the staff analysis.

Mr. Madani provided the full staff analysis for the Commission.

**Question 1:** Do the dwelling units in Project A meet the definition of *townhouse* under the Florida Building Code?

**Answer:** The answer to Petitioner's question is no. The project in question does not meet the fire separation requirements of Sections R302.1, R302.2 and R302.2.1 of the 6<sup>th</sup> Edition (2017) Florida Building Code, Residential, which require that each townhouse be considered a separate building and be separated by a separate and continuous fire rated wall assembly meeting the requirements of zero clearance from property lines of Section R302.1 for exterior walls.

**Question 2:** Do the dwelling units in Project B meet the definition of *townhouse* under the Florida Building Code?

**DS 2019-078 by Ted Caplow of Grayscale Partners, LLC (cont.):**

**Answer:** The answer to Petitioner's question is no. The project in question does not meet the fire separation requirements of Sections R302.1, R302.2 and R302.2.1 of the 6<sup>th</sup> Edition (2017) Florida Building Code, Residential, which require that each townhouse be considered a separate building and be separated by a separate and continuous fire rated wall assembly meeting the requirements of zero clearance from property lines of Section R302.1 for exterior walls.

**Question 3:** Do the dwelling units in Project C meet the definition of *townhouse* under the Florida Building Code?

**Answer:** The answer to Petitioner's question is no. The project in question does not meet the fire separation requirements of Sections R302.1, R302.2 and R302.2.1 of the 6<sup>th</sup> Edition (2017) Florida Building Code, Residential, which require that each townhouse be considered a separate building and be separated by a separate and continuous fire rated wall assembly meeting the requirements of zero clearance from property lines of Section R302.1 for exterior walls.

**Question 4:** If the answer is yes to one or more of the questions (1-3) above, does it follow that the townhouses in that project are subject to the Florida Building Code, Residential, and therefore not subject to the Florida Building Code, Building?

**Answer:** Since the answers to Questions 1 through 3 are no, the answer to this question is also no. The design and construction of the projects in question are subject to the requirements of 6<sup>th</sup> Edition (2017) Florida Building Code, Building and not the requirements of the 6<sup>th</sup> Edition (2017) Florida Building Code, Residential.

Commissioner Gilson entered a motion to accept the Fire TAC recommendation of the staff analysis striking, answer to question #4 as it was not needed. Commissioner Stone seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 22 to 0 with one Commissioner out of the room at the time of the vote.

**Letter of Certification of Equivalence to DOE – latest draft of the 7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2020) Florida Building Code, Energy Conservation to ASHRAE 90.1 – 2016 (this certification is due by February 27, 2020)**

Mr. Madani provided detail of the requirement, explained the draft letter, and advised the Commission that the Energy TAC recommended sending the Letter of Certification of Equivalence to DOE certifying the equivalence of the latest draft of the 7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2020), Florida Building Code, Energy Conservation's commercial building provisions to ASHRAE 90.1-2016.

**Letter of Certification of Equivalence to DOE – latest draft of the 7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2020) Florida Building Code, Energy Conservation to ASHRAE 90.1 – 2016 (this certification is due by February 27, 2020) (cont.):**

Commissioner Smith entered a motion to send the Letter of Certification of Equivalence to DOE certifying the equivalence of the latest draft of the 7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2020), Florida Building Code, Energy Conservation’s commercial building provisions to ASHRAE 90.1-2016. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

Bryan Holland, NEMA provided comments on the equivalency of 90.1.

Joe Belcher, FHBA in support stating this was studied and is correct.

**Binding Interpretation #178**

Mr. Madani provided the report for Binding Interpretation #178. He advised this is informational only and no action is needed from the Commission.

**Committee Reports:**

**Accessibility Technical Advisory Committee:**

Commissioner Gross provided a brief summary of the Accessibility Technical Advisory Committee teleconference meeting on January 31, 2020.

Commissioner Gross entered a motion to accept the report from the January 31, 2020, teleconference meeting. Commissioner Schiffer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

**Code Administration Technical Advisory Committee:**

Commissioner Gerwig provided a brief summary of the Code Administration Technical Advisory Committee teleconference meeting on January 31, 2020.

