

Proposed Code Modifications

This document created by the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation -850-487-1824

TAC: Code Administration

Total Mods for Code Administration in Approved as Modified: 12

Total Mods for report: 38

Sub Code: Building

Date Submitted 7/6/20	012	Section 102.5	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 1		Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Modifie Pending Review	d			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comments	No	Alternate Language	e No		
Related Modifications					
Summary of Modificatio	n				
Update 102.5 as p	er Commision plan				
Rationale					
To implement the a	approved Commision p	lan for the 2013 FBC			
Fiscal Impact Statement					
•	tity relative to enforce Carried over from prev	ment of code ous code. Field tested and approv	ed to be effective.		
		relative to cost of compliance wit ous code. Field tested and approv			
• •		f compliance with code ous code. Field tested and approv	ed to be effective.		
Requirements					
		ection with the health, safety, and to new requirements being establish	•	blic	
•	• •	provides equivalent or better proc o new requirements being establish		is of construction	
	•	, products, methods, or systems on new requirements being establist		strated capabilities	
,	the effectiveness of t				
Currently use	ed under 2010 Code N	o new requirements being establis	hed		
Is the proposed code modif	ication part of a prior co	de version?			
YES					

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER .

Explanation of Choice

Carry changes forward in accordance with the approved plan for the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

102.5 Partial invalidity. In the event that any part or provision of this code is held to be illegal or void, this shall not have the effect of making void or illegal any of the other parts or provisions. Reserved.

rnato Languago Aľ

nate Lang	5 0				Page 5 of 140
<u>Comme</u>	ent Period History	08/0	<u>9/2012 - 09/23/2012</u>		
Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/20/2012	Attachments	Yes
Rationale					
adds the st	sed Alternate Language attach truck-through language of the		• •	• • •	
-	ct Statement				
•	ocal entity relative to enforce				
	te Language is for Clarification				
•	building and property owners	•			
Alternat	te Language is for Clarificatior	to the Original Proposed	a woo only.		
Impact to in	dustry relative to the cost of	compliance with code			
Alterna	te Language is for Clarification	n to the Original Propose	d Mod only.		
Requiremen	nts				
Has a reas	onable and substantial conn	ection with the health, s	afety, and welfare of	the general public	
Alternat	te Language is for Clarificatior	n to the Original Proposed	d Mod only.		
•	ns or improves the code, and	• •	•	ods, or systems of constru	uction
	te Language is for Clarificatior	o 1			
	liscriminate against materials	•••	•	tion of demonstrated capa	bilities
	te Language is for Clarification	o ,	d Mod only.		
	legrade the effectiveness of t				
Alternat	te Language is for Clarificatior	to the Original Proposed	d Mod only.		
Is the propo	sed code modification part o	f a prior code version?			
YES					
The provis	ions contained in the pro	posed amendment are	addressed in the	applicable international	code?
NO					
The amend	Iment demonstrates by ev	vidence or data that th	e geographical jur	isdiction of Florida exih	ibits a

need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

t oominieni	<u>t Period Histo</u>	ry	08/09/2012	- 09/23/2012	
Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No
Comment:					
102.5 – this sec	ction is in conflict with	Ch. 120 FS.			

Page: 1

102.5 Partial invalidity. Change to read as shown.

102.5 Partial invalidity. Reserved.

Page 7 of 140 **102.5 Partial invalidity.** In the event that any part or provision of this code is held to be illegal or void, this shall not have the effect of making void or illegal any of the other parts or provisions. <u>Reserved.</u>

2013 FBC- Code Administration Proposed Alternate Language

SECTION 102 – Applicability

Modify SECTION 102.5

Modify SECTION 102.5 as follows:

102.5 Partial invalidity. In the event that any part or provision of this code is held to be illegal or void, this shall not have the effect of making void or illegal any of the other parts or provisions. <u>Reserved.</u>

Proposed Code Modification No. CA4992

Page:

CA4991				<u>.</u>	Pa	ge 9 o f 140
Date Submitted 7/6/20	012	Section 103		Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 1		Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Modifie Pending Review	ed				
<u>Comments</u>						,
General Comments	No	Alte	ernate Language	No		
Related Modifications						
Summary of Modificatio	n					
Update section 103	3 for items outside sco	pe of Commission	authority			
Rationale						
To remove provision	on of the foundation co	de that is outside th	he scope of the Com	nission authority		
Fiscal Impact Statement	t					
•	tity relative to enforce urrently used in 2010 c		s. being established			
	and property owners urrently used in 2010 c		•	de		
• •	relative to the cost of urrently used in 2010 c	•				
Requirements						
	and substantial conner from previous field tes			are of the general pub	olic	
•	proves the code, and from previous field tes	• •	•	, methods, or system	s of construction	
	nate against materials from previous field tes	•••	· •	nstruction of demons	strated capabilities	
Ū	the effectiveness of the from previous field test		be effective			
Is the proposed code modif	fication part of a prior co	de version?				
YES						
The provisions contained ir	n the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in	the applicable internat	ional code?		
NO			••			

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

----,

Carry code changes in accordance with the approved Commission code change process for the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

SECTION 103 DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING SAFETY

103.1 Creation of enforcement agency. The Department of Building Safety is hereby created and the official in charge thereof shall be known as the *building official*. **103.2 Appointment.** The *building official* shall be appointed by the chief appointing authority of the jurisdiction. **103.3 Deputies.** In accordance with the prescribed procedures of this jurisdiction and with the concurrence of the appointing authority, the *building official* shall have the authority to appoint a deputy building official, the related technical officers, inspectors, plan examiners and other employees. Such employees shall have powers as delegated by the *building official*. For the maintenance of existing properties, see the *International Property Maintenance Code*.

<u>RESERVED</u>

to Longuage ΑΙ

Proponent Ken Cureton Submitted 9/20/2012 Attachments Yes Rationale The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification. Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code Atternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code Atternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of cons	11 of 140	Page 1		<u>9/2012 - 09/23/2012</u>	08/0	nt Period History	<u>t Comme</u> i
The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification. Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES		Yes	Attachments	9/20/2012	Submitted	Ken Cureton	Proponent
adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification. Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES							Rationale
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES		,				uck-through language of the base co	adds the stru
Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Des not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Des not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Joes not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES					code	cal entity relative to enforcement o	Impact to lo
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES				I Mod only.	Driginal Proposed	Language is for Clarification to the	Alternate
 Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Des not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Des not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES 				liance with code	to cost of comp	ilding and property owners relativ	Impact to bu
 Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Des not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES 				Mod only.	Driginal Proposed	Language is for Clarification to the	Alternate
 Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES 					nce with code	ustry relative to the cost of compli	Impact to indu
 Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Des not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Des not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES 				d Mod only.		•	•
 Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES 				2	0 1	5	Requirements
 Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES 			general public	afety, and welfare of the	ith the health, sa	nable and substantial connection	Has a reaso
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES				Mod only.	Driginal Proposed	Language is for Clarification to the	Alternate
 Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES 		ction	s, or systems of constru	etter products, method	s equivalent or l	or improves the code, and provid	Strengthens
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES				•	•		
Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES		oilities	n of demonstrated capa	•		•	
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES				Mod only.	o .	0 0	
Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES						•	
YES				i Mod only.	onginal Proposed	Language is for Clarification to the	Alternate
					code version?	ed code modification part of a pric	Is the propose
The provisions contained in the proposed amondment are addressed in the applicable internetional code?							YES
The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO		code?	blicable international	addressed in the ap	mendment are	ons contained in the proposed	-

need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

YES

<u>1s</u>

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

st	Comment	Period History		08/09/2012	<u>- 09/23/2012</u>	
	Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No
-	Comment:					

Comment: 103 – The Commission has no authority to establish criteria for enforcement agencies. Administratively, the Commission's authority is limited in scope to certificate of occupancy, inspection, plans review and permitting.

Page: 1

Section 103 Department of Building Safety. Change to read as shown.

Section 103 Department of Building Safety <u>Reserved.</u>

SECTION 103 DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING SAFETY

103.1 Creation of enforcement agency. The Department of Building Safety is hereby created and the official in charge thereof shall be known as the *building official*.

103.2 Appointment. The *building official* shall be appointed by the chief appointing authority of the jurisdiction.

103.3 Deputies. In accordance with the prescribed procedures of this jurisdiction and with the concurrence of the appointing authority, the *building official* shall have the authority to appoint a deputy building official, the related technical officers, inspectors, plan examiners and other employees. Such employees shall have powers as delegated by the *building official*. For the maintenance of existing properties, see the *International Property Maintenance Code*.

RESERVED

CA5078		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page 1	14 o ⁸ 140
Date Submitted 7/11	1/2012	Section 105.10	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 1		Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Modi Pending Review	ified			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comments	No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modifications					
Summary of Modificati	ion				
To be consistent	with FL law and to imp	plement the Commission plan for upda	ating the 2010 code		
Rationale					
To be consistent	with the FL statute an	d to implement the Commission plan f	or updating the 2013 cod	e	
Fiscal Impact Statemer					
•	ntity relative to enfore sed under the 2010 co	cement of code de, no new rqts being established			
•	• • • •	rs relative to cost of compliance with de, no new rqts being established	n code		
•	•	of compliance with code de, no new rqts being established			
Requirements					
		nection with the health, safety, and we sted and proven to be effective	velfare of the general pu	blic	
•	•	d provides equivalent or better produces ested and proven to be effective	ucts, methods, or systen	ns of construction	
		als, products, methods, or systems of ested and proven to be effective	f construction of demon	strated capabilities	
•	e the effectiveness of er from previous field t	f the code tested and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed code mod	lification part of a prior	code version?			
YES	-				
The provisions contained	in the proposed amend	ment are addressed in the applicable inte	ernational code?		
	• •				

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the FL law and to implement the Commission plan for updating the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

105.10 Certificate of protective treatment for prevention of termites. Add text to read as shown.

<u>105.910 Certificate of protective treatment for prevention of termites.</u> A weather-resistant job-site posting board shall be provided to receive duplicate treatment certificates as each required protective treatment is completed, providing a copy for the person the permit is issued to and another copy for the building permit files. The treatment certificate shall provide the product used, identity of the applicator, time and date of the treatment, site location, area treated, chemical used, percent concentration and number of gallons used, to establish a verifiable record of protective treatment. If the soil chemical barrier method for termite prevention is used, final exterior treatment shall be completed prior to final building approval.

Page: 1

105.10 Certificate of protective treatment for prevention of termites. Add text to read as shown.

105.910 Certificate of protective treatment for prevention of termites. A weather-resistant job-site posting board shall be provided to receive duplicate treatment certificates as each required protective treatment is completed, providing a copy for the person the permit is issued to and another copy for the building permit files. The treatment certificate shall provide the product used, identity of the applicator, time and date of the treatment, site location, area treated, chemical used, percent concentration and number of gallons used, to establish a verifiable record of protective treatment. If the soil chemical barrier method for termite prevention is used, final exterior treatment shall be completed prior to final building approval.

CA5079						Page 17 of ⁴ 140
Date Submitted 7	/11/2012	Section 105.11		Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 1		Affects HVHZ No		Attachments	-	No
TAC Recommendatio	n Approved as Modifi	ed	•			
Commission Action	Pending Review					
<u>Comments</u>						
General Comments	No	Alternate	e Language	No		
Related Modification	ns					
Summary of Modific						
To be consiste	ent with FL law and to impl	ement the Commission p	lan for updating th	ne 2013 code		
Rationale						
	ent with the FL statute and	to implement the Commi	ission plan for upo	lating the 2013 code		
Fiscal Impact Staten		mont of code				
•	Il entity relative to enforce y used under 2010 code n		hed			
•	ding and property owners y used under 2010 code n	•		I Contraction of the second		
•	istry relative to the cost o y used under 2010 code n	•	hed			
Requirements						
Has a reasona	ible and substantial conn over from previous, field te	•	•	e of the general pub	lic	
•	r improves the code, and over from previous, field te	• •	• •	nethods, or system	s of construction	n
	riminate against materials	•••	•	struction of demons	trated capabilition	es
Does not degr	ade the effectiveness of to over from previous, field te	the code				
Is the proposed code n	nodification part of a prior co	ode version?				
YES	· ·					
The provisions contain	ned in the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in the ap	pplicable internation	nal code?		
NO						

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the FL Statute and to implement the commission plan for updating the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

<u>105.10</u> <u>11Notice of termite protection. Add text to read as shown.</u>

<u>105.10</u> <u>11</u> Notice of termite protection. A permanent sign which identifies the termite treatment provider and need for reinspection and treatment contract renewal shall be provided. The sign shall be posted near the water heater or electric panel.

Page: 1

$105.\underline{10}\ \underline{11} \mathrm{Notice}$ of termite protection. Add text to read as shown.

105.10 11 Notice of termite protection. A permanent sign which identifies the termite treatment provider and need for reinspection and treatment contract renewal shall be provided. The sign shall be posted near the water heater or electric panel.

CA5002						Page 20 o ⁵ 14	0
Date Submitted 7/6/2	.012	Section 105.5		Proponent	Joe Bigelov	v	
Chapter 1			lo	Attachments		No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Modifi Pending Review	ed					
<u>Comments</u>							,
General Comments	No	Alterna	te Language	No			
Related Modifications							
Summary of Modification	on						
Reserve 105.5							
Rationale							
		mplement the Commiss	ion plan for updati	ng the 2013 Code			
Fiscal Impact Statemen							
•	ntity relative to enforce In previous code edition	on. No new reqts being	established				
		relative to cost of con on. No new reqts being	•	e			
-	-	f compliance with code on. No new reqts being					
Requirements							
		ection with the health, sted and proven to be e	-	e of the general put	olic		
•	•	provides equivalent of sted and proven to be e	• •	methods, or system	is of construct	ion	
	•	s, products, methods, o sted and proven to be e	•	struction of demons	strated capabil	ities	
•	e the effectiveness of the refrectiveness of	the code sted and proven to be e	effective				
Is the proposed code mod							
YES							
The provisions contained i	in the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in the	applicable internation	onal code?			
NO							

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

in accordance with Commission plan to update the 2013 FBC

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

105.5 Expiration. Every *permit* issued shall become invalid unless the work on the site authorized by such *permit* is commenced within 180 days after its issuance, or if the work authorized on the site by such *permit* is suspended or abandoned for a period of 180 days after the time the work is commenced. The *building official* is authorized to grant, in writing, one or more extensions of time, for periods not more than 180 days each. The extension shall be requested in writing and justifiable cause demonstrated. Reserved.

moto Longuoga AI

rnate Lang	luage				
t Comme	ent Period History	<u>08/0</u>	9/2012 - 09/23/201	2	Page 22 of 140
Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/20/2012	Attachments	Yes
•		Cusinitiou	0/20/2012		
Rationale					· .·
				original proposed Code Modif he subject of the Proposed M	
Fiscal Impac		base coue that applies to		le subject of the Proposed M	ounication.
•	ocal entity relative to enforc	ement of code			
•	e Language is for Clarificatio		Mod only.		
	uilding and property owner	0 1	,		
Alternate	e Language is for Clarificatio	n to the Original Proposed	Mod only.		
luunaat ta ina	lucture valative to the cost of	e e mulience with code	·		
•	lustry relative to the cost of	•	Mad anly		
Requirement	e Language is for Clarificatio	in to the Original Proposed			
-	onable and substantial conr	action with the health s	foty and wolfare of	the general public	
			•	the general public	
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction					
•	e Language is for Clarificatio	• •	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Does not di	iscriminate against material	s, products, methods, or	systems of constru	ction of demonstrated capal	pilities
Alternate	e Language is for Clarificatio	n to the Original Proposed	Mod only.		
Does not de	egrade the effectiveness of	the code			
Alternate	e Language is for Clarificatio	n to the Original Proposed	I Mod only.		
Is the propos	sed code modification part	of a prior code version?			
YES					
The provisi	ons contained in the pro	posed amendment are	addressed in the	applicable international	code?
NO	•				
The amend	ment demonstrates by e	vidence or data that th	e geographical ju	risdiction of Florida exihi	bits a

need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

1st Commen	t Period History	/	08/09/20	<u> 12 - 09/23/2012</u>		
Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No	

Comment: CA5002-G1

The subject of section 105.5 is covered under mod 5001.