Commissioner Gerwig entered a motion to accept the report from the January 31, 2020, teleconference meeting. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.



**Committee Reports (cont.):**

**Education Program Oversight Committee:**

Commissioner Dean provided a brief summary of the Education POC's December 2, 2019 teleconference meeting.

Commissioner Dean entered a motion to accept the report from the December 2, 2019, teleconference meeting. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

**Electrical Technical Advisory Committee:**

Commissioner Fischer provided a brief summary of the Electrical Technical Advisory Committee meeting in Gainesville on January 30, 2020.

Commissioner Fischer entered a motion to accept the report from the January 30, 2020, meeting in Gainesville. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 22 to 0 with one Commissioner out of the room at the time of the vote.

**Energy Technical Advisory Committee:**

Commissioner Smith provided a brief summary of the Energy Technical Advisory Committee teleconference meeting on January 24, 2020.

Commissioner Smith entered a motion to accept the report from the January 24, 2020, teleconference meeting. Commissioner Calleja seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 22 to 0 with one Commissioner out of the room at the time of the vote.

**Suspension of the Compliance Software Tool Assistance Manual:**

Commissioner Smith entered a motion to suspend updating the Compliance Software Tool Assistance Manual (TAM) and not produce a TAM update for the 7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2020) Florida Building Code, Energy Conservation. Commissioner Calleja seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 22 to 0 with one Commissioner out of the room at the time of the vote.

**Committee Reports (cont.):**

**Fire Technical Advisory Committee:**

Commissioner Bahadori provided a brief summary of the Fire Technical Advisory Committee meeting in Gainesville on January 28, 2020.

Commissioner Bahadori entered a motion to accept the report from the January 28, 2020, meeting in Gainesville. Commissioner Swope seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

**Hurricane Research Advisory Committee:**

Mr. Blair provided a brief summary of the Hurricane Research Advisory Committee teleconference meeting on January 13, 2020.

Commissioner Schock entered a motion to accept the report from the January 13, 2020, teleconference meeting. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

**Mechanical Technical Advisory Committee:**

Commissioner Calleja provided a brief summary of the Mechanical Technical Advisory Committee teleconference meeting on January 31, 2020.

Commissioner Calleja entered a motion to accept the report from the January 31, 2020 teleconference meeting. Commissioner Batts seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

**Plumbing Technical Advisory Committee:**

Commissioner Schilling provided a brief summary of the Plumbing Technical Advisory Committee teleconference meeting on January 31, 2020.

Commissioner Schilling entered a motion to accept the report from the January 31, 2020 teleconference meeting. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

**Committee Reports (cont.):**

**Product Approval Program Oversight Committee**

Commissioner Stone provided a brief summary of the Product Approval POC's January 30, 2020 teleconference meeting.

Commissioner Stone entered a motion to approve the report of the Product Approval POC's January 30, 2020 teleconference meeting. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0.

**Roofing Technical Advisory Committee:**

Commissioner Swope provided a brief summary of the Roofing Technical Advisory Committee meeting in Gainesville on January 28, 2020.

Commissioner Swope entered a motion to accept the report from the January 28, 2020, meeting in Gainesville. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 22 to 0 with one Commissioner out of the room at the time of the vote.

**Special Occupancy Technical Advisory Committee:**

Commissioner Whitehead provided a brief summary of the Special Occupancy Technical Advisory Committee meeting in Tallahassee on January 23, 2020.

Commissioner Whitehead entered a motion to accept the report from the January 23, 2020, meeting in Tallahassee. Commissioner Batts seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 22 to 0 with one Commissioner out of the room at the time of the vote.

**Structural Technical Advisory Committee:**

Commissioner Schock provided a brief summary of the Structural Technical Advisory Committee meeting in Gainesville on January 28, 2020.

Commissioner Schock entered a motion to accept the report from the January 28, 2020, meeting in Gainesville. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 22 to 0 with one Commissioner out of the room at the time of the vote.

**Committee Reports (cont.):**

**Swimming Pool Technical Advisory Committee:**

Commissioner Batts provided a brief summary of the Swimming Pool Technical Advisory Committee meeting in Gainesville on January 28, 2020.

Commissioner Batts entered a motion to accept the report from the January 28, 2020, meeting in Gainesville. Commissioner Calleja seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 22 to 0 with one Commissioner out of the room at the time of the vote.