5002_A1

Page: 1

105.5 Expiration. Reserved

	22/12/2012
2013	22/12/2012 Triennial

Page 24 of 140

Page: 1

105.5 Expiration. Every *permit* issued shall become invalid unless the work on the site authorized by such *permit* is commenced within 180 days after its issuance, or if the work authorized on the site by such *permit* is suspended or abandoned for a period of 180 days after the time the work is commenced. The *building official* is authorized to grant, in writing, one or more extensions of time, for periods not more than 180 days each. The extension shall be requested in writing and justifiable cause demonstrated. <u>Reserved.</u>

CA5022			Page 25 of	S140
Date Submitted 7/9/2012	Section 105.9	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 1	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC RecommendationApproved as ModilCommission ActionPending Review	ied			
<u>Comments</u>				
General Comments No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modifications				
Summary of Modification				
fix numbering and to be consistent with	fl law and to implement the Commission p	lan for the 2013 code	9	
Rationale				
To be consistent with the FL statute and	to implement the Commission plan for up	dating the 2013 code	9	
Fiscal Impact Statement				
Impact to local entity relative to enforce				
Currently used under 2010 code,	no new requirements being established			
	s relative to cost of compliance with cod no new requirements being established	e		
Impact to industry relative to the cost	•			
Currently used under 2010 code,	no new requirements being established			
Requirements				
	nection with the health, safety, and welfa	re of the general pub	olic	
Carried over from previous, field t	•			
••••	d provides equivalent or better products,	methods, or system	is of construction	
Carried over from previous, field t	•			
Does not discriminate against materia Carried over from previous, field t	Is, products, methods, or systems of con	struction of demons	strated capabilities	
Does not degrade the effectiveness of	•			
Carried over from previous, field				
Is the proposed code modification part of a prior of	•			
YES				

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

to be consistent with fl law and to implement the commission plan for updating the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

105.9 Asbestos. Add text to read as shown.

105.89 Asbestos. The enforcing agency shall require each building permit for the demolition or renovation of an existing structure to contain an asbestos notification statement which indicates the owner's or operator's responsibility to comply with the provisions of Section 469.003, *Florida Statutes*, and to notify the Department of Environmental Protection of his or her intentions to remove asbestos, when applicable, in accordance with state and federal law.

Page: 1

105.89 Asbestos. The enforcing agency shall require each building permit for the demolition or renovation of an existing structure to contain an asbestos notification statement which indicates the owner's or operator's responsibility to comply with the provisions of Section 469.003, Florida Statutes, and to notify the Department of Environmental Protection of his or her intentions to remove asbestos, when applicable, in accordance with state and federal law.

CA5091				Page 28 of 140	
Date Submitted	7/11/2012	Section 109.5	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter	1	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommenda Commission Action		ed			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comments	s No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modificat	ions				
Summary of Modi	ification				
To be consis	stent with Florida Statute and	I to implement the Commission plan to	update the 2013 code		
Rationale					
TO be consi	stent with Florida statute and	I to implement the Commission plan to	update the 2013 code		
Fiscal Impact Stat					
•	ntly used under 2010 code , i	ement of code no new requirements being established	I		
•	• • • •	relative to cost of compliance with contract on new requirements being established			
•	ndustry relative to the cost on the cost on the cost on the cost on the state of th	f compliance with code no new requirements being established	I		
Requirements					
		ection with the health, safety, and wel sted and proven to be effective	fare of the general pub	lic	
-		provides equivalent or better product sted and proven to be effective	ts, methods, or system	s of construction	
	•	s, products, methods, or systems of c sted and proven to be effective	onstruction of demons	trated capabilities	
Does not de	egrade the effectiveness of t	•			
	le modification part of a prior co	•			
YES					
The provisions cont	ained in the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in the applicable intern	ational code?		

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with Florida Law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

109.5 Related fees. The payment of the fee for the construction, *alteration*, removal or demolition for work done in connection to or concurrently with the work authorized by a building *permit* shall not relieve the applicant or holder of the *permit* from the payment of other fees that are prescribed by law.

Reserved.

moto Longuoga AI

t Comme	uage nt Period History	08/0	9/2012 - 09/23/2012		Page 30 of 140
Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/20/2012	Attachments	Yes
Rationale					
The propose	d Alternate Language attached uck-through language of the ba			• • •	
Impact to lo	cal entity relative to enforcem	ent of code			
Alternate	Language is for Clarification to	the Original Propose	d Mod only.		
Impact to bu	ilding and property owners re	elative to cost of com	pliance with code		
Impact to lo Alternate Impact to bu Alternate	Language is for Clarification to	the Original Propose	d Mod only.		
Impact to ind Alternate Requirement Has a reaso Alternate Strengthens Alternate Does not dis Alternate Does not de	ustry relative to the cost of co Language is for Clarification to s nable and substantial connec Language is for Clarification to or improves the code, and pu Language is for Clarification to scriminate against materials, p Language is for Clarification to grade the effectiveness of the Language is for Clarification to	tion with the health, s to the Original Propose to the Original Propose tovides equivalent or the Original Propose products, methods, or the Original Propose to the Original Propose	afety, and welfare of th d Mod only. better products, metho d Mod only. • systems of construct d Mod only.	ods, or systems of constru	
ls the propos YES	ed code modification part of a	prior code version?			
The provision	ons contained in the propo	sed amendment are	e addressed in the a	pplicable international	code?

need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

1st Comment Period History 08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012						
	Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No
<u> </u>	Comment:		antablick "related f	"		
	The Commissi	on has no authority to	establish "related fo	ees".		
CA5091						
2						

109.5 Related fees. Change text to read as shown.

109.5 Related fees. <u>Reserved.</u>

Page 32 of 140

Page: 1

109.5 Related fees. The payment of the fee for the construction, *alteration*, removal or demolition for work done in connection to or concurrently with the work authorized by a building *permit* shall not relieve the applicant or holder of the *permit* from the payment of other fees that are prescribed by law.

<u>Reserved.</u>

CA5092				Page 33 c	o ⁸ 140
Date Submitted 7/1	1/2012	Section 109.6	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 1		Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Modifi Pending Review	ed			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comments	No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modifications					
Summary of Modificat	ion				
To be consistent	with the Florida Statute	s and to implement the Commission p	lan to update the 2013 C	Code	
Rationale					
To be consistent	with Florida Statute and	t to implement the Commission plan to	o update the 2013 code		
Fiscal Impact Stateme	ent				
•	entity relative to enforce used under the 2010 coo	ement of code le, no new requirements being establis	shed		
•	• • • •	s relative to cost of compliance with on the second s			
•	•	of compliance with code de, no new requirements being establis	shed		
Requirements					
Has a reasonabl		ection with the health, safety, and we ested and proven to be effective	elfare of the general pub	lic	
•	•	provides equivalent or better producested and proven to be effective	cts, methods, or system	s of construction	
	•	s, products, methods, or systems of ested and proven to be effective	construction of demons	trated capabilities	
	de the effectiveness of the four from previous, field to	the code ested and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed code mo	dification part of a prior co	ode version?			
YES					
The provisions contained	l in the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in the applicable inter	national code?		
NO					

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with FLorida law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

109.6 Refunds. The *building official* is authorized to establish a refund policy. <u>Reserved.</u>

Α

Alternate Language									
<u>1s</u>	t Commei	nt Period History	08/0	9/2012 - 09/23/201	2	Page 35 of 140			
	Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/20/2012	Attachments	Yes			
	Rationale								
	The propose	d Alternate Language attached I	nerein does not chan	ge the scope of the o	original proposed Code Modifi	ication, but			
adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification.									
~	Fiscal Impact	cal entity relative to enforceme	at of oodo						
Ā	•	Language is for Clarification to t		d Mod only					
ά		ilding and property owners rela	0 1	,					
5092-A1	•	Language is for Clarification to t	•						
5	Imment to indi	under a valiative to the east of some	unlianaa with aada	,					
	•	ustry relative to the cost of com	•	d Mod only					
	Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements								
	•	nable and substantial connection	on with the health, s	afety, and welfare of	f the general public				
		Language is for Clarification to t		• ·	die general passie				
	Strengthens	or improves the code, and pro	vides equivalent or l	better products, met	hods, or systems of constru	ction			
	Alternate	Language is for Clarification to t	he Original Proposed	d Mod only.	-				
	Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities								
		Language is for Clarification to t	e 1	d Mod only.					
	Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code								
	Alternate	Language is for Clarification to t	he Original Proposed	d Mod only.					
	Is the propose	ed code modification part of a p	rior code version?						
	YES								
	The provision NO	ons contained in the propos	ed amendment are	e addressed in the	applicable international	code?			

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state? YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

Page: 1

109.6 Refunds. Change text to read as shown.

109.6 Refunds. <u>Reserved.</u>

109.6 Refunds. The building official is authorized to establish a refund policy. <u>Reserved.</u>

Page: 1

CA5096				Page	38 o ⁹ 140
Date Submitted 7	7/12/2012	Section 110.3.4	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter	1	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation	on Approved as Modifi	ed			
Commission Action	Pending Review				
Comments					
General Comments	No	Alternate Langua	nge No		
Related Modificatio	ons				
Summary of Modifi					
To be consiste	ent with Florida Law and to	implement the Commission plan	to update the 2013 Code		
Rationale	opt with Florida Low and to	implement the Commission plan	to undate the 2012 and		
		implement the Commission plan	to update the 2013 code		
Fiscal Impact State		amont of oods			
•	al entity relative to enforce ly used under the 2010 code	de, no new reqts. being establishe	ed.		
		s relative to cost of compliance v de, no new regts. being establishe			
	ustry relative to the cost of				
•	•	de, no new reqts. being establishe	ed.		
Requirements					
		ection with the health, safety, an ested and proven to be effective.	d welfare of the general put	blic	
•	•	I provides equivalent or better pr ested and proven to be effective.	oducts, methods, or system	ns of construction	
Does not disc	criminate against material	s, products, methods, or system	s of construction of demons	strated capabilities	
Carried	over from previous, field te	ested and proven to be effective.			
•	rade the effectiveness of				
	•	ested and proven to be effective.			
• •	modification part of a prior c	ode version?			
YES					
The provisions contai	ned in the proposed amendm	nent are addressed in the applicable	international code?		
NO					

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with Florida statutes and to implement the Commission plan to updat ehe 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

110.4 Inspection agencies. The *building official* is authorized to accept reports of *approved* inspection agencies, provided such agencies satisfy the requirements as to qualifications and reliability.<u>Reserved.</u>

moto Longuoga Aľ

Proponent Ken Cureton Submitted 9/20/2012 Attachments Yes Rationale The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification. Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Bes not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate	<u>Commen</u>	nt Period History	<u>08/0</u>	9/2012 - 09/23/2012		Page 40 of 140
The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification. Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	roponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/20/2012	Attachments	Yes
adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification. Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES	Rationale					
adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification. Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES	The proposed	Alternate Language attached h	erein does not chan	ge the scope of the orig	inal proposed Code Mod	ification, but
Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	adds the struc	ck-through language of the base	code that applies to	the section that is the	subject of the Proposed N	Iodification.
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	iscal Impact	Statement				
Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	Impact to loc	al entity relative to enforcement	t of code			
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of constructed capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	Alternate I	∟anguage is for Clarification to th	e Original Propose	d Mod only.		
Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	Impact to bui	Iding and property owners relat	tive to cost of com	bliance with code		
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	Alternate I	Language is for Clarification to th	e Original Propose	d Mod only.		
Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Des not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Des not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	npact to indu	stry relative to the cost of com	liance with code			
 Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Des not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Des not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Des not degrade code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? 	Alternate	Language is for Clarification to th	ne Original Propose	d Mod only.		
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	Requirements					
 Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? 	Has a reason	able and substantial connection	n with the health, s	afety, and welfare of th	e general public	
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	Alternate I	Language is for Clarification to th	e Original Propose	d Mod only.		
 Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? 	Strengthens of	or improves the code, and prov	ides equivalent or	better products, metho	ds, or systems of constr	uction
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	Alternate I	Language is for Clarification to th	e Original Propose	d Mod only.		
Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	Does not disc	criminate against materials, pro	ducts, methods, or	systems of construction	on of demonstrated capa	bilities
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?		0 0	0 1	d Mod only.		
Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	0	•				
YES The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	Alternate I	Language is for Clarification to the	e Original Propose	d Mod only.		
The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code?	the propose	d code modification part of a pr	ior code version?			
	YES					
NO	he provisio	ns contained in the propose	d amendment are	addressed in the ap	oplicable international	code?
	NO				-	

need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

t Commen	t Period Histo	ory	08/09/2012	- 09/23/2012	
Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No
. .					
	ion has no authority to	o ostablish critoria fo	r accontable inspec	tion agoncios	
	ion has no authority to	o establish criteria fo	r acceptable inspec	tion agencies.	
The Commiss	ion has no authority to	o establish criteria fo	r acceptable inspec	tion agencies.	
	ion has no authority to	o establish criteria fo	or acceptable inspec	tion agencies.	

110.4 Inspection agencies. Change to read as shown.

110.4 Inspection agencies. <u>Reserved.</u>

Page 42 of 140

110.4 Inspection agencies. The *building official* is authorized to accept reports of *approved* inspection agencies, provided such agencies satisfy the requirements as to qualifications and reliability.<u>Reserved.</u>

Page: 1

CA5100			Page 43 30140	
Date Submitted 7/12/2012	Section 113	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 1	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	_
TAC RecommendationApproved as ModifieCommission ActionPending Review	ed			
<u>Comments</u>				
General Comments No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modifications				
Summary of Modification				
To be consistent with the Florida Statutes	and to implement the Commission plan	to update the 2013 C	Code	
Rationale To be consistent with the Florida Statutes	and to implement the Commission plan	to undate the 2013 (ode.	
Fiscal Impact Statement			June	
Impact to local entity relative to enforce	ment of code			
	le, no new requirements being establishe	ed		
Impact to building and property owners Currently used under the 2010 Cod	relative to cost of compliance with coole, no new requirements being established			
Impact to industry relative to the cost of Currently used under the 2010 Cod	f compliance with code le, no new requirements being establishe	ed		
Requirements				
Has a reasonable and substantial conne Carried over from the previous, field		re of the general pub	lic	
Strengthens or improves the code, and Carried over from the previous, field		, methods, or system	s of construction	
Does not discriminate against materials Carried over from the previous, field	, products, methods, or systems of cor	nstruction of demons	trated capabilities	
Does not degrade the effectiveness of t				
Carried over from the previous, fiel	d tested and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed code modification part of a prior co	de version?			
YES				
_	ent are addressed in the applicable internati	anal aada2		

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

SECTION 113 BOARD OF APPEALS

113.1 General. In order to hear and decide appeals of orders, decisions or determinations made by the *building official* relative to the application and interpretation of this code, there shall be and is hereby created a board of appeals. The board of appeals shall be appointed by the applicable governing authority and shall hold office at its pleasure. The board shall adopt rules of procedure for conducting its business.

113.2 Limitations on authority. An application for appeal shall be based on a claim that the true intent of this code or the rules legally adopted thereunder have been incorrectly interpreted, the provisions of this code do not fully apply or an equally good or better form of construction is proposed. The board shall have no authority to waive requirements of this code.

113.3 Qualifications. The board of appeals shall consist of members who are qualified by experience and training to pass on matters pertaining to building construction and are not employees of the jurisdiction.

<u>RESERVED</u>

moto Longuoga Aľ

i	Comment	t Period History	<u>08/09/</u> ;	2012 - 09/23/2012		Page 45 of 140
	Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/20/2012	Attachments	Yes
	Rationale					
	adds the struck Fiscal Impact S Impact to loca	Alternate Language attached hereir k-through language of the base code tatement I entity relative to enforcement of c anguage is for Clarification to the Or	e that applies to th	ne section that is the subject	•	,
	Impact to build	ding and property owners relative t	to cost of complia	ance with code		
	Alternate La	anguage is for Clarification to the Or	riginal Proposed N	lod only.		
	Alternate L Requirements Has a reasona Alternate La Strengthens o Alternate La Does not discu Alternate La Does not degr	try relative to the cost of complian anguage is for Clarification to the O ble and substantial connection with anguage is for Clarification to the Ou r improves the code, and provides anguage is for Clarification to the Ou riminate against materials, product anguage is for Clarification to the Ou ade the effectiveness of the code anguage is for Clarification to the Ou	riginal Proposed I th the health, safe riginal Proposed M equivalent or be riginal Proposed M ts, methods, or s riginal Proposed M	ety, and welfare of the gene Mod only. Itter products, methods, or Mod only. Instems of construction of a Mod only.	systems of constru	
	ls the proposed YES	code modification part of a prior o	code version?			
	The provision NO	s contained in the proposed ar	nendment are a	ddressed in the applica	ble international of	code?

need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state? YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

onent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments No	
ment:					
nt:					
issi	on has no authority to	o establish provision	s for establishing "E	oard of Appeals".	
on has no aut	nonty to	b establish provision		bard of Appeals .	

CA5100 Text Modification

Page: 1

Section 113 Board of Appeals. Change to read as shown.

Section 113 Board of Appeals. <u>Reserved.</u>

SECTION 113 BOARD OF APPEALS

Page:

113.1 General. In order to hear and decide appeals of orders, decisions or determinations made by the *building official* relative to the application and interpretation of this code, there shall be and is hereby created a board of appeals. The board of appeals shall be appointed by the applicable governing authority and shall hold office at its pleasure. The board shall adopt rules of procedure for conducting its business.

113.2 Limitations on authority. An application for appeal shall be based on a claim that the true intent of this code or the rules legally adopted thereunder have been incorrectly interpreted, the provisions of this code do not fully apply or an equally good or better form of construction is proposed. The board shall have no authority to waive requirements of this code.