**BREAK: 10:50 a.m.**

**15 minutes**

**Reconvened at 11:05 a.m.**

**Rule Workshop for Rule 61G20-1.001, F.A.C. (7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2020) Update to the Florida Building Code)**

Acting Chairman Schock advised that the Commission started the 2020 Code Update Process in October of 2017 with selection of the 2018 I-Codes and the 2017 NEC, the TACs made recommendations on I-Code changes in June of 2018, the Commission decided on I-Code changes in October of 2018, the TACs made recommendations on proposed code modifications in March of 2019, the TACs decided on public comments to TAC recommendations in July of 2019, the Commission decided on code modifications for the 7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2020), Florida Building Code in August of 2018, and the TACs made recommendations on public comments to the draft 7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2020) Florida Building Code in January of 2020.

Acting Chairman Schock stated today is the first of two remaining rule workshops for Rule 61G20-1.001 on the draft 7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2020), Florida Building Code. He stated after the Commission takes action on the TACs' recommendations on written public comments, and verbal public comments provided during the Rule Workshop today we will move to incorporate all approved amendments into the current draft of the code. Acting Chairman Schock said the Commission will also conduct a second Rule Development Workshop on April 7, 2020.

Mr. Blair provided detail on the process, facilitation of public comment and Commission discussion.

**Commission Clarifying Questions:** None

**Public Comment:** None

**TAC recommendations with favorable actions:**

**Accessibility TAC:**

No comments accepted

**Code Administration TAC:**

Commission Staff:  
CA-B-Chapter 1, Comment # 1 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Goff entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

Commission Staff:  
CA-B-Chapter 1, Comment # 2 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Goff entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

**Electrical TAC:**

Bryan Holland:  
E-B-Chapter 27 – Comment #1 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Fischer entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

**Energy TAC:**

Jeff Sonne:  
EN-RE-RD - Comment #1 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Smith entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

**TAC recommendations with favorable actions (cont.):**

Amanda Hickman:  
EN-CE-Chapter 4-Comment #10 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Smith entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

Joe Belcher:  
EN-CE-Chapter 4-Comment #11 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Smith entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

**Fire TAC:**

Gaspar Rodriguez:  
F-EB-Chapter 7-Comment #1 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Bahadori entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

Bryan Holland:  
F-B-Chapter 6-Comment #1 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Bahadori entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

Joe Belcher:  
F/SP-B-Chapter 30-Comment #1 (AM) to include FBC 1020.1 which also references 3006.1.

**Motion:** Commissioner Bahadori entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as amended. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AM)

Robby Dawson:  
F-B-Chapter 35-Comment #1 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Bahadori entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

**TAC recommendations with favorable actions (cont.):**

Brad Schiffer:  
F-R-Appendix Q-Comment #1 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Bahadori entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

**Mechanical TAC:**

No comments accepted.

**Plumbing TAC:**

No comments accepted.

**Roofing TAC:**

Gaspar Rodriguez:  
R-Chapter 15-Comment #1 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Swope entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

Mike Silvers:  
R-Chapter 15-Comment #2 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Swope entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

Bryan Holland:  
R-Chapter 15-Comment #3 (AM) to strike the word “a”

**Motion:** Commissioner Swope entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as amended. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AM)

**TAC recommendations with favorable actions (cont.):**

Amanda Hickman  
R-Chapter 35-Comment #1 (AS)

**Public Comment:** Mike Silvers, FRSA provided additional information on addition of 19A.

**Motion:** Commissioner Swope entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

Mike Silvers:  
R-Chapter 9-Comment #1 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Swope entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

**Special Occupancy TAC:**

Skip Gregory:  
SP-B-Chapter 4-Comment #3 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Whitehead entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

Skip Gregory:  
SP-B-Chapter 4-Comment #5 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Whitehead entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

Susan E. Anderson:  
SP-B-Chapter 4-Comment #6 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Whitehead entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)



**TAC recommendations with favorable actions (cont.):**

**Structural TAC:**

Gaspar Rodriguez:  
S-TP – Comment #1 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Schock entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