113.3 Qualifications. The board of appeals shall consist of members who are qualified by experience and training to pass on matters pertaining to building construction and are not employees of the jurisdiction.

RESERVED

CA5101				Page 48 0	f ¹ 140
Date Submitted	7/12/2012	Section 114	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter	1	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommen Commission Act	••	ed			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comme	nts No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modifie	cations				
Summary of M	odification				
•		es and to implement the Commission plar	n to update the 2013 C	ode	
Rationale			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
To be cor	nsistent with the Florida Statute	es and to implement the Commission plan	n to update the 2013 C	ode	
Fiscal Impact S	Statement				
•	local entity relative to enforc				
		de, no new requirements being establish			
•		s relative to cost of compliance with co de, no new requirements being establish			
	industry relative to the cost of				
Cui	rrently used under the 2010 Co	de, no new requirements being establish	ed		
Requirements					
		ection with the health, safety, and welfa Id tested and proven to be effective	are of the general publ	ic	
-		I provides equivalent or better products Id tested and proven to be effective	s, methods, or systems	s of construction	
	•	s, products, methods, or systems of co Id tested and proven to be effective	nstruction of demonst	rated capabilities	
	degrade the effectiveness of rried over from the previous, fie	the code Ind tested and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed o	ode modification part of a prior c	ode version?			
YES					

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

SECTION 114 VIOLATIONS

114.1 Unlawful acts. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to erect, construct, alter, extend, repair, move, remove, demolish or occupy any building, structure or equipment regulated by this code, or cause same to be done, in conflict with or in violation of any of the provisions of this code.

114.2 Notice of violation. The *building official* is authorized to serve a notice of violation or order on the person responsible for the erection, construction, *alteration*, extension, repair, moving, removal, demolition or occupancy of a building or structure in violation of the provisions of this code, or in violation of a *permit* or certificate issued under the provisions of this code. Such order shall direct the discontinuance of the illegal action or condition and the abatement of the violation.

114.3 Prosecution of violation. If the notice of violation is not complied with promptly, the *building official* is authorized to request the legal counsel of the jurisdiction to institute the appropriate proceeding at law or in equity to restrain, correct or abate such violation, or to require the removal or termination of the unlawful occupancy of the building or structure in violation of the provisions of this code or of the order or direction made pursuant thereto.

114.4 Violation penalties. Any person who violates a provision of this code or fails to comply with any of the requirements thereof or who erects, constructs, alters or repairs a building or structure in violation of the *approved construction documents* or directive of the *building official*, or of a *permit* or certificate issued under the provisions of this code, shall be subject to penalties as prescribed by law.

RESERVED

rnato Languago Aľ

lter	nate Langu	lage				
1s 1	t Commen	nt Period History	<u>08/09</u>	9/2012 - 09/23/2012	2	Page 50 of 140
T	Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/20/2012	Attachments	Yes
	Rationale					
101-A1	The proposed adds the struct Fiscal Impact of Impact to loc Alternate I Impact to bui Alternate I Impact to indu Alternate Requirements Has a reason Alternate I Strengthens	al entity relative to enforcement Language is for Clarification to the ilding and property owners relati Language is for Clarification to the stry relative to the cost of comp Language is for Clarification to the mable and substantial connection Language is for Clarification to the or improves the code, and provi	code that applies to of code e Original Proposed ive to cost of comp e Original Proposed liance with code e Original Proposed with the health, sa e Original Proposed des equivalent or b	the section that is the Mod only. Mod only. Mod only. Mod only. Mod only. Mod only. Mod only.	e subject of the Proposed Me the general public	odification.
		Language is for Clarification to the criminate against materials, proc	•	•	tion of demonstrated canal	nilities
		Language is for Clarification to the		-		Jintes
	-	rade the effectiveness of the con Language is for Clarification to the		Mod only.		
	Is the propose YES	d code modification part of a pri	or code version?			
	The provision NO	ns contained in the proposed	l amendment are	addressed in the	applicable international	code?
		ent demonstrates by evidence				

need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

Commen	t Period Hist	ory	08/09/2012	2 - 09/23/2012	
Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No
Commont:					

<u>1st</u>

Comment: The Commission has no authority to establish provisions for code violations. Also, see 53.80(7) FS.

Section 114 Violations. <u>Reserved.</u>

SECTION 114 VIOLATIONS

114.1 Unlawful acts. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to erect, construct, alter, extend, repair, move, remove, demolish or occupy any building, structure or equipment regulated by this code, or cause same to be done, in conflict with or in violation of any of the provisions of this code.

114.2 Notice of violation. The *building official* is authorized to serve a notice of violation or order on the person responsible for the erection, construction, *alteration*, extension, repair, moving, removal, demolition or occupancy of a building or structure in violation of the provisions of this code, or in violation of a *permit* or certificate issued under the provisions of this code. Such order shall direct the discontinuance of the illegal action or condition and the abatement of the violation.

114.3 Prosecution of violation. If the notice of violation is not complied with promptly, the *building official* is authorized to request the legal counsel of the jurisdiction to institute the appropriate proceeding at law or in equity to restrain, correct or abate such violation, or to require the removal or termination of the unlawful occupancy of the building or structure in violation of the provisions of this code or of the order or direction made pursuant thereto.

114.4 Violation penalties. Any person who violates a provision of this code or fails to comply with any of the requirements thereof or who erects, constructs, alters or repairs a building or structure in violation of the *approved construction documents* or directive of the *building official*, or of a *permit* or certificate issued under the provisions of this code, shall be subject to penalties as prescribed by law.

<u>RESERVED</u>

CA5103				Page 53 0 2	140
Date Submitted	7/12/2012	Section 116	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter	1	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recomment Commission Act		ed			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comme	nts No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modific	cations				
Summary of Mo	odification				
To be con	sistent with the Florida Statute	s and to implement the Commission plan	to update the 2013 C	Code	
Rationale					
		s and to implement the Commission plan	to update the 2013 C	ode	
Fiscal Impact S					
•	local entity relative to enforce rently used under the 2010 Co	ement of code de, no new requirements being establish	ed		
•		s relative to cost of compliance with coo de, no new requirements being establish			
•	industry relative to the cost of rently used under the 2010 Co	of compliance with code de, no new requirements being establish	ed		
Requirements					
		ection with the health, safety, and welfa Id tested and proven to be effective	re of the general pub	lic	
		provides equivalent or better products Id tested and proven to be effective	, methods, or system	s of construction	
	-	s, products, methods, or systems of con Id tested and proven to be effective	nstruction of demons	trated capabilities	
	degrade the effectiveness of				
Car	rried over from the previous, fie	ld tested and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed c	ode modification part of a prior c	ode version?			
YES					

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

SECTION 116 UNSAFE STRUCTURES AND EQUIPMENT

116.1 Conditions. Structures or existing equipment that are or hereafter become unsafe, insanitary or deficient because of inadequate *means of egress* facilities, inadequate light and ventilation, or which constitute a fire hazard, or are otherwise dangerous to human life or the public welfare, or that involve illegal or improper occupancy or inadequate maintenance, shall be deemed an unsafe condition. Unsafe structures shall be taken down and removed or made safe, as the *building official* deems necessary and as provided for in this section. A vacant structure that is not secured against entry shall be deemed unsafe.

116.2 Record. The *building official* shall cause a report to be filed on an unsafe condition. The report shall state the occupancy of the structure and the nature of the unsafe condition.

116.3 Notice. If an unsafe condition is found, the *building official* shall serve on the owner, agent or person in control of the structure, a written notice that describes the condition deemed unsafe and specifies the required repairs or improvements to be made to abate the unsafe condition, or that requires the unsafe structure to be demolished within a stipulated time. Such notice shall require the person thus notified to declare immediately to the *building official* acceptance or rejection of the terms of the order.

116.4 Method of service. Such notice shall be deemed properly served if a copy thereof is (a) delivered to the owner personally; (b) sent by certified or registered mail addressed to the owner at the last known address with the return receipt requested; or (c) delivered in any other manner as prescribed by local law. If the certified or registered letter is returned showing that the letter was not delivered, a copy thereof shall be posted in a conspicuous place in or about the structure affected by such notice. Service of such notice in the foregoing manner upon the owner's agent or upon the person responsible for the structure shall constitute service of notice upon the owner.

116.5 Restoration. The structure or equipment determined to be unsafe by the *building official* is permitted to be restored to a safe condition. To the extent that repairs, *alterations* or *additions* are made or a change of occupancy occurs during the restoration of the structure, such repairs, *alterations, additions* or change of occupancy shall comply with the requirements of Section 105.2.2 and <u>Chapter 34</u>.

RESERVED

moto I onguoga Aľ

onale proposed s the struc al Impact S act to loca Alternate L act to buil Alternate L ct to indus Alternate L irements	ck-through language of Statement al entity relative to er Language is for Clarifi Iding and property or Language is for Clarifi stry relative to the co Language is for Clarifi	of the base cod nforcement of cation to the O wners relative cation to the O ost of compliar	de that applies to code original Proposed to cost of comp original Proposed nce with code	the section that is th Mod only. Iliance with code Mod only.	Attachments original proposed Code Mod ne subject of the Proposed N	<i>'</i>
proposed s the struct al Impact S act to loca Alternate L act to buil Alternate L ct to indus Alternate L irements	ck-through language of Statement al entity relative to er Language is for Clarifi Iding and property or Language is for Clarifi stry relative to the co Language is for Clarifi	of the base cod nforcement of cation to the O wners relative cation to the O ost of compliar	de that applies to code original Proposed to cost of comp original Proposed nce with code	the section that is th Mod only. Iliance with code Mod only.	0 1 1	<i>'</i>
proposed s the struct al Impact S act to loca Alternate L act to buil Alternate L ct to indus Alternate L irements	ck-through language of Statement al entity relative to er Language is for Clarifi Iding and property or Language is for Clarifi stry relative to the co Language is for Clarifi	of the base cod nforcement of cation to the O wners relative cation to the O ost of compliar	de that applies to code original Proposed to cost of comp original Proposed nce with code	the section that is th Mod only. Iliance with code Mod only.	0 1 1	<i>'</i>
s the struct al Impact S act to loca Alternate L act to buil Alternate L ct to indus Alternate L iirements	ck-through language of Statement al entity relative to er Language is for Clarifi Iding and property or Language is for Clarifi stry relative to the co Language is for Clarifi	of the base cod nforcement of cation to the O wners relative cation to the O ost of compliar	de that applies to code original Proposed to cost of comp original Proposed nce with code	the section that is th Mod only. Iliance with code Mod only.	0 1 1	<i>'</i>
act to loca Alternate L act to buil Alternate L ct to indus Alternate L iirements	al entity relative to er Language is for Clarifi Iding and property or Language is for Clarifi stry relative to the co Language is for Clarifi	cation to the O wners relative cation to the O ost of compliar	original Proposed to cost of comp original Proposed nce with code	liance with code Mod only.		
Alternate L act to buil Alternate L ct to indus Alternate L iirements	Language is for Clarifi Iding and property of Language is for Clarifi stry relative to the co Language is for Clarifi	cation to the O wners relative cation to the O ost of compliar	original Proposed to cost of comp original Proposed nce with code	liance with code Mod only.		
act to buil Alternate L ct to indus Alternate L iirements	Iding and property or Language is for Clarifi stry relative to the co Language is for Clarifi	wners relative cation to the O ost of compliar	to cost of comp original Proposed	liance with code Mod only.		
Alternate L ct to indus Alternate L iirements	anguage is for Clarifi stry relative to the co Language is for Clarifi	cation to the O ost of compliar	original Proposed	l Mod only.		
ct to indus Alternate L iirements	stry relative to the co Language is for Clarifi	ost of compliar	nce with code			
Alternate L iirements	Language is for Clarif	•		d Mod only.		
irements	0 0	ication to the C	Driginal Proposed	d Mod only.		
aroscon						
a reasona	able and substantial	connection wi	ith the health, sa	afety, and welfare of	the general public	
Alternate L	anguage is for Clarifi	cation to the O	riginal Proposed	Mod only.		
ngthens o	or improves the code	e, and provides	s equivalent or b	etter products, meth	hods, or systems of constr	ruction
Alternate L	anguage is for Clarifi	cation to the O	riginal Proposed	I Mod only.		
	•	•		•	ction of demonstrated cap	abilities
	0 0		riginal Proposed	Mod only.		
Alternate L	anguage is for Clarifi	cation to the O	riginal Proposed	I Mod only.		
proposed	d code modification	part of a prior	code version?			
S						
provisior	ns contained in the	proposed a	mendment are	addressed in the	applicable internationa	I code?
	es not deg Alternate L e propose S	es not degrade the effectivenes Alternate Language is for Clarifi e proposed code modification S provisions contained in the	es not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the C e proposed code modification part of a prior S provisions contained in the proposed a	es not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed e proposed code modification part of a prior code version? S provisions contained in the proposed amendment are	Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. e proposed code modification part of a prior code version? S provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the	es not degrade the effectiveness of the code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only. e proposed code modification part of a prior code version? S provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable internationa

need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

Comment Period History	y	<u>08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012</u>	
Proponent Ken Cureton	Submitted 9/2	1/2012 Attachments	No
Comment:	oublinited 0/2	Attachments	

5102-**0**2

Section 116 Unsafe Structures And Equipment. Change to read as shown.

Section 116 Unsafe Structures And Equipment. <u>Reserved.</u>

SECTION 116 UNSAFE STRUCTURES AND EQUIPMENT

Page:

116.1 Conditions. Structures or existing equipment that are or hereafter become unsafe, insanitary or deficient because of inadequate *means of egress* facilities, inadequate light and ventilation, or which constitute a fire hazard, or are otherwise dangerous to human life or the public welfare, or that involve illegal or improper occupancy or inadequate maintenance, shall be deemed an unsafe condition. Unsafe structures shall be taken down and removed or made safe, as the *building official* deems necessary and as provided for in this section. A vacant structure that is not secured against entry shall be deemed unsafe.

116.2 Record. The *building official* shall cause a report to be filed on an unsafe condition. The report shall state the occupancy of the structure and the nature of the unsafe condition.

116.3 Notice. If an unsafe condition is found, the *building official* shall serve on the owner, agent or person in control of the structure, a written notice that describes the condition deemed unsafe and specifies the required repairs or improvements to be made to abate the unsafe condition, or that requires the unsafe structure to be demolished within a stipulated time. Such notice shall require the person thus notified to declare immediately to the *building official* acceptance or rejection of the terms of the order.

116.4 Method of service. Such notice shall be deemed properly served if a copy thereof is (a) delivered to the owner personally; (b) sent by certified or registered mail addressed to the owner at the last known address with the return receipt requested; or (c) delivered in any other manner as prescribed by local law. If the certified or registered letter is returned showing that the letter was not delivered, a copy thereof shall be posted in a conspicuous place in or about the structure affected by such notice. Service of such notice in the foregoing manner upon the owner's agent or upon the person responsible for the structure shall constitute service of notice upon the owner.

116.5 Restoration. The structure or equipment determined to be unsafe by the *building official* is permitted to be restored to a safe condition. To the extent that repairs, *alterations* or *additions* are made or a change of occupancy occurs during the restoration of the structure, such repairs, *alterations, additions* or change of occupancy shall comply with the requirements of Section 105.2.2 and <u>Chapter 34</u>.

RESERVED

TAC: Code Administration

Total Mods for Code Administration in Approved as Submitted: 14

Total Mods for report: 38

Sub Code: Building

CA4986	······		Page 59 0 ³	140
Date Submitted 7/6/2012	Section 102.1.1	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 1	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
	as Submitted			
Commission Action Pending	Review			
<u>Comments</u>				
General Comments No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modifications				
Summary of Madification				
Summary of Modification amend section 102.1.1 in acco	ordance with ES			
Rationale				
	rida Building Code in accordance with the Florid	a Statutes and to also im	plement the Florida Building	
Commission approved proces	s for the 2013 Florida Buillding Code.			
Fiscal Impact Statement				
Impact to local entity relative	to enforcement of code nder 2010 code. No new reg. being established	1		
,	rty owners relative to cost of compliance with			
	nder 2010 code. No new req. being established			
Impact to industry relative to	the cost of compliance with code			
None. Currently used u	nder 2010 code. No new req. being established	1		
Requirements				
Has a reasonable and substa	ntial connection with the health, safety, and w	elfare of the general put	lic	
•	ous code. Field tested and proven to be effective			
	code, and provides equivalent or better produces of the state of the s	-	s of construction	
•	t materials, products, methods, or systems of		strated canabilities	
	bus code. Field tested and proven to be effective		aled capabilities	
Does not degrade the effectiv				
Does not degrade, Carr	ied over from previous code. Field tested and p	proven to be effective.		
Is the proposed code modification part	of a prior code version?			
YES				
The provisions contained in the propos	ed amendment are addressed in the applicable inter	rnational code?		
NO				

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

~ ~

Explanation of Choice

Per Florida Law and to implement the Florida Building Commission plan for the 2013 FBC

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

<u>1st</u>	Commer	nt Period Hist	ory	08/09/20	<u> 12 - 09/23/2012</u>	
	Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No
2	Comment:					

Clarifies the scope of the FBC as per 553.73(13) FS.