Gaspar Rodriguez:  
S-TP – Comment #2 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Schock entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

Amanda Hickman:  
S-B Chapter 16 – Comment #1 (AM) with handout – attached to chart

**Motion:** Commissioner Schock entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as amended. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AM)

Paul Coats:  
S–B-Ch. 23 - Comment #1 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Schock entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

Michael Faulhaber:  
S-B Chapter 23 – Comment #2 (AM) include term “nominal”

**Motion:** Commissioner Schock entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as amended. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AM)

**TAC recommendations with favorable actions (cont.):**

**Structural TAC (cont.):**

Gary Hartman:  
S-B Chapter 35 – Comment #1 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Schock entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

BJ Yeh:  
S-B Chapter 35 – Comment #2 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Schock entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

Jennifer Molin:  
S-B Chapter 35 – Comment #3 (AS)

**Motion:** Commissioner Schock entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

**Swimming Pool TAC:**

Kari Hebrank:  
SW-C-454 Comment #1 (AM) change “note” to a sentence

**Motion:** Commissioner Batts entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as amended. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AM)

Bob Vincent:  
SW-C-454 Comment #3 (Note: Each code section was considered separately)

454.1.2.3.5 (AS) remove “x” from line items 8, 9 and.10.

**Motion:** Commissioner Batts entered a motion to accept the TAC recommendation to accept the modification as submitted. Commissioner Boyer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 23 to 0. (AS)

**TAC recommendations for No Affirmative Recommendations:**

**Accessibility TAC:**

A-General-Comment #1 – Neil Burning

**Motion:** No motion – Not Approved

**Code Administration TAC:**

A-General-Comment #1 – Neil Burning

**Motion:** No motion - NAR

**Electrical TAC:**

E-General-Comment #1 – Neil Burning

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved

**Energy TAC:**

Jeff Sonne: Mr. Sonne was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

EN-ER-Chapter 4-Comment #1

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA and Arlene Stewart, Triconic

Mr. Sonne provided a rebuttal to the opposition.

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved

Leo Smith:  
EN-CE-Chapter 4-Comment #1

**In favor:**

**Opposed:**

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved

Bryan Holland: Mr. was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

**TAC recommendations for No Affirmative Recommendations (cont.):**

**Energy TAC (cont.):**

EN/E-CE-Chapter 4-Comment #2

**In favor:** John Hall, Miami-Dade

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

EN-CE-Chapter 4-Comment #3

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

EN-CE/E-Chapter 4-Comment #4

**In favor:** John Hall, Miami-Dade

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

EN/E-CE-Chapter 4-Comment #5

**In favor:** John Hall, Miami-Dade

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

Mr. Holland provided additional information in his rebuttal to the opposition.

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved

**TAC recommendations for No Affirmative Recommendations (cont.):**

**Energy TAC (cont.):**

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

EN/E-CE-Chapter 4-Comment #6

**In favor:** Dory Larsen, Southern Alliance for Clean Energy  
John Hall, Miami-Dade  
Michael Cohen, Solar United Neighbors  
Mary Dipboye, Solar United Neighbors  
Helda Rodriguez, Nova Charge  
Rob Vieira, FSEC

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

Mr. Holland provided additional information in his rebuttal to the opposition.

**Motion:** Commissioner Smith-Burk entered a motion to approve comments with recommended changes. Commissioner Stone seconded the motion for discussion. The motion failed with a vote of 1 in favor and 21 opposed with one Commissioner out of the room during the vote. Not Approved