102.1.1 Add to read as shown.

102.1.1 The *Florida Building Code* does not apply to, and no code enforcement action shall be brought with respect to, zoning requirements, land use requirements and owner specifications or programmatic requirements which do not pertain to and govern the design, construction, erection, alteration, modification, repair or demolition of public or private buildings, structures or facilities or to programmatic requirements that do not pertain to enforcement of the *Florida Building Code*. Additionally, a local code enforcement agency may not administer or enforce the *Florida Building Code*, *Building* to prevent the siting of any publicly owned facility, including, but not limited to, correctional facilities, juvenile justice facilities, or state universities, community colleges, or public education facilities, as provided by law.

CA5080		- <u>-</u>		Page 61 a	A 140
Date Submitted	7/11/2012	Section 105.12	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter	1	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation		mitted			
<u>Comments</u>					,
General Comment	ts No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modifica	tions				
Summary of Moo		o implement the Commission plan to upd	ate the 2013 code		
Rationale		d to implement the Commission plan to u			
Fiscal Impact Sta					
•	ocal entity relative to enfor	cement of code			
•	-	, no new rqts being established			
		ers relative to cost of compliance with c , no new rqts being established	ode		
•	ndustry relative to the cost ently used under 2010 code	t of compliance with code , no new rqts being established			
Requirements					
•	onable and substantial cor	nnection with the health, safety, and we	Ifare of the general pub	lic	
		tested and proven to be effective			
-		nd provides equivalent or better product tested and proven to be effective	ts, methods, or system	s of construction	
	-	als, products, methods, or systems of o tested and proven to be effective	construction of demons	trated capabilities	
	legrade the effectiveness of ed over from previous, field	f the code tested and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed co YES	de modification part of a prior	code version?			
The provisions con NO	tained in the proposed amend	Iment are addressed in the applicable intern	ational code?		
	e beyond the needs or region	ta that the geographical jurisdiction of Flori al variation addressed by the foundation cod		ngthen	
Explanation of Cl	noice				
to be consistent w	vith Florida Statute and to ir	nplement the Commission plan to update	e the 2013 code		
T he manual second second		meted to be included in the foundation and		- 41	

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

Construction of the second

1st Comment Period History

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

Proponent Ken Cureton Submitted 9/21/2012 Attachments No	_							
		Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	3/21/2012	No		

Comment: CA5080-G1

Section 105.12 provides for provisions with regard to work starting before permit issuance as authorized by 553.79(6) FS.

105.12 Work starting before permit issuance. Add text to read as shown.

105.12 Work starting before permit issuance. Upon approval of the building official, the scope of work delineated in the building permit application and plan may be started prior to the final approval and issuance of the permit, provided any work completed is entirely at risk of the permit applicant and the work does not proceed past the first required inspection.

CA5634				Page 63 25140	
Date Submitted	7/24/2012	Section 105.1	Proponent	Joseph Belcher	
Chapter	1	Affects HVHZ Yes	Attachments	Yes	
TAC Recommend	ation Approved as Submi	tted			
Commission Action	on Pending Review				
<u>Comments</u>					
General Commen	ts No	Alternate Language	No		

Related Modifications

None

Summary of Modification

Adds installation of hurricane protection to items required to have building permit.

Rationale

The hurricane protection industry estimates annual sales in unapproved and mostly bogus "hurricane protection devices" at \$30M to \$40M at the minimum. These products have not been tested or investigated by anyone and meet no standards. The sellers of these products target Florida citizens and give Florida residents a false sense of security. Requiring permits and inspections for all hurricane protection products would dramatically increase the protection provided to the residents of Florida. This requirment is in the FBCB 2010.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

No impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code as the provision is in the FBCB 2010. In addition, many jurisdictions required permits for the installation of hurricane protection before the code was modified to specifically require such permits. Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code

Will not result in any cost when compared to the FBC 2010. When considered with the base code, there may be a cost for the permit for projects that are adding protection to existing structures. There should be no added cost for adding hurricane protection to the permit for new construction.

Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

Will not result in any cost when compared to the FBC 2010. When considered with the base code, there may be a cost for the permit for projects that are adding protection to existing structures. There should be no added cost for adding hurricane protection to the permit for new construction.

Requirements

Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public

Will result in greater regulation of hurricane protection products and systems. Permitting would ascertain the products have the required to have state or local product approval. The public would benefit because sub-standard and unapproved products should become less prevalent.

Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Approval of the change would strengthen and improve the code by closing a loop hole allowing abuse of the public in the form of sub-standard "hurricane protection" products.

Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities The proposed amendment seeks to treat all hurricane protection products equally and does not discriminate.

Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

Improves the effectiveness of the code by closing a loop hole allowing abuse of the trust of Florida citizens.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code	?
NO	

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state? YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

[A] 105.1 Required. Any owner or authorized agent who intends to construct, enlarge, alter, repair, move, demolish, or change the occupancy of a building or structure, or to erect, install, enlarge, alter, repair, remove, convert or replace any <u>impact resistant coverings</u>, electrical, gas, mechanical or plumbing system, the installation of which is regulated by this code, or to cause any such work to be done, shall first make application to the *building official* and obtain the required *permit*.

The State of Florida is the only of the contiguous states where the entire land mass is a hurricane prone region. Historically, Florida has endured numerous land falls from hurricanes and special attention is merited for the installation of hurricane protection.

The timing was insufficient to get into the ICC Group A Code Change Cycle for the 2015 International Building Code and will be submitted to the next available cycle. The amendment will be submitted to the ICC Group B Code Change Cycle for the 2015 International Residential Code.

CA5753						Page	e 67 16140
Date Submitted		012	Section 105		Proponent	bob vincent	
Chapter	1		Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recomme		Approved as Submit	ted				
Commission A	ction	Pending Review					
Comments							
General Comm	ents	No	Alt	ernate Language	No		
Related Modi	ications						
Related modi	leations						
Summary of I	Nodification						
Assure	hat Chapter	471, FS, for compliar	nce with professio	nal engineering design	of public pools is me	et. And assure that Dept.	. of
Health (County Healt	th Departments are no	otified when Buildi	ng Officials issue perm	its and completion c	ertificates for public pool	S.
Rationale							
	•	s the design of public	swimming pools a	and bathing places by a	a professional engine	eer to assure the safety of	of
bathers							
Fiscal Impact		ty relative to enforce	mont of code				
•	o local entit	ly relative to emorce	nent of code				
		and property owners	relative to east a	f compliance with cod			
•	•	lired under Chapters		•	e		
	, ,	relative to the cost of					
•	•	om current practice	compliance with	code			
Requirements							
				alth, safety, and welfa	• •	olic	
		, ,		design of these venues			
•	•	• •	•	nt or better products,	•	ns of construction	
	, ,		, ,	e code for this type of fa			
	ot discrimina Des not dicri	•	products, metho	ds, or systems of con	struction of demons	strated capabilities	
		minate he effectiveness of th	a codo				
Does no	n uegraue ti	ne enectiveness of th					

Does not degrade effectiveness

,----

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? No

Append to this sections as item # 6

105.3.1.2

No permit may be issued for any building construction, erection, alteration, modification, repair, or addition unless the applicant for such permit provides to the enforcing agency which issues the permit any of the following documents which apply to the construction for which the permit is to be issued and which shall be prepared by or under the direction of an engineer registered under Chapter 471, Florida Statutes:

℡₁.- 5.

6. All public swimming pools and public bathing places defined by and regulated under Chapter 514, <u>Florida</u> Statutes

Page: 1

CA5086				Page 69	ð ₹140
Date Submitted 7/1	1/2012	Section 107.3.4.3	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 1		Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
FAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Sul Pending Review				
Comments					
General Comments	No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modifications					
Summary of Modificat	tion				
To be consistent	t with FI law and to im	plement hte Commission plan to update	the 2013 Code		
Rationale					
To be consistent	t with FL Law and to i	mplement the Commission plan to update	e the 2013 Code		
Fiscal Impact Stateme					
•	entity relative to enfo used under 2010 Cod	e, no new requirements being establishe	d		
Impact to buildi	ng and property own	ers relative to cost of compliance with o e, no new requirements being establishe	code		
•	•	e, no new requirements being establishe	d		
Requirements					
		nnection with the health, safety, and we d tested and proven to be effective	Ifare of the general pub	lic	
_		nd provides equivalent or better produce d tested and proven to be effective	cts, methods, or system	s of construction	
	-	ials, products, methods, or systems of or dested and proven to be effective	construction of demons	trated capabilities	
-	de the effectiveness ver from previous, fie	of the code d tested and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed code mo YES					
The provisions contained NO	d in the proposed amer	dment are addressed in the applicable inter	national code?		
	ond the needs or region	ata that the geographical jurisdiction of Flor nal variation addressed by the foundation co		ngthen	
Explanation of Choice					
To be consistent with F	L law and to impleme	ent the current plan for the Commission to	o update the 2013 Code		
The proposed amendme Florida Building Code an		empted to be included in the foundation cod	es to avoid resubmission t	o the	

NO

1st Comment Period History

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No

Comment: The propose The proposed modification adds text from 553.79(14) FS.

107.3.4.3 Add text to read as shown.

107.3.4.3 Certifications by contractors authorized under the provisions of Section 489.115(4)(b), *Florida Statutes*, shall be considered equivalent to sealed plans and specifications by a person licensed under Chapter 471, *Florida Statutes*, or Chapter 481 *Florida Statutes*, by local enforcement agencies for plans review for permitting purposes relating to compliance with the wind-resistance provisions of the code or alternate methodologies approved by the Florida Building Commission for one- and two-family dwellings. Local enforcement agencies may rely upon such certification by contractors that the plans and specifications submitted conform to the requirements of the code for wind resistance. Upon good cause shown, local government code enforcement agencies may accept or reject plans sealed by persons licensed under Chapters 471, 481 or 489, *Florida Statutes*.

CA5085				Page 71 d	18 140
Date Submitted	7/11/2012	Section 107.3	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter	1	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendat Commission Actior		ited			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comments	No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modificati	ions				
Summary of Modif To be consist		plement the Commission plan for upc	lating the 2013 code		
Rationale To be consis	stent with EL statute and to in	nplement the Commission plan to upo	late the 2013 code		
Fiscal Impact Stat					
Impact to lo	cal entity relative to enforce	ment of code e, no new requirements being establis	shed		
•	• • • •	relative to cost of compliance with e e, no new requirements being establis			
•	dustry relative to the cost on the cost on the cost on the cost on the cost of	f compliance with code e, no new requirements being establis	shed		
Requirements					
		ection with the health, safety, and we sted and proven to be effective	elfare of the general publ	ic	
•	•	provides equivalent or better produce sted and proven to be effective	cts, methods, or systems	of construction	
	•	, products, methods, or systems of steed and proven to be effective	construction of demonst	rated capabilities	
	grade the effectiveness of t d over from previous, field te	he code sted and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed code	e modification part of a prior co	•			
YES					
The provisions conta NO	ained in the proposed amendm	ent are addressed in the applicable inter	national code?		
	beyond the needs or regional v	that the geographical jurisdiction of Flor rariation addressed by the foundation co		igthen	
Explanation of Cho	bice				

To be consistent with FI Statute and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

1st Comment Period History

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No

Comment: The propose The proposed modification adds text from 553.79(2) FS. 107.3 Examination of documents. Change to read as shown.

107.3 Examination of documents. The building official shall examine or cause to be examined the accompanying submittal documents and shall ascertain by such examinations whether the construction indicated and described is in accordance with the requirements of this code and other pertinent laws or ordinances.

Exception

1. Building plans approved pursuant to Section 553.77(5), Florida Statutes, and state-approved manufactured buildings are exempt from local codes enforcing agency plan reviews except for provisions of the code relating to erection, assembly or construction at the site. Erection, assembly and construction at the site are subject to local permitting and inspections. Photocopies of plans approved according to FAC 9B-1.009, F.A.C., shall be sufficient for local permit application documents of record for the modular building portion of the permitted project.

2. Industrial construction on sites where design, construction and fire safety are supervised by appropriate design and inspection professionals and which contain adequate in-house fire departments and rescue squads is exempt, subject to local government option, from review of plans and inspections, providing owners certify that applicable codes and standards have been met and supply appropriate approved drawings to local building and fire-safety inspectors.

Section 110.3.11 Affects HVHZ Yes	Proponent Attachments	Joseph Belcher	
	Allachinenis	Yes	
d			
Alternate Language	No		

None

Summary of Modification

Carry forward requirement to inspect installations of impact resistant coverings or systems.

Rationale

The hurricane protection industry estimates annual sales in unapproved and mostly bogus "hurricane protection devices" at \$30M to \$40M at the minimum. These products have not been tested or investigated by anyone and meet no standards. The sellers of these products target Florida citizens and give Florida residents a false sense of security. Requiring permits and inspections for all hurricane protection products will dramatically increase the protection provided to the residents of Florida.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

No impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code as the provision is in the FBCB 2010. In addition, many jurisdictions required permits and inspection for the installation of hurricane protection before the code was modified to specifically require such permits.

Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code

Will not result in any cost because requirement is contained in the FBC 2010. When considered with the base code, there may be a slight cost for the inspection for projects adding protection to existing structures. There is no added cost for inspection of hurricane protection for new construction.

Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

Will not result in any cost because requirement is contained in the FBC 2010. When considered with the base code, there may be a slight cost for the inspection for projects adding protection to existing structures. There is no added cost for inspection of hurricane protection for new construction.

Requirements

Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public

Will result in greater regulation of hurricane protection products and systems. Inspections will ascertain the products have the required product approval and are installed properly. The public will benefit from systems that are properly installed.

Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Approval of the change would strengthen and improve the code by closing a loop hole allowing abuse of the public in the form of sub-standard "hurricane protection" products and improper installation.

Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities The proposed amendment seeks to treat all hurricane protection products equally and does not discriminate.

Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

Improves the effectiveness of the code by closing a loop hole allowing abuse of the trust of Florida citizens.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

<u>110.3.11 Impact resistant coverings or systems. Where impact resistant coverings or systems are installed to meet requirements of this code, the building official shall schedule adequate inspections of impact resistant coverings or systems to determine the following:</u>

The system indicated on the plans was installed.

<u>The system is installed in accordance with the manufacturer's installation instructions and the product approval.</u>

The State of Florida is the only of the contiguous states where the entire land mass is a hurricane prone region. Historically, Florida has endured numerous land falls from hurricanes and special attention is merited for the installation of hurricane protection.

The timing was insufficient to get into the ICC Group A Code Change Cycle for the 2015 International Building Code and will be submitted to the next available cycle. The amendment will be submitted to the ICC Group B Code Change Cycle for the 2015 International Residential Code.

CA5938

No

General Comments

Alternate Language

Related Modifications

None

Summary of Modification

Modify SECTION 202 (Admin. TAC)

Rationale

To comply with s. 553.73(7)(a) Florida Statutes, the proposed modification will supplement the most current version of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) base code with Florida specific requirements in accordance with the Commission's approved code change process for the update to the 2013 Florida Building Code. The proposed modification is necessary in order to maintain compliance with Florida Statutes.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

No

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Requirements

Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to maintain compliance with Florida Statutes.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

<u>08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012</u>

No

Proponent	BOAF CDC	Submitted	9/23/2012	Attachments

Comment: The definition The amendm strengthen th No Statute o

The definition of Value is unnecessary.

The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g) No Statute or data was supplied.

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

Modify SECTION 202 as follows:

VALUE. The estimated current replacement cost of the building in kind.

Page: 1

Page 80 of 140

CA5143				Page 81 6 140
Date Submitted Chapter	7/16/2012 4	Section Sections 409 and 410 Affects HVHZ No	Proponent Attachments	Ken Cureton
TAC Recommend Commission Acti	••	Submitted		
Comments				
General Commen	its No	Alternate Language	No	
Related Modifica	ations			
None				
Summary of Mo				
Modify SE	CTIONS 409 and 410			
Rationale				ment version of the Internation of
Existing Bu change pro	uilding Code (IEBC) base ocess for the update to the	ida Statutes, the proposed modification will su code with Florida specific requirements in ac the 2013 Florida Building Code. The proposed and / or other chapters of the Florida Building	cordance with the Cor modification is necess	mmission's approved code sary in order to provide
Fiscal Impact St				
•	local entity relative to en			
		currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building	•	
•	• • • •	vners relative to cost of compliance with coo currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building		
•	•	ost of compliance with code currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building	g Code.	
Requirements				
		connection with the health, safety, and welfa for this Modification is currently included in th	• ·	
•	•	, and provides equivalent or better products for this Modification is currently included in the	• • •	
	•	erials, products, methods, or systems of conguering and the methods of the systems of congueration of the system o		•
	degrade the effectivenes bes not. The Proposed la	s of the code nguage for this Modification is currently include	ed in the 2010 Florida	Building Code.
Is the proposed co	ode modification part of a p	rior code version?		
YES				
NO	ntained in the proposed an	endment are addressed in the applicable internat	ional Code?	

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to provide correlations with other Sub-Codes and / or other chapters of the Florida Building Code – Existing Building

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

lst	<u>Commen</u>	t Period Histor	Υ Υ	08/09/201	<u>2 - 09/23/2012</u>	Page 82 of 140
	Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No
	Comment:					
4 CA5143-G		rovides for correlation b			2 - 09/23/2012	
	Proponent	BOAF CDC	Submitted	9/23/2012	Attachments	No
Ч Ч	Comment: 1. Section 4	09 No data or justificatio	on was provided.			
143				0 0	aphical jurisdiction of Flo ddressed by the foundat	orida exhibits a need to ion code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g)

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

2. Section 410 No data or justification was provided.

CA

The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g)

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

MODIFY - SECTION 409 - MOVED STRUCTURES as follows:

409.1 Conformance. Structures moved into or within the jurisdiction shall comply with the provisions of this code for new structures. See Chapter 13

MODIFY - SECTION 410 - ACCESSIBILITY FOR EXISTING BUILDINGS as follows:

410.1 Scope. See the provisions of the Florida Building Code, Accessibility. The provisions of Sections 410.1 through <u>410.9</u> apply to maintenance, change of occupancy, additions and alterations to existing buildings, including those identified as historic buildings.

410.2 Maintenance of facilities. A *facility* that is constructed or altered to be *accessible* shall be maintained *accessible* during occupancy.

410.3 Extent of application. An *alteration* of an existing *facility* shall not impose a requirement for greater accessibility than that which would be required for new construction. *Alterations* shall not reduce or have the effect of reducing accessibility of a *facility* or portion of a *facility*.

410.4 Change of occupancy. *Existing buildings* that undergo a change of group or occupancy shall comply with this section.

Exception: Type B dwelling or sleeping units required by Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* are not required to be provided in *existing buildings* and facilities undergoing a *change of occupancy* in conjunction with *alterations* where the *work area* is 50 percent or less of the aggregate area of the building.

410.4.1 Partial change in occupancy. Where a portion of the building is changed to a new occupancy classification, any *alterations* shall comply with <u>Sections 410.6</u>, <u>410.7</u> and <u>410.8</u>.

410.4.2 Complete change of occupancy.

Where an entire building undergoes a *change of occupancy,* it shall comply with Section 410.4.1 and shall have all of the following accessible features:

1. At least one accessible building entrance.

Page:

2. At least one accessible route from an accessible building entrance to primary function areas.

3. Signage complying with Section 1110 of the International Building Code.

4. Accessible parking, where parking is being provided.

5. At least one accessible passenger loading zone, when loading zones are provided.

6. At least one accessible route connecting accessible parking and accessible passenger loading zones to an accessible entrance.

Where it is *technically infeasible* to comply with the new construction standards for any of these requirements for a change of group or occupancy, the above items shall conform to the requirements to the maximum extent technically feasible.

Exception: The accessible features listed in Items 1 through 6 are not required for an accessible route to Type B units.

410.5 Additions. Provisions for new construction shall apply to additions. An addition that affects the accessibility to, or contains an area of, a primary function shall comply with the requirements in <u>Section 410.7</u>.

410.6 Alterations.

A facility that is altered shall comply with the applicable provisions in Chapter 11 of the International Building Code, unless technically infeasible. Where compliance with this section is technically infeasible, the alteration shall provide access to the maximum extent technically feasible.

Exceptions:

1. The altered element or space is not required to be on an accessible route, unless required by Section 410.7.

2. Accessible means of egress required by Chapter 10 of the *International Building Code* are not required to be provided in existing facilities.

3. The alteration to Type A individually owned dwelling units within a Group R-2 occupancy shall be permitted to meet the provision for a Type B dwelling unit.

4. Type B-dwelling or sleeping units required by Section 1107 of the International Building Code are not required to be provided in existing buildings and facilities undergoing a change of occupancy in conjunction with alterations where the work area is 50 percent or less of the aggregate area of the building.

410.7 Alterations affecting an area containing a primary function. Where an alteration affects the accessibility to, or contains an area of primary function, the route to the primary function area shall be accessible. The accessible route to the primary function area shall include toilet facilities or drinking fountains serving the area of primary function.

Exceptions:

1. The costs of providing the accessible route are not required to exceed 20 percent of the costs of the alterations affecting the area of primary function.

2. This provision does not apply to *alterations* limited solely to windows, hardware, operating controls, electrical outlets and signs.

3. This provision does not apply to *alterations* limited solely to mechanical systems, electrical systems, installation or *alteration* of fire protection systems and abatement of hazardous materials.

4. This provision does not apply to *alterations* undertaken for the primary purpose of increasing the accessibility of a *facility*.

5. This provision does not apply to altered areas limited to Type B dwelling and sleeping units.

410.8 Scoping for alterations. The provisions of <u>Sections 410.8.1</u> through <u>410.8.14</u> shall apply to *alterations* to *existing buildings* and *facilities*.

410.8.1 Entrances. Accessible entrances shall be provided in accordance with Section 1105.

Exception: Where an *alteration* includes alterations to an entrance, and the *facility* has an *accessible* entrance, the altered entrance is not required to be *accessible*, unless required by <u>Section 410.7</u>. Signs complying with Section 1110 of the *International Building Code* shall be provided.

410.8.2 Elevators. Altered elements of existing elevators shall comply with ASME A17.1 and ICC A117.1. Such elements shall also be altered in elevators programmed to respond to the same hall call control as the altered elevator.

410.8.3 Platform lifts. Platform (wheelchair) lifts complying with ICC A117.1 and installed in accordance with ASME A18.1 shall be permitted as a component of an accessible route.

410.8.4 Stairs and escalators in existing buildings. In *alterations, change of occupancy* or *additions* where an escalator or stair is added where none existed previously and major structural modifications are necessary for installation, an accessible route shall be provided between the levels served by the escalator or stairs in accordance with Sections 1104.4 and 1104.5 of the *International Building Code*.

410.8.5 Ramps. Where slopes steeper than allowed by Section 1010.3 of the *International Building Code* are necessitated by space limitations, the slope of ramps in or providing access to existing facilities shall comply with Table 410.8.5.

TABLE 410.8.5 RAMPS

SLOPE	MAXIMUM RISE
Steeper than 1:10 but not steeper than 1:8	3 inches
Steeper than 1:12 but not steeper than 1:10	6 inches

For SI: 1 inch - 25.4 mm.

410.8.6 Performance areas. Where it is *technically infeasible* to alter performance areas to be on an accessible route, at least one of each type of performance area shall be made accessible.

410.8.7 Accessible dwelling or sleeping units. Where Group I 1, I 2, I 3, R 1, R 2 or R 4 dwelling or sleeping units are being altered or added, the requirements of Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* for Accessible units apply only to the quantity of spaces being altered or added.

410.8.8 Type A dwelling or sleeping units.

Where more than 20 Group R-2 dwelling or sleeping units are being altered or added, the requirements of Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* for Type A units apply only to the quantity of the spaces being altered or added.

410.8.9 Type B dwelling or sleeping units. Where four or more Group I 1, I 2, R 1, R 2, R 3 or R 4 dwelling or sleeping units are being added, the requirements of Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* for Type B units apply only to the quantity of the spaces being added. Where Group I 1, I 2, R 1, R 2, R 3 or R 4 dwelling or sleeping units are being altered and where the work area is greater than 50 percent of the aggregate area of the building, the requirements of Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* for Type B units apply only to the aggregate area of the building, the requirements of Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* for Type B units apply only to the quantity of the spaces being altered.

410.8.10 Jury boxes and witness stands. In *alterations,* accessible wheelshair spaces are not required to be located within the defined area of raised jury boxes or witness stands and shall be permitted to be located outside these spaces where the ramp or lift access restricts or projects into the means of egress.

410.8.11 Toilet rooms. Where it is *technically infeasible* to alter existing toilet and bathing rooms to be *accessible*, an *accessible* family or assisted-use toilet or bathing room constructed in accordance with Section 1109.2.1 of the

Page 87 of 140 International Building Code is permitted. The family or assisted-use toilet or bathing room shall be located on the same floor and in the same area as the existing toilet or bathing rooms.

410.8.12 Dressing, fitting and locker rooms. Where it is *technically infeasible* to provide accessible dressing, fitting or locker rooms at the same location as similar types of rooms, one accessible room on the same level shall be provided. Where separate-sex facilities are provided, accessible rooms for each sex shall be provided. Separate-sex facilities are not required where only unisex rooms are provided.

410.8.13 Fuel dispensers. Operable parts of replacement fuel dispensers shall be permitted to be 54 inches (1370 mm) maximum, measuring from the surface of the vehicular way where fuel dispensers are installed on existing curbs.

410.8.14 Thresholds. The maximum height of thresholds at doorways shall be ³/₄ inch (19.1 mm). Such thresholds shall have beveled edges on each side.

410.9 Historic buildings. These provisions shall apply to *facilities* designated as historic structures that undergo *alterations* or a *change of occupancy,* unless *technically infeasible.* Where compliance with the requirements for accessible routes, entrances or toilet rooms would threaten or destroy the historic significance of the *facility,* as determined by the applicable governing authority, the alternative requirements of <u>Sections 410.9.1</u> through <u>410.9.4</u> for that element shall be permitted.

Exception: Type B dwelling or sleeping units required by Section 1107 of the *International Building Code* are not required to be provided in historical buildings.

410.9.1 Site arrival points. At least one accessible route from a site arrival point to an accessible entrance shall be provided.

410.9.2 Multilevel buildings and facilities. An accessible route from an accessible entrance to public spaces on the level of the accessible entrance shall be provided.

410.9.3 Entrances. At least one main entrance shall be accessible.

Exceptions:

1. If a main entrance cannot be made accessible, an accessible nonpublic entrance that is unlocked while the building is occupied shall be provided; or

2. If a main entrance cannot be made accessible, a locked accessible entrance with a notification system or remote monitoring shall be provided.

ß

Page:

Signs complying with Section 1110 of the International Building Code shall be provided at the primary entrance and the accessible entrance.

410.9.4 Toilet and bathing facilities. Where toilet rooms are provided, at least one accessible family or assisteduse toilet room complying with Section 1109.2.1 of the *International Building Code* shall be provided.

CA5159				Page 89 82 140	
Date Submitted Chapter	7/16/2012 13	Section 1301 and 1302 Affects HVHZ No	Proponent Attachments	Ken Cureton	
TAC Recommendat Commission Action		tted			_
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comments	No	Alternate Language	No		
Related Modification	ons				
None					

Summary of Modification

Modify SECTION 1301.2 Add SECTION 1302.0

Rationale

To comply with s. 553.73(7)(a) Florida Statutes, the proposed modification will supplement the most current version of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) base code with Florida specific requirements in accordance with the Commission's approved code change process for the update to the 2013 Florida Building Code. The proposed modification is necessary in order to carry forward the Commission's Working Group initiatives of formatting and improving the application of the Florida Building Code – Existing Building, as well as correlate with other sections of the Florida Building Code.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Impact to industry relative to the cost of compliance with code

None. Proposed language is currently adopted by the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Requirements

Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code. Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state? OTHER

Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to carry forward the Commission's Working Group initiatives of formatting and improving the application of the Florida Building Code – Existing Building, as well as correlate with other sections of the Florida Building Code. The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

<u>1st</u>	Commen	t Period Histor	V	08/09/201	<u>12 - 09/23/2012</u>		Page 90 of 140	
	Proponent	BOAF CDC	Submitted	9/17/2012	Attachments	No		
Ģ	Comment: Because a cod	e provision was in the 2	010 FBC does no	ot make it Florida s	specific.			
5159	The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code per ES 553 73 (7) (0)							
CA		amendment was does r ssion to the Florida Build			or attempted to be include	ed in the foun	dation codes to	
<u>1st</u>	Commen	t Period Histor	У	08/09/201	<u>12 - 09/23/2012</u>			
	Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No		
2	Comment:							

The proposal provides correlation with the FFPC.

I

http://www.floridabuilding.org/Upload/Modifications/Rendered/Mod_5159_TextOfModification_1.png

Modify SECTION 1301.2 as follows:

1301.2 Conformance. The building shall be safe for human occupancy as determined by the *International Fire Code Florida Fire Prevention Code* and the *International Property Maintenance Code. Florida Building Code, Building*. Any repair, alteration, or change of occupancy undertaken within the moved structure shall comply with the requirements of this code applicable to the work being performed. Any field-fabricated elements shall comply with the requirements of the *International Building Code* or the *International Residential Code* as applicable. *Florida Building Code, Building.*

Add SECTION 1302.0 as follows:

1302.0 Residential buildings or structures moved into or within a county or municipality shall not be required to be brought into compliance with the state minimum building code in force at the time the building or structure is moved, provided:

1. The building or structure is structurally sound and in occupiable condition for its intended use;

2. The occupancy use classification for the building or structure is not changed as a result of the move;

3. The building is not substantially remodeled;

Current fire code requirements for ingress and egress are met;

5. Electrical, gas and plumbing systems meet the code in force at the time of construction and are operational and safe for reconnection; and

6. Foundation plans are sealed by a professional engineer or architect licensed to practice in this state, if required by the *Florida Building Code, Building* for all residential buildings or structures of the same occupancy class.

7. Moving of buildings shall be in accordance with the *Florida Building Code, Building*.

CA5161					Page 92 6 7140
Date Submitted Chapter	7/16/2012 16	Section REFERE Affects HVHZ	NCED STANDARDS No	Proponent Attachments	Ken Cureton No
TAC Recommenda Commission Actio	••		·		
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comment	s No	Alterr	nate Language	No	
Related Modifica None					
Summary of Mod Add REFER	lification RENCED STANDARD	S as indicated			
change pro reference c Fiscal Impact Sta Impact to le None	cess for the update to orrelation to relevant a atement ocal entity relative to . Proposed language	se code with Florida specific the 2013 Florida Building Co Sub-Codes. enforcement of code is currently adopted by the 20 owners relative to cost of co	de. The proposed mo 010 Florida Building Co	dification is necess	
•	• • • •	is currently adopted by the 20	•	ode.	
•	•	e cost of compliance with co is currently adopted by the 20		ode.	
Requirements					
		al connection with the health ge for this Modification is curr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•	•	de, and provides equivalent ge for this Modification is curr	• •		
	•	aterials, products, methods anguage for this Modification			•
	egrade the effectiven es not. The Proposed	ess of the code language for this Modification	is currently included i	n the 2010 Florida	a Building Code.
Is the proposed co	de modification part of a	prior code version?			