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

EN/E-CE-Chapter 4-Comment #7

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

EN/E-CE-Chapter 4-Comment #8

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No motion – Not Approved

**TAC recommendations for No Affirmative Recommendations (cont.):**

**Energy TAC (cont.):**

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

EN-CE-Chapter 4-Comment #9

**In favor:**

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No motion – Not Approved

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

EN-CE-Chapter 5-Comment #1

**In favor:**

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No motion – Not Approved

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

EN-CE-Chapter 5-Comment #2

**In favor:** Rob Vieira, FSEC

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved

**Fire TAC:**

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

F-B-Chapter 9-Comment #1

**In favor:**

**TAC recommendations for No Affirmative Recommendations (cont.):**

**Fire TAC (cont.):**

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No Motion - Not Approved

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

F/E-B-Chapter 9-Comment #2

**In favor:**

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No Motion – Not Approved

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

F-B-Chapter 10-Comment #1

**In favor:**

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No Motion - Not Approved

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

F-B-Chapter 10-Comment #2

**In favor:**

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No Motion - Not Approved

F-General-Comment #1 – Neil Burning

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved

**TAC recommendations for No Affirmative Recommendations (cont.):**

**Mechanical TAC:**

M-General-Comment #1 – Neil Burning

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved

**PlumbingTAC:**

P-General-Comment #1 – Neil Burning

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved

**RoofingTAC:**

R-General-Comment #1 – Neil Burning

**Motion:** No motion – Not Approved

**Special Occupancy TAC:**

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

SP/E-B-Chapter 4 – Comment #2

**In favor:**

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No motion -- Not Approved

Bryan Holland: Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

SP/E-B-Chapter 4 – Comment #4

**In favor:**

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved



**TAC recommendations for No Affirmative Recommendations (cont.):**

**Special Occupancy TAC (cont.):**

SP-General-Comment #1 – Neil Burning

**Motion:** No motion – Not Approved

**Structural TAC:**

S-General-Comment #1 – Neil Burning

**Motion:** No motion – Not Approved

**Swimming Pool TAC:**

Bryan Holland - Mr. Holland was present and provided detail on the comment, with a request for reconsideration.

SW/E-454-Comment #2

**In favor:** John Hall, Miami-Dade and Jim DePietro, Broward County Board of Rules and Appeals

**Opposed:** Joe Belcher, FHBA stated NAR should stay in place for alternate language to be completed.

**Motion:** No motion - Not Approved

Bob Vincent:

SW-C-454 Comment #3 (Note: Each code section was considered separately)

Section 454.1.1.1 – No motion – Not approved

Section 454.1.9.2.2.4 – No motion – Not approved

Section 454.1.9.2.6.2 – NAR to allow for more work on language.

Section 454.1.2.8.1- No Action Needed

Section 454.1.9.8.6.1- No Action Needed

Section 454.1.9.8.6.3- No Action Needed

Michael Weinbaum:

SW-C-454-Comment #4 – NAR to be handled through previous comments to allow more work on the language.

**TAC recommendations for No Affirmative Recommendations (cont.):**

**Swimming Pool TAC (cont.):**

Michael Weinbaum:  
SW-C-454-Comment #5 – Not Approved

**Rule Motion:**

**Motion:** Commissioner Schiffer entered a motion to adopt the Commission's package of approved Code modifications to the 6<sup>th</sup> Edition (2017) for inclusion in the draft 7<sup>th</sup> Edition (2020), Florida Building Code.

**Commissioner Comments:**

Commissioner Schiffer requested that dates be added to the document names when linked to the agenda to ensure that they are reviewing the most current information.

Mr. Campbell and Mr. Madani responded this would be completed as requested.

Commissioner Gerwig if there had been any confirmed dates for the next TAC meeting for the second group of public comments.

Mr. Campbell advised the dates are not set yet, but once the deadline for comments has expired the staff will begin polling for the TAC meeting dates.

Commissioner Gerwig inquired if the results of DS 2019-071 would be clarified in the next code.

Mr. Madani advised yes, that staff will update and include as appropriate.

**Public Comment:**

Linda Patrick, City of Gainesville thanked Mr. Madani for the information regarding the Accessibility Code and stated looking at the new code there will be a need for training on the Energy Code changes. She asked if there were questions on Chapter 4 could they be directed to staff.

Mr. Madani advised that the staff is there to assist with questions and if there is a need they will refer the caller to the appropriate state agency if it is not part of DBPR.

Ms. Patrick stated that there is not a commentary on special occupancy.

Joe Belcher, FHBA thanked the staff for their hard work on the Code and the Rule. He stated that there is a need to correctly cite the code name which changed to Editions.

**Next Commission Meeting and Adjournment:**

Acting Chairman Schock stated the next meeting will be April 7, 2020 in Daytona Beach Shores.

There being no further business before the Commission Acting Chairman Schock adjourned the meeting at 1:06 p.m.