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to provide for reference correlation to relevant Sub-Codes.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

<u>1st</u>	Commen	t Period History		08/09/201	<u>2 - 09/23/2012</u>	Daga 02 of 140
	Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	Page 93 of 140 No
2	Comment:					
CA5161-G	The proposal p	rovides for code correlation	on.			
<u>1st</u>	Commen	t Period History		08/09/201	<u>2 - 09/23/2012</u>	
	Proponent	BOAF CDC	Submitted	9/23/2012	Attachments	No
40		e provision was in the 20				
516		1 back into the code.	t because 711	.3 does not speak	to cedar snakes and no	one put in a code change to

The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g)

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

CA

0
Ξ.
7
5
ž
<u>ب</u>
σ
ŏ
ž
2
÷
×
9
Ľ
_
ò
÷
ò
<u>.</u>

Add REFERENCED STANDARDS as follows:	Page 94 of 140
<u>CSSB</u>	
Cedar Shake and Shingle Bureau	
PO Box 1178	
Shumas, WA 98295-1178	
Standard reference number Title Referenced in code section number	
Recommendations 711.3	
Florida Codes Florida Building Commission c/o Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation Building Codes and Standards 1940 North Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399.	
Standard reference number Title Referenced in code section number	
FBC-2013 Florida Building Code, Building* 101.2, 201.3, 202, 301 401.4.1, 403.2, 407.2, 407.3.1, 503.1, 503.2, 503.3, 507.2.1, 507.3, 507.4, 511.1, 511.3, 601.3, 603 603.3.2, 603.4, 603.5.2, 604.2, 604.2.1, 604.2.3, 604.2.4, 604.3, 605.2, 605.3, 605.3.2, 605.4.3, 605 605.8.1, 605.9.2, 605.10.2, 606.1, 607.1, 607.2, 607.3, 607.4, 607.4.1, 608.2, 608.3, 611.1, 702.1.2 705.2, 705.3, 706.1, 707.2, 707.3, 707.5.1, 707.6, 707.7, 801.1, 801.3, 802.1, 802.2, 807.1, 807.2, 8 812.1.2, 812.3.1, 812.4.1.1, 812.4.1.2, 812.4.1.3, 812.4.2.1, 812.4.2.3, 812.4.3.1, 812.4.3.3, 812.4.4 812.4.4.3, 902.1, 902.2, 902.3, 903.1, 903.2, 903.3.1, 903.3.2, 903.5, 904.1, 904.2, 1101.2, 1102.0, 1102.2.1, 1102.2.2, 1201.2.2, 1201.2.3, 1201.2.4, 1201.4.1, 1201.6.1, 1201.6.1.1, 1201.6.2 1201.6.3.1, 1201.6.3.2, 1201.6.4.1, 1201.6.5, 1201.6.5.1, 1201.6.6, 1201.6.7.1, 1201.6.8, 1201.6.9, 1201.6.11, 1201.6.12, 1, 1201.6.14, 1201.6.15.1, Table 1201.6.15, 1201.6.16.1, 1201.6, 1201.6.14, 1201.6.15, 1201.6.15, 1201.6.15, 1201.6.16, 1, 1201.6.14, 1201.6, 1201.6.15, 1, 1201.6.1	<u>.2.3, 603.3.1,</u> 5.6, 605.7.1, , 704.1, 704.1.2, 311.1.1, 812.1.1, 4.1, 812.4.4.2, 1102.1, 1102.2, , 1201.6.2.1, 1, 1201.6.10.1, 5.17, 1201.6.17.1,
FBC, Accessibility Ch. 11 2012 Accessibility 406.1, 506.1, 601.1, 606.1, 706.1, 806.1, 812.5, 94 1306.2	<u>05.1, 1002,</u>

FBC, Energy Conservation - 20103 512.1, 611.1, 708.1, 906.1

408.1, 508.1.2, 508.1.3, 608.1, 608.2, 808.1, 808.2, 808.3, 808.4 Ch. 27-Electrical

FFPC–2013 Florida Fire Prevention Code	Page 95 of 140 101.2, 101.4, 201.3, 503.3, 603.2.1, 603.2.3,
603.3.2, 604.2.4, 604.4, 604.4.3, 605.2, 605.3, 605.3.1.2, 70	
1201.6.8.1, 1201.6.14.1, 1309.2	
FPC Mashaniard 2012 Florida Building Code Mashaniard	
FBC, Mechanical–2013 Florida Building Code, Mechanical	101.2, 409.1, 509.1, 609.1,
<u>609.2, 702.1.1, 702.2.1, 809.1, 1201.6.7.1, 1201.6.8, 1201.6</u>	<u>5.8.1</u>
FBC, Plumbing–2013 Florida Building Code, Plumbing 810.1, 810.3, 810.5, 1305.1	<u>101.2, 410.2, 510.2, 610.1,</u>
FBC, Residential–2013 Florida Building Code, Residential 1102.2, 1201.2.2, 1201.2.3	101.2, 608.3, 904.1, 904.2,

CA5161 Text Modification

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page 97 8 4140
Date Submitted 7/	20/2012	Section R202	Proponent	Ken Cureton
Chapter 2		Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No
AC Recommendatio	n Approved as Sub Pending Review	mitted		
<u>Comments</u>				
General Comments	No	Alternate Languag	je No	
Related Modification	s			
None				
Summary of Modific Modify SECTIO	ation DN R202 (Admin)			
Rationale				
change proces Fiscal Impact Staten Impact to loca	s for the update to the 2 nent entity relative to enfo	ode with Florida specific requirements 2013 Florida Building Code. r cement of code rrently adopted by the 2010 Florida B		
Impact to build	ling and property own	ers relative to cost of compliance wi rently adopted by the 2010 Florida B	ith code	
	stry relative to the cos	t of compliance with code		
•	oposed language is cu	rrently adopted by the 2010 Florida B	uilding Code.	
None. Pr	oposed language is cu	rently adopted by the 2010 Florida B	uilding Code.	
None. Pr Requirements Has a reasona	ble and substantial co	rently adopted by the 2010 Florida B nnection with the health, safety, and r this Modification is currently include	l welfare of the general pub	
None. Pr Requirements Has a reasona Yes. The Strengthens o	ble and substantial co Proposed language fo r improves the code, a	nnection with the health, safety, and	I welfare of the general pub d in the 2010 Florida Buildin oducts, methods, or system	g Code. s of construction
None. Pr Requirements Has a reasona Yes. The Strengthens o Yes. The Does not discr	ble and substantial co Proposed language fo r improves the code, a Proposed language fo iminate against materi	nnection with the health, safety, and r this Modification is currently include nd provides equivalent or better pro	i welfare of the general pub d in the 2010 Florida Buildin oducts, methods, or system d in the 2010 Florida Buildin of construction of demons	g Code. s of construction g Code. trated capabilities
None. Pr Requirements Has a reasona Yes. The Strengthens of Yes. The Does not discr It does n Does not degr	ble and substantial co Proposed language fo r improves the code, a Proposed language fo iminate against materi ot. The Proposed langu ade the effectiveness of	nnection with the health, safety, and r this Modification is currently include nd provides equivalent or better pro r this Modification is currently include als, products, methods, or systems lage for this Modification is currently i of the code	I welfare of the general pub d in the 2010 Florida Buildin oducts, methods, or systems d in the 2010 Florida Buildin of construction of demons ncluded in the 2010 Florida	g Code. s of construction g Code. trated capabilities Building Code.
None. Pr Requirements Has a reasona Yes. The Strengthens of Yes. The Does not discr It does n Uoes not degr It does n	ble and substantial co Proposed language fo r improves the code, a Proposed language fo iminate against materi ot. The Proposed langu ade the effectiveness of	nnection with the health, safety, and r this Modification is currently include nd provides equivalent or better pro r this Modification is currently include als, products, methods, or systems lage for this Modification is currently of the code lage for this Modification is currently	I welfare of the general pub d in the 2010 Florida Buildin oducts, methods, or systems d in the 2010 Florida Buildin of construction of demons ncluded in the 2010 Florida	g Code. s of construction g Code. trated capabilities Building Code.

NO

Construction of the second

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to supplement the most current version of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) base code with Florida specific requirements.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

1st Comment Period History

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

Comment: The proposal adds terms for consistency with Florida Statutes. Page 98 of 9/21/2012 No Attachments

Modify SECTION R202 as follows:

<u>APPLICABLE GOVERNING BODY.</u> A city, county, state, state agency or other political government subdivision or entity authorized to administer and enforce the provisions of this code, as adopted or amended. Also applies to administrative authority.

ARCHITECT. A Florida-registered architect.

ENFORCEMENT AGENCY.

Local enforcement agency. Means an agency of local government with authority to make inspections of buildings and to enforce the codes which establish standards for design, construction, erection, alteration, repair, modification or demolition of public or private buildings, structures or facilities.

State enforcement agency. Means the agency of state government with authority to make inspections of buildings and to enforce the codes, as required by this part, which establish standards for design, construction, erection, alteration, repair, modification or demolition of public or private buildings, structures or facilities.

ENGINEER. A Florida-registered engineer.

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT. A Florida registered Landscape Architect.

MATERIAL CODE VIOLATION. A material code violation is a violation that exists within a completed building, structure or facility which may reasonably result, or has resulted, in physical harm to a person or significant damage to the performance of a building or its systems.

MATERIAL VIOLATION. As defined in Florida Statutes.

VALUE. The estimated current replacement cost of the building in kind.

- · · · · · ·				Page 100 6 5140
Date Submitted 7/20/2		ction APPENDIX M	Proponent	Ken Cureton
Chapter 3313		ects HVHZ No	Attachments	No
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	Approved as Submitted Pending Review			
	, ending the next			
Comments General Comments	No	Alternate Language	No	
	NO	Alternate Euliguage	NO	
Related Modifications None				
Summary of Modificatio	n			
•		ent language and change to "RESE	ERVED"	
Rationale				
change process for	r the update to the 2013 Fl policy on the formatting of	n Florida specific requirements in ac orida Building Code. The proposed Reference Standards.		
i iscai impact otatement				
Impact to local en	tity relative to enforcemen	t of code adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin	ig Code.	
Impact to local en None. Propo Impact to building	tity relative to enforcemen sed language is currently a and property owners rela		ode	
Impact to local en None. Propo Impact to building None. Propo Impact to industry	tity relative to enforcement sed language is currently a and property owners relat sed language is currently a relative to the cost of cor	adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin tive to cost of compliance with co adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin	o de Ig Code.	
Impact to local en None. Propo Impact to building None. Propo Impact to industry None. Propo	tity relative to enforcement sed language is currently a and property owners relat sed language is currently a relative to the cost of cor	adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin tive to cost of compliance with co adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin npliance with code	o de Ig Code.	
Impact to local en None. Propo Impact to building None. Propo Impact to industry None. Propo Requirements Has a reasonable	tity relative to enforcement sed language is currently a and property owners related sed language is currently a relative to the cost of cort sed language is currently a and substantial connection	adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin tive to cost of compliance with co adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin npliance with code	ode Ig Code. Ig Code. Fare of the general put	
Impact to local end None. Propo Impact to building None. Propo Impact to industry None. Propo Requirements Has a reasonable Yes. The Pro Strengthens or im	tity relative to enforcement sed language is currently a and property owners related sed language is currently a relative to the cost of cort sed language is currently a and substantial connection oposed language for this M proves the code, and prov	adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin tive to cost of compliance with co adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin npliance with code adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin n with the health, safety, and welf	ode ig Code. ig Code. Fare of the general put he 2010 Florida Buildir s, methods, or system	g Code. s of construction
Impact to local end None. Propo Impact to building None. Propo Impact to industry None. Propo Requirements Has a reasonable Yes. The Pro Strengthens or im Yes. The Pro Does not discrimin	tity relative to enforcement sed language is currently a and property owners rela- sed language is currently a relative to the cost of cor- sed language is currently a and substantial connection oposed language for this M proves the code, and prov- oposed language for this M nate against materials, pro-	adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin tive to cost of compliance with co adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin npliance with code adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin n with the health, safety, and welf odification is currently included in the rides equivalent or better products	ode g Code. g Code. fare of the general put he 2010 Florida Buildir s, methods, or system he 2010 Florida Buildir postruction of demons	g Code. s of construction g Code. trated capabilities
Impact to local end None. Propo Impact to building None. Propo Impact to industry None. Propo Requirements Has a reasonable Yes. The Pro Strengthens or im Yes. The Pro Does not discrimin It does not. T Does not degrade	tity relative to enforcement sed language is currently a and property owners rela- sed language is currently a relative to the cost of cor- sed language is currently a and substantial connection oposed language for this M proves the code, and prov- oposed language for this M nate against materials, pro- The Proposed language for the effectiveness of the c	adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin tive to cost of compliance with co adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin npliance with code adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin n with the health, safety, and welf odification is currently included in the rides equivalent or better products odification is currently included in the poducts, methods, or systems of co this Modification is currently included	ode ig Code. ig Code. iare of the general put he 2010 Florida Buildir s, methods, or system he 2010 Florida Buildir onstruction of demons led in the 2010 Florida	g Code. s of construction g Code. trated capabilities Building Code.
Impact to local end None. Propo Impact to building None. Propo Impact to industry None. Propo Requirements Has a reasonable Yes. The Pro Strengthens or im Yes. The Pro Does not discrimin It does not. T Does not degrade It does not.	tity relative to enforcement sed language is currently a and property owners rela- sed language is currently a relative to the cost of cor- sed language is currently a and substantial connection oposed language for this M proves the code, and prov- oposed language for this M nate against materials, pro- The Proposed language for the effectiveness of the c	adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin tive to cost of compliance with co adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin mpliance with code adopted by the 2010 Florida Buildin n with the health, safety, and welf odification is currently included in the rides equivalent or better products odification is currently included in the polycets, methods, or systems of co this Modification is currently included ode	ode ig Code. ig Code. iare of the general put he 2010 Florida Buildir s, methods, or system he 2010 Florida Buildir onstruction of demons led in the 2010 Florida	g Code. s of construction g Code. trated capabilities Building Code.

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to carry forward the Commission's policy on the formatting of Reference Standards.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

 Observe on the component
 Ken Cureton
 Submitted
 9/21/2012
 Attain of the common component in the commission has no authority to adopt an appendix as an option for local adoption.

 Oppose
 The Commission has no authority to adopt an appendix as an option for local adoption.

 Page 101 No Attachments

Modify Appendix M as follows:

APPENDIX M

HOME DAY CARE - R-3 OCCUPANCY

<u>RESERVED</u>

Date Submitted 7/20/201					Page 103 3 6
1/20/201	12 s	Section CHAPT	TER 45	Proponent	Ken Cureton
hapter 45	A	ffects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No
	Approved as Submitted Pending Review	1			
omments					
eneral Comments	No	Alte	ernate Language	No	
Related Modifications					
None					
Summary of Modification					
-			Proposed Modifica	ations required the re-	numbering of existing chapters
in order to allow for th Rationale	ne inclusion of new cha	pters			
iscal Impact Statement					
• •	relative to enforceme d language is currently		2010 Florida Buildin	g Code.	
None. Proposed		adopted by the lative to cost of	compliance with co	de	
None. Proposed Impact to building an None. Proposed Impact to industry re	d language is currently nd property owners rel	adopted by the lative to cost of adopted by the compliance with o	compliance with co 2010 Florida Building code	d e g Code.	
None. Proposed Impact to building an None. Proposed Impact to industry re None. Proposed	d language is currently nd property owners rel d language is currently lative to the cost of co	adopted by the lative to cost of adopted by the compliance with o	compliance with co 2010 Florida Building code	d e g Code.	
None. Proposed Impact to building an None. Proposed Impact to industry re None. Proposed Requirements Has a reasonable and	d language is currently nd property owners rel d language is currently lative to the cost of co d language is currently d substantial connecti	adopted by the lative to cost of adopted by the ompliance with o adopted by the ion with the hea	compliance with co 2010 Florida Buildin code 2010 Florida Buildin Ith, safety, and welfa	de g Code. g Code. are of the general put	
None. Proposed Impact to building an None. Proposed Impact to industry re None. Proposed Requirements Has a reasonable and Yes. The Propo Strengthens or impro	d language is currently ad property owners rel d language is currently elative to the cost of co d language is currently d substantial connections based language for this it poves the code, and pro-	adopted by the lative to cost of adopted by the ompliance with of adopted by the ion with the hea Modification is cu ovides equivaler	compliance with co 2010 Florida Buildin code 2010 Florida Buildin 2010 Florida Buildin Ith, safety, and welf urrently included in th nt or better products	de g Code. g Code. are of the general put he 2010 Florida Buildir s, methods, or system	ng Code. Is of construction
None. Proposed Impact to building an None. Proposed Impact to industry re None. Proposed Requirements Has a reasonable and Yes. The Propo Strengthens or impro Yes. The Propo Does not discriminat	d language is currently ad property owners rel d language is currently elative to the cost of co d language is currently d substantial connections used language for this f	adopted by the lative to cost of adopted by the ompliance with of adopted by the ion with the hea Modification is cu povides equivaler Modification is cu roducts, method	compliance with co 2010 Florida Buildin code 2010 Florida Buildin 2010 Florida Buildin Ith, safety, and welf urrently included in th nt or better products urrently included in th ds, or systems of co	de g Code. g Code. are of the general put ne 2010 Florida Buildir s, methods, or system ne 2010 Florida Buildir nstruction of demons	ng Code. Is of construction Ig Code. strated capabilities
None. Proposed Impact to building an None. Proposed Impact to industry re None. Proposed Requirements Has a reasonable and Yes. The Propo Strengthens or impro Yes. The Propo Does not discriminat It does not. The Does not degrade the	d language is currently nd property owners rel d language is currently plative to the cost of co d language is currently d substantial connections based language for this is poves the code, and pro- pased language for this is the against materials, particular the substantial connections the sub	adopted by the lative to cost of adopted by the ompliance with of adopted by the ion with the heal Modification is cu poides equivaler Modification is cu roducts, method or this Modification code	compliance with co 2010 Florida Buildin code 2010 Florida Buildin 2010 Florida Buildin Ith, safety, and welf urrently included in th or better products urrently included in th ds, or systems of co on is currently includ	de g Code. g Code. are of the general put ne 2010 Florida Buildir s, methods, or system ne 2010 Florida Buildir nstruction of demons ed in the 2010 Florida	ng Code. Is of construction Ig Code. strated capabilities Building Code.
None. Proposed Impact to building an None. Proposed Impact to industry re None. Proposed Requirements Has a reasonable and Yes. The Propo Strengthens or impro Yes. The Propo Does not discriminat It does not. The Does not degrade the It does not. The	d language is currently nd property owners rel d language is currently lative to the cost of co d language is currently d substantial connections based language for this laterations based language for the laterations based language for the laterations based language for the laterations based language for the laterations of the laterations based language for the laterations of the later	adopted by the lative to cost of adopted by the ompliance with of adopted by the adopted by the don with the heal Modification is curvides equivaler Modification is curvides equivaler Modification is curvides equivaler Modification is curvides of this Modification code or this Modification	compliance with co 2010 Florida Buildin code 2010 Florida Buildin 2010 Florida Buildin Ith, safety, and welf urrently included in th or better products urrently included in th ds, or systems of co on is currently includ	de g Code. g Code. are of the general put ne 2010 Florida Buildir s, methods, or system ne 2010 Florida Buildir nstruction of demons ed in the 2010 Florida	ng Code. Is of construction Ig Code. strated capabilities Building Code.
None. Proposed Impact to building an None. Proposed Impact to industry re None. Proposed Requirements Has a reasonable and Yes. The Propo Strengthens or impro Yes. The Propo Does not discriminat It does not. The Does not degrade the	d language is currently nd property owners rel d language is currently lative to the cost of co d language is currently d substantial connections based language for this laterations based language for the laterations based language for the laterations based language for the laterations based language for the laterations of the laterations based language for the laterations of the later	adopted by the lative to cost of adopted by the ompliance with of adopted by the adopted by the don with the heal Modification is curvides equivaler Modification is curvides equivaler Modification is curvides equivaler Modification is curvides of this Modification code or this Modification	compliance with co 2010 Florida Buildin code 2010 Florida Buildin 2010 Florida Buildin Ith, safety, and welf urrently included in th or better products urrently included in th ds, or systems of co on is currently includ	de g Code. g Code. are of the general put ne 2010 Florida Buildir s, methods, or system ne 2010 Florida Buildir nstruction of demons ed in the 2010 Florida	ng Code. Is of construction Ig Code. strated capabilities Building Code.

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to maintain proper formatting of the Code that will allow for the incorporation of the additional chapters proposed in other proposed code modifications.

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

Modify CHAPTER 45 to change designation to CHAPTER 46 as follows:

CA5460 Text Modification

CHAPTER 4546

REFERENCED STANDARDS

TAC: Code Administration

Total Mods for Code Administration in No Affirmative Recommendation with a Second: 3

Total Mods for report: 38

Sub Code: Building

CA	E0	01
CA	50	υT

Seese and seese

CA5001				Page 106 6 71	140
Date Submitted	7/6/2012	Section 105.4	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter	1	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action		ve Recommendation with a Second view			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Comment	ts No	Alternate Language	e No		
Related Modifica	tions				
Summary of Moo Update 105		ssion plan to change 2013 FBC			
Rationale					
		an for updating the 2013 code			
•	ocal entity relative to	enforcement of code code no new regts being established			
-		owners relative to cost of compliance with code no new reqts being established	h code		
•	•	e cost of compliance with code code no new reqts being established			
Requirements					
		al connection with the health, safety, and v Field tested and proven to be effective	welfare of the general pub	lic	
-	•	de, and provides equivalent or better prod Field tested and proven to be effective	ducts, methods, or system	s of construction	
	-	aterials, products, methods, or systems of Field tested and proven to be effective	of construction of demons	trated capabilities	
	egrade the effectivene ed over from previous.	ess of the code . Field tested and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed co YES	de modification part of a	prior code version?			
The provisions con	itained in the proposed a	amendment are addressed in the applicable int	ternational code?		
	e beyond the needs or re	e or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Fl egional variation addressed by the foundation		ngthen	

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the FI statute and to implement the Commission plan for updating the 203 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

1st Comment Period History

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No	

Comment: CA5001-G1

The proposed sections provide for condition of permit as authorized by 553.79 FS.

105.4 Validity of permit. Change to read as shown.

105.4 Conditions of the permit.

105.4.1 Permit intent. A permit issued shall be construed to be a license to proceed with the work and not as authority to violate, cancel, alter or set aside any of the provisions of the technical codes, nor shall issuance of a permit prevent the building official from thereafter requiring a correction of errors in plans, construction or violations of this code. Every permit issued shall become invalid unless the work authorized by such permit is commenced within six months after its issuance, or if the work authorized by such permit is suspended or abandoned for a period of six months after the time the work is commenced.

105.4.1.1 If work has commenced and the permit is revoked, becomes null and void, or expires because of lack of progress or abandonment, a new permit covering the proposed construction shall be obtained before proceeding with the work.

105.4.1.2 If a new permit is not obtained within 180 days from the date the initial permit became null and void, the building official is authorized to require that any work which has been commenced or completed be removed from the building site. Alternately, a new permit may be issued on application, providing the work in place and required to complete the structure meets all applicable regulations in effect at the time the initial permit became null and void and any regulations which may have become effective between the date of expiration and the date of issuance of the new permit.

105.4.1.3 Work shall be considered to be in active progress when the permit has received an approved inspection within 180 days. This provision shall not be applicable in case of civil commotion or strike or when the building work is halted due directly to judicial injunction, order or similar process.

105.4.1.4 The fee for renewal reissuance and extension of a permit shall be set forth by the administrative authority.

CA5323			Page 108 6 8140	
Date Submitted 7/19/2012	Section 107.7	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter 1	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
	Affirmative Recommendation with a Second ending Review			
<u>Comments</u>				
General Comments	No Alternate Language	Νο		
Related Modifications				
Summary of Modification				
To be consistent with F	L Law and to implement the Commission			
Rationale				
To be consistent with F	lorida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan	to update the 2013 code		
Fiscal Impact Statement				
	elative to enforcement of code nder the 2010 Code, no new requirements being estab	lished		
• •	property owners relative to cost of compliance with nder the 2010 Code, no new requirements being estab			
• •	tive to the cost of compliance with code nder the 2010 Code, no new requirements being estab	lished		
Requirements				
	substantial connection with the health, safety, and w the previous, field tested and proven to be effective	elfare of the general pub	lic	
•	es the code, and provides equivalent or better produ the previous, field tested and proven to be effective	icts, methods, or system	s of construction	
	against materials, products, methods, or systems of	construction of demons	strated capabilities	
Carried over from	the previous, field tested and proven to be effective			
•	effectiveness of the code			
	the previous, field tested and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed code modification YES	In part of a prior code version?			
-				
The provisions contained in the	proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable inte	rnational code?		
NO				

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

1st Comment Period History

08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No

Comment: The propose

The proposed modification adds text from HB 704.

If the local building code administrator or inspector finds that the plans are not in compliance with the Florida Building Code, the local building code administrator or

inspector shall identify the specific plan features that do not comply with the applicable codes, identify the specific code chapters and sections upon which the finding is based, and provide this information to the local enforcing agency. The

local enforcing agency shall provide this information to the permit applicant.

Date Submitted 7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Page 110 89140
	/12/2012	Section 111.5	Proponent	Joe Bigelow
Chapter 1		Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No
TAC Recommendation	on No Affirmati	ve Recommendation with a Second		
Commission Action	Pending Re	view		
Comments				
General Comments	No	Alternate Language	No	
Related Modificatio	ns			
Summary of Modifie	cation			
To be consiste	ent with the Florida	Statute and to implement the Commission p	olan to update the 2013 co	de
Rationale				
To be consiste	ent with the Florida	Statutes and to implement the Commission	plan to update the 2013 C	ode
Fiscal Impact State	ment			
•	-	enforcement of code 010 Code, no new reqts. being established		
•	• • • •	owners relative to cost of compliance with 010 Code, no new reqts. being established	i code	
•	•	e cost of compliance with code 010 Code, no new reqts. being established		
Requirements				
Has a reasona		al connection with the health, safety, and work on the steed and proven to be effective	velfare of the general pub	lic
		de, and provides equivalent or better produ	ucts, methods, or system	s of construction
•	•	ous, field tested and proven to be effective	,, e. e joto m	
	-	naterials, products, methods, or systems of out, field tested and proven to be effective	f construction of demons	trated capabilities
	rade the effectiven	•		
-		ious, field tested and proven to be effective		
	•			
Is the proposed code	modification part of a	prior code version?		

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state? OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

111.4<u>111.5</u> **Revocation**. The building official is authorized to, in writing, suspend or revoke a certificate of occupancy or completion issued under the provisions of this code wherever the certificate is issued in error, or on the basis of incorrect information supplied, or where it is determined that the building or structure or portion thereof is in violation of any ordinance or regulation or any of the provisions of this code.

TAC: Code Administration

Total Mods for report: 38

Sub Code: Building

CA4006

CA4996		·····	Page 113 3 0140
Date Submitted 7/6/2012 Chapter 1	Section 102.7 Affects HVHZ	Proponent No Attachments	Joe Bigelow
TAC Recommendation W	ithdrawn ending Review		
Comments			
General Comments	No	nate Language No	
Related Modifications			
Summary of Modification			
Update 102.7			
Rationale			
, ,	he FBC in accordance w the statutes a	and to also implement the Commission	approved process for the 2013
FBC Fiscal Impact Statement			
•	elative to enforcement of code		
• •	ently under 2010 code. No new require	ements being established	
	property owners relative to cost of co	6	
No impact. Curre	ently under 2010 code. No new require	ments being established	
	tive to the cost of compliance with co ently under 2010 code. No new require		
No impact. Curre	inity under 2010 code. No new require	sments being established	
Requirements			
	substantial connection with the health the previous code. Field tested and p	· · · · ·	ublic
Strengthens or improv	es the code, and provides equivalent	or better products, methods, or syst	ems of construction

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

YES

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

In accordance with FS and to implement the Commission approved plan for the 2013 Code

Carried over from the previous code. Field tested and prven to be effective

Carried over from the previous code. Field tested and prven to be effective

Carried over from the previous code. Field tested and prven to be effective

Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

Page: `

102.7 Relocation of manufactured buildings. Add to read as shown.

102.7 Relocation of manufactured buildings.

(1) Relocation of an existing manufactured building does not constitute an alteration.

(2) A relocated building shall comply with wind speed requirements of the new location, using the appropriate wind speed map. If the existing building was manufactured in compliance with the Standard Building Code (prior to March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Standard Building Code shall be applicable. If the existing building was manufactured in compliance with the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1, 2002), the wind speed map of the Florida Building Code (after March 1,

(3) A relocated building shall comply with the flood hazard area requirements of the new location, if applicable.

CA5625			<u>.</u>	Page 116 3 140
Date Submitted	7/24/2012	Section R301.1	Proponent	Michael Goolsby
Chapter TAC Recomment	3 dation Withdrawn	Affects HVHZ Yes	Attachments	Yes
Commission Act		eview		
<u>Comments</u>				
General Comme	nts No	Alternate Language	No	
Related Modific	cations			
Summary of Mo	odification			
		chapter have unique specifications for applica	tion in the HVHZ. The be	eginning of the chapter needs to
Rationale	eader to Chapter 44 for	the applicable HVHZ requirements.		
The provis		chapter have unique specifications for applica	tion in the HVHZ. The b	eginning of the chapter needs to
	·	the applicable HVHZ requirements.		
Fiscal Impact S Impact to	local entity relative to	enforcement of code		
•	•	ity and direction in the code.		
		owners relative to cost of compliance with output of the o	code	
Impact to	industry relative to the	e cost of compliance with code		
Cos	st savings by providing o	clarity and direction in the code.		
Requirements				
		al connection with the health, safety, and we direction and clarity for applicable HVHZ requ	• ·	blic
-	•	de, and provides equivalent or better produce roviding clarity and direction when working in	· · · ·	is of construction
	•	naterials, products, methods, or systems of discriminate against materials, products, mether the second structure of the seco		•
	degrade the effectiven	-		
	-	s the code by directing users to the proper cha	apter of the code.	
Is the proposed c YES	ode modification part of a	a prior code version?		
-	ontained in the proposed a	amendment are addressed in the applicable inter	national code?	
NO				
	-	e or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Flor		ngthen

the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the propo amendment applies to the state? YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

bees a contraction of the second seco

R301.1 Application. Buildings and structures, and all parts thereof, shall be constructed to safely support all loads, including dead loads, live loads, roof loads, flood loads, snow loads, wind loads and seismic loads as prescribed by this code. The construction of buildings and structures in accordance with the provisions of this code shall result in a system that provides a complete load path that meets all requirements for the transfer of all loads from their point of origin through the load-resisting elements to the foundation. Buildings and structures constructed as prescribed by this code are deemed to comply with the requirements of this section.

Exception: Buildings and structures located within the High Velocity Hurricane Zone shall comply with Sections R302 to R323, inclusive and the provisions of Chapter R44 and Section R406. In addition, buildings and structures located in flood hazard areas established in Table R301.2 (1) shall comply with Sections R301.2.4 and R322.

This proposed modification reinstates guidance to applicable HVHZ sections of the code maintaining consistency with the legislative intent to preserve HVHZ wind provisions.

TAC: Code Administration

Total Mods for Code Administration in No Affirmative Recommendation without a Second: 7

Total Mods for report: 38

Sub Code: Building

.........

	4/0040	0		Page 120 3 2140
Date Submitted 7/ Chapter 1	11/2012	Section 107.1 Affects HVHZ No	Proponent Attachments	Joe Bigelow No
TAC Recommendation	No Affirmativ	e Recommendation without a Second	Attachments	NU
Commission Action	Pending Rev	view		
<u>Comments</u>				
General Comments	No	Alternate Language	No	
Related Modification	s			
Summary of Modifica	tion			
•		to implement Commission plan to update the	2013 code	
Rationale				
		to also incorporate plans review criteria as es	tablished by the Commi	ssion and to implement the
commission pla Fiscal Impact Statem	nfor updating the 2	2013 code		
•		enforcement of code		
		010 code, no new rqts being established		
•	• • • •	owners relative to cost of compliance with o 10 code, no new rqts being established	ode	
•	•	cost of compliance with code 110 code, no new rqts being established		
Requirements				
		I connection with the health, safety, and we field tested and proven to be effective	lfare of the general put	blic
•	•	le, and provides equivalent or better product field tested and proven to be effective	ts, methods, or system	is of construction
	•	aterials, products, methods, or systems of of field tested and proven to be effective	construction of demons	strated capabilities
-	de the effectivene ver from previous,	ess of the code field tested and proven to be effective		
Is the proposed code m	odification part of a	prior code version?		
YES				

NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with the FL statute and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

<u>1st Commen</u>	t Period Hist	ory	<u>08/09/20</u>	<u>)12 - 09/23/2012</u>	
Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No

The proposed modification provides for consistency with 471 & amp; 481 FS.

Revise 107.1 General to read as follows:

107.1 General.

Submittal documents consisting of construction documents, statement of special inspections, geotechnical report and other data shall be submitted in two or more sets with each permit application. The construction documents shall be prepared by a registered design professional where required by the statutes of the jurisdiction in which the project is to be constructed. Where special conditions exist, the building official is authorized to require additional construction documents to be prepared by a registered design professional.

Exception: The building official is authorized to waive the submission of construction documents and other data not required to be prepared by a registered design professional if it is found that the nature of the work applied for is such that review of construction documents is not necessary to obtain compliance with this code.

107.1 General.

Construction documents, special inspection and structural observation programs, and other data shall be submitted in one or more sets with each application for a permit. The construction documents shall be prepared by a registered design professional where required by Chapter 471, Florida Statutes or Chapter 481, Florida Statutes. Where special conditions exist, the building official is authorized to require additional construction documents to be prepared by a registered design professional.

No changes to exception

CA5089

LA5089		····.			Page 122 ਰੇਵਿ	3140
Date Submitted	7/11/2012	Section 109.1		Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter	1	Affects HVHZ	No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommend		Recommendation without	t a Second			
Commission Act	ion Pending Revie	W				
<u>Comments</u>						
General Comme	nts No	Alte	rnate Language	No		
Related Modific	ations					
Summary of Mo	odification					
-	sistent with Florida law an	d to implement the Com	mission plan to upda	te the 2013 Code		
Rationale						
To be con	sistent with Florida law an	d to implement the Com	mission plan to upda	te the 2013 code		
Fiscal Impact S						
•	local entity relative to en rently used under the 2010		ing established			
•	building and property ow rently used under the 2010		•	le		
•	industry relative to the corrently used under the 2010	•				
Requirements						
	sonable and substantial or ried over from previous, fie		•	re of the general publ	ic	
	ens or improves the code,			, methods, or systems	of construction	
Car	ried over from previous, fie	eld tested and proven to	be effective			
	discriminate against mate ried over from previous, fie	••		nstruction of demonst	rated capabilities	
Does not	degrade the effectiveness ried over from previous, fig	s of the code				
	ode modification part of a pr	•				
YES						
•	ontained in the proposed am	endment are addressed in	the applicable internat	ional code?		
NO						
The emendment of	lamanatuataa hu auida		invitation of Flasida	avibibite a pead to star	a tha a	
i ne amendment d	lemonstrates by evidence or	uata that the geographical	jurisalization of Florida	eximplits a need to stren	gmen	

the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

Explanation of Choice

To be consistent with Florida Law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 Code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

1st Comment Period History

<u>08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012</u>

Propoport	Ken Cureton	Submitted	0/21/2012	Attackwarts NO
Proponent	Ken Cureion	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments NO

Comment: The propose

The proposed modification adds text for consistency with 553.80(7) FS.

109.1 Prescribed fees. Change text to read as shown.

109.1 Prescribed fees. A permit shall not <u>be issued until fees authorized under Section 553.80</u>, *Florida Statutes*, have been paid. Nor shall an amendment to a permit be released until the additional fee, if any, due to an increase in the estimated cost of the building, structure, electrical, plumbing, mechanical, or gas systems, has been paid.

CA5090	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	

CA5090				Page 124 8 ⁴ 140
Date Submitted	7/11/2012	Section 109.4	Proponent	Joe Bigelow
Chapter	1	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No
TAC Recommendat Commission Action		Recommendation without a Second w		
<u>Comments</u>				
General Comments	No	Alternate Languag	je No	
Related Modificati	ons			
Summary of Modif	fication			
To be consis	stent with Florida Law a	nd to implement the Commission plan to	o update the 2013 code	
Rationale	tent with Elevide leve e	d to implement the Commission plan t	we dote the 2012 and	
		nd to implement the Commission plan to	b update the 2013 code	
•	cal entity relative to en	forcement of code ) code , no new reqts. being establishe	d	
•	• • • •	ners relative to cost of compliance wi ) code , no new reqts. being established		
•	•	ost of compliance with code ) code , no new reqts. being establishe	d	
		onnection with the health, safety, and Id tested and proven to be effective	welfare of the general put	lic
Strengthens	or improves the code,	and provides equivalent or better pro	ducts, methods, or system	as of construction
	•	erials, products, methods, or systems eld tested and proven to be effective	of construction of demons	strated capabilities
	grade the effectiveness d over from previous, fig	s of the code eld tested and proven to be effective		
Is the proposed code	e modification part of a pr	ior code version?		
The provisions conta	ained in the proposed am	endment are addressed in the applicable ir	iternational code?	
	-	data that the geographical jurisdiction of I onal variation addressed by the foundatior		ngthen

amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice** 

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

#### **1st Comment Period History**

#### 08/09/2012 - 09/23/2012

Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No		

# Comment: CA5090-G1

The proposed modification adds text for consistency with 553.79(6) FS.

#### 109.4 Work commencing before permit issuance. Change text to read as shown.

**109.4 Work commencing before permit issuance.** Any person who commences any work on a building, structure, electrical, gas, mechanical or plumbing system before obtaining the <u>building official's approval or</u> the necessary permits shall be subject to a <u>penalty of 100 percent of the usual permit fee</u> in addition to the required permit fees.

#### CAroor

Chapter 1 Af	t to implement the Commission plan <b>It of code</b> w requirements being established			
TAC Recommendation       No Affirmative Recommendation         Commission Action       Pending Review         Comments       Pending Review         General Comments       No         Related Modifications       No         Summary of Modification       To be consistent with Florida Statutes and to         Rationale       To be consistent with the Florida statutes and to         Fiscal Impact Statement       Impact to local entity relative to enforcement Currently used under 2010 code, no ne currently used under 2010 code, no ne courset         Impact to building and property owners relation Currently used under 2010 code, no ne	Alternate Language implement the Commission plan to u to implement the Commission plan to f code w requirements being established	No update the 2013 code		
Commission Action       Pending Review         Comments       No         General Comments       No         Related Modifications       Summary of Modification         To be consistent with Florida Statutes and to       Rationale         To be consistent with the Florida statutes and to       Rationale         Fiscal Impact Statement       Impact to local entity relative to enforcement Currently used under 2010 code, no ne cu	Alternate Language implement the Commission plan to u d to implement the Commission plan ht of code ew requirements being established	update the 2013 code		
Comments       No         General Comments       No         Related Modifications       Summary of Modification         To be consistent with Florida Statutes and to       Rationale         To be consistent with Florida Statutes and to       Rationale         To be consistent with the Florida statutes and       Fiscal Impact Statement         Impact to local entity relative to enforcement Currently used under 2010 code, no ne       Impact to building and property owners relation of Currently used under 2010 code, no ne	implement the Commission plan to u d to implement the Commission plan <b>ht of code</b> ew requirements being established	update the 2013 code		
General Comments       No         Related Modifications       Related Modifications         Summary of Modification       To be consistent with Florida Statutes and to         Rationale       To be consistent with Florida statutes and to         Fiscal Impact Statement       Impact to local entity relative to enforcement Currently used under 2010 code, no ne Impact to building and property owners relation Currently used under 2010 code, no ne Impact to building and property owners relations	implement the Commission plan to u d to implement the Commission plan <b>ht of code</b> ew requirements being established	update the 2013 code		
General Comments       No         Related Modifications       Related Modifications         Summary of Modification       To be consistent with Florida Statutes and to         Rationale       To be consistent with Florida statutes and to         Fiscal Impact Statement       Impact to local entity relative to enforcement Currently used under 2010 code, no ne Impact to building and property owners relation Currently used under 2010 code, no ne Impact to building and property owners relations	implement the Commission plan to u d to implement the Commission plan <b>ht of code</b> ew requirements being established	update the 2013 code		
Summary of Modification To be consistent with Florida Statutes and to Rationale To be consistent with the Florida statutes and Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local entity relative to enforcement Currently used under 2010 code, no ne Impact to building and property owners relation Currently used under 2010 code, no ne	t to implement the Commission plan <b>It of code</b> w requirements being established			
Summary of Modification To be consistent with Florida Statutes and to Rationale To be consistent with the Florida statutes and Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local entity relative to enforcement Currently used under 2010 code, no ne Impact to building and property owners relation Currently used under 2010 code, no ne	t to implement the Commission plan <b>It of code</b> w requirements being established			
To be consistent with Florida Statutes and to Rationale To be consistent with the Florida statutes and Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local entity relative to enforcement Currently used under 2010 code, no ne Currently used under 2010 code, no ne	t to implement the Commission plan <b>It of code</b> w requirements being established			
Rationale         To be consistent with the Florida statutes and         Fiscal Impact Statement         Impact to local entity relative to enforcement         Currently used under 2010 code, no ne         Impact to building and property owners relat         Currently used under 2010 code, no ne	t to implement the Commission plan <b>It of code</b> w requirements being established			
To be consistent with the Florida statutes and Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local entity relative to enforcemen Currently used under 2010 code, no ne Impact to building and property owners rela Currently used under 2010 code, no ne	nt of code w requirements being established	i to update the 2013 c	ode	
Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local entity relative to enforcement Currently used under 2010 code, no ne Impact to building and property owners related Currently used under 2010 code, no ne	nt of code w requirements being established	to update the 2013 c	ode	
Impact to local entity relative to enforcemen Currently used under 2010 code, no ne Impact to building and property owners rela Currently used under 2010 code, no ne	w requirements being established			
Currently used under 2010 code, no ne Impact to building and property owners rela Currently used under 2010 code, no ne	w requirements being established			
Impact to building and property owners rela Currently used under 2010 code, no ne				
Currently used under 2010 code, no ne	tive to cost of compliance with coc			
Impact to industry relative to the cost of co	w requirements being established	de		
Currently used under 2010 code, no ne	•			
Requirements				
Has a reasonable and substantial connection	on with the health, safety, and welfa	are of the general put	lic	
Carried over from previous, field tested				
Strengthens or improves the code, and prov		, methods, or system	s of construction	
Carried over from previous, field tested	•			
Does not discriminate against materials, pro Carried over from previous, field tested		nstruction of demons	trated capabilities	
Does not degrade the effectiveness of the c	•			
Carried over from previous, field tested				
Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code v	ersion?			
YES				

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

**Explanation of Choice** 

To be consistent with the Florida Statutes and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

#### rnata Languaga Αŀ

lte	rnate Langu	lage				
<u>1s</u>	t Commer	nt Period History	<u>08/09</u>	/2012 - 09/23/2012		Page 127 of 140
T	Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/20/2012	Attachments	Yes
	Rationale					
		d Alternate Language attached here ick-through language of the base co Statement	-			
	Impact to loc	cal entity relative to enforcement of	i code			
A-D		Language is for Clarification to the C				
5095	•	ilding and property owners relative	•			
20	Alternate	Language is for Clarification to the C	Driginal Proposed	Mod only.		
	Impact to indu	ustry relative to the cost of complia	ince with code			
		Language is for Clarification to the	Original Proposed	Mod only.		
	Requirements					
		nable and substantial connection w		•	general public	
		Language is for Clarification to the C	<b>o</b> ,	,	ar avatama of accent	
	-	or improves the code, and provide Language is for Clarification to the C	•	•	, or systems of constru	iction
		criminate against materials, produ	•		of demonstrated capa	bilities
		Language is for Clarification to the 0		•		
	Does not deg	grade the effectiveness of the code	)			
	Alternate	Language is for Clarification to the C	Original Proposed	Mod only.		
	Is the propose YES	ed code modification part of a prior	[•] code version?			
	The provisio NO	ons contained in the proposed a	amendment are	addressed in the app	licable international	code?
		nent demonstrates by evidence				
	need to strea	ngthen the foundation code bey	yond the needs	or regional variation	addressed by the for	undation

code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state? YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

st Comment	Period History		<u>08/09/2012 - (</u>	<u>09/23/2012</u>	
Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No

# Comment: The requiren

<u>1</u>s

The requirements for final inspections are covered by the Commission's established inspection criteria as per 553.73(4)(a) FS.

5095-A1

110.3.10 Final inspections. <u>Reserved.</u>

**110.3.10 Final inspection.** The final inspection shall be made after all work required by the building *permit* is completed.

**110.3.10.1 Flood hazard documentation.** If located in a *flood hazard area*, documentation of the elevation of the lowest floor as required in <u>Section 1612.5</u> shall be submitted to the *building official* prior to the final inspection. <u>Reserved.</u>

CA	50	94
	J -	<b>JT</b>

CA5094		······		Page 130	ე <del>∂</del> ₽140
Date Submitted	7/11/2012	Section 110.3.8	Proponent	Joe Bigelow	
Chapter	1	Affects HVHZ No	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommend Commission Acti		ive Recommendation without a Second eview			
<u>Comments</u>					
General Commen	nts No	Alternate Langu	age No		
Related Modific	ations				
Summary of Mo					
	sistent with Florida La	w and to implement the Commission plar	to update the 2013 Code		
Rationale	sistant with Elevide etc	to the and to implement the Commission	alon to undate the 2012 and		
		itutes and to implement the Commission	plan to update the 2013 code		
Fiscal Impact St					
•	-	enforcement of code code no new requirements being establis	shed		
•	• • • •	owners relative to cost of compliance code no new requirements being establis			
•	•	e cost of compliance with code code no new requirements being establis	shed		
Requirements					
		ial connection with the health, safety, and since the safety is a since the steed and proven to be effective	nd welfare of the general pul	blic	
-		ode, and provides equivalent or better p s, field tested and proven to be effective	roducts, methods, or systen	ns of construction	
	-	naterials, products, methods, or systen s, field tested and proven to be effective	ns of construction of demon	strated capabilities	
	degrade the effective ried over form previou	ness of the code s, field tested and proven to be effective			
Is the proposed co	ode modification part of	a prior code version?			
YES					

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state? OTHER

**Explanation of Choice** 

To be consistent with Florida law and to implement the Commission plan to update the 2013 code

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

#### to I Alt

+ Commo	nt Period History	0.9/0	0/2042 00/22/2042		Page 131 of 140					
		00/0	<u>9/2012 - 09/23/2012</u>							
Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/20/2012	Attachments	Yes					
Rationale										
adds the str	The proposed Alternate Language attached herein does not change the scope of the original proposed Code Modification, but adds the struck-through language of the base code that applies to the section that is the subject of the Proposed Modification. <b>Fiscal Impact Statement</b>									
•		of code								
•	Impact to local entity relative to enforcement of code Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.									
	Impact to building and property owners relative to cost of compliance with code									
•	Language is for Clarification to th									
Immediate ind		lienee with eads	2							
-	lustry relative to the cost of comp		d Mod only							
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.										
Requirements Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public										
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.										
	s or improves the code, and provi		-	ods. or systems of constr	uction					
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.										
Does not di	scriminate against materials, pro	ducts, methods, or	systems of construct	tion of demonstrated capa	bilities					
	e Language is for Clarification to th									
Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code										
Alternate Language is for Clarification to the Original Proposed Mod only.										
Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? YES										
• •	·····									

need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

YES

The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process? NO

st Commer	nt Period Histo	ory	08/09/2012	<u>- 09/23/2012</u>	
Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No
Comment: The requirem FS.	ents for additional insp	ections are covered	by the Commissio	n's established inspecti	on criteria as per 553.73(4)(a)

#### 110.3.8 Other inspections. Change to read as shown.

110.3.8 Reinforcing steel and structural frames. <u>Reserved</u>

Page 133 of 140 **110.3.8 Other inspections.** In addition to the inspections specified in Sections 110.3.1 through 110.3.7, the *building official* is authorized to make or require other inspections of any construction work to ascertain compliance with the provisions of this code and other laws that are enforced by the department of building safety. <u>Reserved.</u>

Page: 1

#### CA5881

CA5881			·····	Page 135 🖁 140
Date Submitted 7/31/201	2 <b>S</b>	ection 907	Proponent	Ken Cureton
Chapter 9	Af	fects HVHZ No	Attachments	No
	lo Affirmative Recomm Pending Review	endation without a Second		
<u>Comments</u>				
General Comments	No	Alternate Langu	age No	
Related Modifications				
None				
Summary of Modification				
Modify SECTION 907	.4.1			
Existing Building Code change process for th compliance with Florid Fiscal Impact Statement Impact to local entity	e (IEBC) base code with e update to the 2013 Fi la Statutes as well as S relative to enforcemer	n Florida specific requiremen orida Building Code. The pr tate of Florida provisions for	nts in accordance with the Co oposed modification is neces relevators.	
• •		ative to cost of compliance adopted by the 2010 Florida		
	ative to the cost of conditional definition of the cost of conditional definition of the cost of the c	mpliance with code adopted by the 2010 Florida	Building Code.	
Requirements				
	substantial connectiv	n with the health acfety a	nd wolfaro of the general pu	blic

Has a reasonable and substantial connection with the health, safety, and welfare of the general public Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Yes. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

It does not. The Proposed language for this Modification is currently included in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version?

YES

The provisions contained in the proposed amendment are addressed in the applicable international code? NO

The amendment demonstrates by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exihibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variation addressed by the foundation code and why the proposed amendment applies to the state?

OTHER

#### **Explanation of Choice**

The proposed code change was submitted in accordance with the Commission's update process for the 2013 FBC in order to maintain compliance with Florida Statutes as well as State of Florida provisions for elevators. The proposed amendment was submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process?

NO

1st	Commen	t Period Histo	ory	08/09/201	<u>2 - 09/23/2012</u>		Reco 126 of 140
	Proponent	Ken Cureton	Submitted	9/21/2012	Attachments	No	Page 136 of 140
-	Comment:						
Ģ	The proposal p	provides consistency v	with Florida Statutes.				
2							
288							
CĂ							
-							
st	Commen	t Period Histo	ory	08/09/201	<u>2 - 09/23/2012</u>		
	Proponent	BOAF CDC	Submitted	9/23/2012	Attachments	No	
N	Comment:						
Ċ	Because a coo	le provision was in the	e 2010 FBC does no	t make it Florida s	pecific.		
-	The emendme	nt daga nat damanatr	ata hu avidanaa ar d	ata that the geogra	aphical jurisdiction of Flo	vrida avhibita	e need to

The amendment does not demonstrate by evidence or data that the geographical jurisdiction of Florida exhibits a need to strengthen the foundation code beyond the needs or regional variations addressed by the foundation code. Per FS 553.73 (7) (g) CA58(

The proposed amendment was does not appear to have been submitted or attempted to be included in the foundation codes to avoid resubmission to the Florida Building Code amendment process.

Modify SECTION 907.4.1 as follows:

**907.4.1 Evaluation and analysis.** An engineering evaluation and analysis that establishes the structural adequacy of the altered structure shall be prepared by a registered design professional architect or engineer and submitted to the *code official*.

CA5654
--------

CA5654					Page 13	39 <del>3</del> 8140
Date Submitted 7/25/20	12	Section R202		Proponent	Janet Gerard	
Chapter 2		Affects HVHZ Y	'es	Attachments	No	
TAC Recommendation Commission Action	No Affirmative Recom Pending Review	mendation without a	Second			
<u>Comments</u>						
General Comments	No	Alterna	te Language	No		
Related Modifications						
R612.6						
Summary of Modification						
Provides definition o	f Product Approval					
Rationale						
			le Section 612.6 u	ses Approval numbe	r and Product Approval w	rith no
Fiscal Impact Statement	ge proposal clarifies the	e term.				
Impact to local entit	y relative to enforcem					
None. Current	ly product approval is r	equired, this change	only offers clarifica	tion		
• •	nd property owners re ly product approval is r		•			
	elative to the cost of on Iy product approval is r	•		tion		
Requirements						
	nd substantial connect uct approval is required	,		e of the general pub	lic	
Other with a second income						

Strengthens or improves the code, and provides equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction Currently product approval is required, this change only offers clarification

Does not discriminate against materials, products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities No. Currently product approval is required, this change only offers clarification

#### Does not degrade the effectiveness of the code

No. Currently product approval is required, this change only offers clarification

Is the proposed code modification part of a prior code version? No

#### Page 140 of 140

Page: 1

**Product Approval:** Product approval means the approval of a product or system of construction for acceptance on a state or regional basis consistent with a required evaluation.